# All meager filters may be null

Tomek Bartoszynski<sup>\*</sup> Boise State University Boise, Idaho and Hebrew University Jerusalem Martin Goldstern<sup>†</sup> Bar Ilan University Ramat Gan, Israel

Haim Judah<sup>†</sup> Bar Ilan University Ramat Gan, Israel Saharon Shelah<sup>†‡</sup> Hebrew University Jerusalem

September 15, 2020

#### Abstract

We show that it is consistent with ZFC that all filters which have the Baire property are Lebesgue measurable. We also show that the existence of a Sierpinski set implies that there exists a nonmeasurable filter which has the Baire property.

The goal of this paper is to show yet another example of nonduality between measure and category.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a nonprincipal filter on  $\omega$ . Identify  $\mathcal{F}$  with the set of characteristic functions of its elements. Under this convention  $\mathcal{F}$  becomes a subset of  $2^{\omega}$  and a question about its topological or measure-theoretical properties makes sense.

It has been proved by Sierpinski that every non-principal filter has either Lebesgue measure zero or is nonmeasurable. Similarly it is either meager or does not have the Baire property.

In [T] Talagrand proved that

**Theorem 0.1** There exists a measurable filter which does not have the Baire property.

 $<sup>^{*}\</sup>mbox{The}$  author thanks the Lady Davis Fellowship Trust for full support

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Supported by the Israel Academy of Sciences (Basic Research Fund)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Publication 434

In fact we have an even stronger result. In [Ba] it is proved that

**Theorem 0.2** Every measurable filter can be extended to a measurable filter which does not have the Baire property.  $\blacksquare$ 

We show that the dual result is false.

#### 1 A model where all meager filters are null

In this section we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** It is consistent with ZFC that every filter which has the Baire property is measurable.

PROOF We will use the following more general result:

**Theorem 1.2** Let  $\mathbf{V} \models GCH$  and suppose that  $\mathbf{V}[G]$  is a generic extension extension of  $\mathbf{V}$  obtained adding  $\omega_2$  Cohen reals. Then in  $\mathbf{V}[G]$  for any two sets  $A, B \subset 2^{\omega}$  if  $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$  is a meager set then either A or B has measure zero.

PROOF Note that we apply this lemma only for the case A = B. Therefore to simplify the notation we assume that A = B. The proof of the general case is almost the same. We follow [Bu].

We will use the following notation. Let

$$Fn(X,2) = \{s : \operatorname{dom}(s) \in [X]^{<\omega} \text{ and } \operatorname{range}(s) \subset \{0,1\}\}$$

be the notion of forcing adding |X|-many Cohen reals. For  $s \in Fn(X, 2)$  let  $[s] = \{f \in 2^X : s \subset f\}.$ 

Let  $\mathbf{V} \models GCH$  be a model of ZFC and let  $G_{\omega_2}$  be a  $Fn(\omega_2, 2)$ -generic filter over  $\mathbf{V}$ . Clearly  $c = \bigcup G_{\omega_2}$  is a generic sequence of  $\omega_2$  Cohen reals and  $\mathbf{V}[c] = \mathbf{V}[G_{\omega_2}]$ .

Let  $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a sequence of closed, nowhere dense sets such that  $A + A \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\{F_n : n \in \omega\} \in \mathbf{V}$ .

Let  $\{a_{\xi} : \xi < \omega_2\}$  be an enumeration of all elements of A. For every  $\xi < \omega_2$ let  $\dot{a}_{\xi}$  be a name for  $a_{\xi}$ . In other words for every  $\xi < \omega_2$  we have a countable set  $I_{\xi} \subset \omega_2$  such that  $\dot{a}_{\xi}$  is a Borel function from  $2^{I_{\xi}}$  into  $2^{\omega}$ . Moreover  $a_{\xi}$  is the value of the function  $\dot{a}_{\xi}$  on Cohen real i.e.  $\dot{a}_{\xi}(c|I_{\xi}) = a_{\xi}$ . In addition we can find a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set  $H_{\xi} \subseteq 2^{I_{\xi}}$  such that  $\dot{a}_{\xi}|H_{\xi}$  is a continuous function.

For  $\alpha, \xi, \eta < \omega_2$  define  $\xi \simeq_{\alpha} \eta$  if

1.  $I_{\xi}$  and  $I_{\eta}$  are order isomorphic,

2. the order-isomorphism between  $I_{\xi}$  and  $I_{\eta}$  transfers  $\dot{a}_{\xi}$  onto  $\dot{a}_{\eta}$  and  $H_{\xi}$  onto  $H_{\eta}$ ,

3.  $I_{\xi} \cap \alpha = I_{\eta} \cap \alpha$ .

Notice that for every  $\alpha < \omega_2$  the relation  $\simeq_{\alpha}$  is an equivalence relation with  $\omega_1$  many equivalence classes.

**Lemma 1.3** There exists  $\alpha^* < \omega_2$  such that

$$\forall \xi, \beta \exists \eta \ (\xi \simeq_{\alpha^{\star}} \eta \& I_{\eta} \cap (\beta - \alpha^{\star}) = \emptyset) \ .$$

PROOF For every  $\alpha < \omega_2$  let  $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$  be the set  $\{[\xi]_{\alpha} : \xi < \omega_2\}$  of  $\simeq_{\alpha}$ -equivalence classes. Let

$$\mathcal{E}^{0}_{\alpha} = \{ E \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha} : \sup_{\eta \in E} (\min(I_{\eta} - \alpha)) < \omega_{2} \} \text{ and}$$
$$\mathcal{E}^{1}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{E}_{\alpha} - \mathcal{E}^{0}_{\alpha} .$$

Let

$$\gamma(\alpha) = \sup_{E \in \mathcal{E}^0_{\alpha}} (\sup_{\eta \in E} (\min(I_{\eta} - \alpha))) .$$

Note that  $\gamma(\alpha) < \omega_2$  since  $|\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}| < \aleph_1$ .

Find  $\alpha^* < \omega_2$  such that  $\gamma(\alpha) < \alpha^*$  for all  $\alpha < \alpha^*$  and  $cf(\alpha^*) = \omega_1$ . We claim that  $\alpha^*$  satisfies the statement of the lemma.

Take any  $\xi < \omega_2$  and any  $\beta$ . If  $\beta < \alpha^*$  or  $I_{\xi} \subseteq \alpha^*$ , then we can choose  $\eta = \xi$ . So assume  $\beta > \alpha^*$  and  $I_{\xi} - \alpha^* \neq \emptyset$ . There is  $\alpha < \alpha^*$  such that  $I_{\xi} \cap \alpha = I_{\xi} \cap \alpha^*$ . Let  $E = [\xi]_{\alpha}$ .

CASE 1  $E \in \mathcal{E}^0_{\alpha}$ . Then

$$\sup_{\eta \in E} (\min(I_{\eta} - \alpha)) \le \gamma(\alpha) < \alpha^{\star}$$

which is a contradiction since  $\min(I_{\xi} - \alpha) \ge \alpha^{\star}$  and  $\xi \in E$ . CASE 2  $E \notin \mathcal{E}^{0}_{\alpha}$ . So

$$\sup_{\eta \in E} (\min(I_{\eta} - \alpha)) = \omega_2$$

hence there is  $\eta \in E$  with  $\min(I_{\eta} - \alpha) \geq \beta$  i.e.  $I_{\eta} \cap (\beta - \alpha) = \emptyset$ . So  $I_{\xi} \cap \alpha^{\star} = I_{\xi} \cap \alpha = I_{\eta} \cap \alpha = I_{\eta} \cap \alpha^{\star}$ , where the last equality holds because  $I_{\eta} \cap (\alpha^{\star} - \alpha) \subseteq I_{\eta} \cap (\beta - \alpha) = \emptyset$ . Also  $I_{\eta} \cap (\beta - \alpha^{\star}) \subseteq I_{\eta} \cap (\beta - \alpha) = \emptyset$ .

Let  $\alpha^*$  be the ordinal from the above lemma. Work in  $\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{V}[c|\alpha^*]$ . For every  $\xi < \omega_2$  define

 $D_{\xi} = \{s \in Fn(\omega_2 - \alpha^{\star}, 2) : \operatorname{cl}(\dot{a}_{\xi}([s])) \text{ has measure zero } \}.$ 

**Lemma 1.4**  $D_{\xi}$  is dense in  $Fn(\omega_2 - \alpha^*, 2)$  for every  $\xi < \omega_2$ .

PROOF Notice that it is enough to show that  $D_{\xi} \cap Fn(I_{\xi} - \alpha^{\star}, 2)$  is dense in  $Fn(I_{\xi} - \alpha^{\star}, 2)$  for  $\xi < \omega_2$ .

Suppose that this fails. Find  $\xi < \omega_2$  and  $s_0 \in Fn(I_{\xi} - \alpha^*, 2)$  such that for all  $s \supseteq s_0$  the set  $cl(\dot{a}_{\xi}([s]))$  has positive measure.

Using the lemma with  $\beta > \sup(I_{\xi})$  we can find  $\eta < \omega_2$  such that  $\xi \simeq_{\alpha^*} \eta$ and  $(I_{\xi} - \alpha^*) \cap (I_{\eta} - \alpha^*) = \emptyset$ . Notice that there exists  $t_0 \in Fn(I_{\eta} - \alpha^*, 2)$ (the image of  $s_0$  under the isomorphism between  $I_{\xi}$  and  $I_{\eta}$ ) such that for every  $t \supseteq t_0$  the set  $cl(\dot{a}_n([t]))$  has positive measure.

Since  $s_0$  and  $t_0$  have disjoint domains,  $s_0 \cup t_0 \in Fn(\omega_2 - \alpha^*, 2)$ . Find  $n \in \omega$ and a condition  $u \in Fn(\omega_2 - \alpha^*, 2)$  extending  $s_0 \cup t_0$  such that  $u \Vdash \dot{a}_{\xi}(\dot{c}) + \dot{a}_{\eta}(\dot{c}) \in F_n$ . u can be written as  $u_1 \cup u_2 \cup u_3$  where  $s_0 \subseteq u_1 \in Fn(I_{\xi} - \alpha^*, 2)$ ,  $t_0 \subseteq u_2 \in Fn(I_{\eta} - \alpha^*, 2)$  and  $u_3 \in Fn(\omega_2 - (I_{\xi} \cup I_{\eta} \cup \alpha^*), 2)$ . By the assumption the sets  $cl(\dot{a}_{\xi}([u_1])), cl(\dot{a}_{\eta}([u_2]))$  have positive measure. By well-known theorem of Steinhaus the set  $cl(\dot{a}_{\xi}([u_1])) + cl(\dot{a}_{\eta}([u_2]))$  contains an open set (hence also  $(cl(\dot{a}_{\xi}([u_1])) + cl(\dot{a}_{\eta}([u_2]))) - F_n$  contains an open set). Using the fact that  $\dot{a}_{\xi}$ and  $\dot{a}_{\eta}$  are continuous functions we can find  $u_1 \subseteq s_1 \in Fn(I_{\xi} - \alpha^*, 2)$  and  $u_2 \subseteq t_1 \in Fn(I_{\eta} - \alpha^*, 2)$  such that  $(cl(\dot{a}_{\xi}([s_1])) + cl(\dot{a}_{\eta}([t_1]))) \cap F_n = \emptyset$ . But this is a contradiction since

$$s_1 \cup t_1 \cup u_3 \Vdash \dot{a}_{\xi}(\dot{c}) + \dot{a}_{\eta}(\dot{c}) \notin F_n$$
.

Notice that for  $\xi < \omega_2$ 

 $D_{\xi} = \{s \in Fn(I_{\xi}) : \text{ there exists a closed measure zero set } F \in V'$ 

such that  $s \Vdash \dot{a}_{\xi}(\dot{c}) \in F \}$ .

Therefore by the above lemma

$$A \subseteq []{F: F \text{ is a closed measure zero set coded in } \mathbf{V}'}.$$

Since **V** contains Cohen reals over **V**', the union of all closed measure zero sets coded in **V**' has measure zero in **V**. We conclude that A has measure zero.  $\blacksquare$ 

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a non-principal filter. Denote by  $\mathcal{F}^c = \{X \subseteq \omega : \omega - X \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .  $\mathcal{F}^c$  is an ideal and it is very easy to see that  $\mathcal{F}$  is measurable (has the Baire property) iff  $\mathcal{F}^c$  is measurable (has the Baire property).

## Lemma 1.5 $\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^c$ .

PROOF Suppose that  $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\{n : X(n) + Y(n) = 0\} \supseteq X^{-1}(1) \cap Y^{-1}(1) \in \mathcal{F}$ . In general  $\mathcal{F} + \cdots + \mathcal{F}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{F}$  or  $\mathcal{F}^c$  depending whether there is an even or odd number of  $\mathcal{F}$ 's.

Let  $\mathbf{V} \models GCH$  and suppose that  $\mathbf{V}[G]$  is a generic extension of  $\mathbf{V}$  obtained by adding  $\omega_2$  Cohen reals. By the above lemma if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a meager filter then  $\mathcal{F}^c = \mathcal{F} + \mathcal{F}$  is meager. So by 1.2  $\mathcal{F}$  has measure zero. Paper Sh:434, version 1995-10-27\_10. See https://shelah.logic.at/papers/434/ for possible updates.

### 2 Filters which are meager and nonmeasurable

Theorem 1.1 shows that in order to construct a filter which is meager and nonmeasurable we need some extra assumptions.

In [T] Talagrand showed that

**Theorem 2.1** Suppose that the real line is not the union of  $< 2^{\aleph_0}$  many measure zero sets. Then there exists a nonmeasurable filter which is meager.

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular uncountable cardinal. Recall that S is a generalized Sierpinski set of size  $\kappa$  if  $|S \cap H < \kappa$  for every null set H. It is clear that all  $S' \subseteq S$  of size  $\kappa$  are also nonmeasurable.

**Theorem 2.2** Assume that there exists a generalized Sierpinski set. Then there exists a nonmeasurable meager filter.

PROOF Let S be a generalized Sierpinski set of size  $\kappa$ . Build a sequence  $\{x_{\xi} : \xi < \kappa\} \subset S$  and an elementary chain of models  $\{M_{\xi} : \xi < \kappa\}$  of size  $\kappa$  such that

- 1.  $\{x_{\xi} : \xi < \alpha\} \subset M_{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,
- 2.  $x_{\beta}$  is a random real over  $M_{\alpha}$  for  $\beta > \alpha$ .

Suppose that  $M_{\beta}, x_{\beta}$  are already constructed for  $\beta < \alpha$ . Since S is a Sierpinski set

$$[S \cap H : H \text{ is a null set coded in } M_{\beta} \text{ for } \beta < \alpha]$$

has size  $< \kappa$ . Let  $x_{\alpha}$  be any element of S avoiding this set.

Let  $X_{\xi} = x_{\xi}^{-1}(1)$  for  $\xi < \kappa$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the filter generated by the family  $\{X_{\xi} : \xi < \kappa\}$ . We will show that  $\mathcal{F}$  has the required properties.

For  $X \subset \omega$  let

$$d(X) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|X \cap n|}{n}$$

if the above limit exists.

By easy induction we show that for  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n < \kappa$  we have  $d(X_{\xi_1} \cap \cdots \cap X_{\xi_n}) = 2^{-n}$ . This shows that

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \{X \subset \omega : \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{|X \cap n|}{n} > 0\}$$

which is a meager set. To check that  $\mathcal{F}$  is nonmeasurable notice that  $\mathcal{F}$  contains the nonmeasurable set  $\{x_{\xi} : \xi < \kappa\}$ .

It is an open problem whether one can construct a meager nonmeasurable filter assuming the existence of a nonmeasurable set of size  $\aleph_1$ . We only have some partial results.

Let **b** be the size of the smallest unbounded family in  $\omega^{\omega}$  and let **unif** be the size of the smallest nonmeasurable set.

For  $X \subseteq \omega$  let  $f_X \in \omega^{\omega}$  be an increasing function enumerating X. For a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  let  $\mathcal{F}^* = \{f_X : X \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . In [J] it is proved that

**Theorem 2.3** For every filter  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  has the Baire property iff  $\mathcal{F}^*$  is bounded.

**Theorem 2.4** Suppose that unif < b. Then there exists a nonmeasurable filter which is meager.

PROOF Let  $X \subseteq 2^{\omega}$  be a nonmeasurable set of size **unif**. Let M be a model of the same size containing X as a subset. Then  $M \cap 2^{\omega}$  does not have measure zero, so it is nonmeasurable. Consider any filter  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $M \models \mathcal{F}$  is an ultrafilter.  $\mathcal{F}$  generates a filter in  $\mathbf{V}$  and this filter is meager by 2.3 and the fact that it is generated by **unif** < **b** many elements. On the other hand  $M \models 2^{\omega} = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}^c$  and we know that  $M \cap 2^{\omega}$  is a nonmeasurable set. Hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is nonmeasurable.

The previous theorem depended on the implication:

If  $\mathcal{F}$  has measure zero then  $M \cap 2^{\omega}$  has measure zero.

This implication is not true in general for any set  $X \in M$  having outer measure 1 in M as is showed by the following example.

EXAMPLE It is consistent with ZFC that there are models  $M \subset \mathbf{V}$  such that only *some* sets which have outer measure 1 in M have measure 0 in V.

Let  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L}[c][\langle r_{\xi} : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle]$  where c is a Cohen real over  $\mathbf{L}$  and  $\langle r_{\xi} : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$ is a sequence of random reals over  $\mathbf{L}[c]$  (added side by side). Let  $M = \mathbf{L}[\langle r_{\xi} : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle]$ . Consider the set  $X = \mathbf{L} \cap 2^{\omega}$ . It is known that X is a nonmeasurable set in M but X has measure 0 in  $\mathbf{V}$ . On the other hand the set  $\{r_{\xi} : \xi < \omega_1\}$ is nonmeasurable in  $\mathbf{V}$ .

We conclude the paper with a canonical example of a filter which does not generate an ultrafilter. In other words we have the following:

**Theorem 2.5** Let M be a model for ZFC and let r be a real which does not belong to M. Then there exists a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $M \models \mathcal{F}$  is an ultrafilter but

$$M[r] \models \{X \subseteq \omega : \exists Y \in \mathcal{F} \ Y \subseteq X\}$$
 is not an ultrafilter.

PROOF Let  $\{k_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a fast increasing sequence of natural numbers. Let T be a tree on  $2^{<\omega}$  such that:

- 1. For  $s \in T$  we have  $|s| = k_n$  iff  $s \frown 0 \in T$  and  $s \frown 1 \in T$ ,
- 2. let  $\{s_1, \ldots, s_{2^n}\}$  be the list of  $T \cap 2^{k_n}$  in lexicographical order. Then for every  $w \subseteq \mathcal{P}(2^n) - \{\emptyset, 2^n\}$  there exists  $m \in [k_n + 1, k_{n+1})$  such that  $s_l(m) = 0$  iff  $l \in w$ ,
- 3. there is no  $m \in \omega$  such that for all  $s \in T \cap 2^{m+1}$  we have s(m) = 0 or for all  $s \in T \cap 2^{m+1}$  we have s(m) = 1.

Let  $S \subseteq T$  be a subtree of T. Define

$$A_S^0 = \{m : \forall s \in S \cap 2^{m+1} \ s(m) = 0\} \text{ and}$$
$$A_S^1 = \{m : \forall s \in S \cap 2^{m+1} \ s(m) = 1\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be the ideal generated by sets  $\{A_S^0, A_S^1 : S \text{ is a perfect subtree of } T\}$ . One can easily verify that all finite subsets of  $\omega$  belong to  $\mathcal{J}$ .

Lemma 2.6  $\mathcal{J}$  is a proper ideal.

PROOF Let  $S_1, \ldots, S_m$  be perfect subtrees of T. Find n sufficiently big so that  $|S_j \cap 2^{k_n}| > m$  for  $j \leq m$ . Let  $s_1, \ldots, s_{2^n}$  be the list of  $T \cap 2^{k_n}$  in lexicographical ordering. Let  $w_1, \ldots, w_m$  be such that  $S_j \cap 2^{k_n} = \{s_i : i \in w_j\}$  for  $j \leq m$ . Let  $w = \{\min(w_1), \ldots, \min(w_m)\}$ . Then for all  $j, w_j \not\subseteq w$  and  $w_j \cap w \neq \emptyset$ . By the definition of T there is  $k < k_n$  such that  $w = \{l : s_l(k) = 0\}$ . By the property of w for every  $j \leq m$  there exist  $s^0, s^1 \in S_j \cap 2^{k_n}$  such that  $s^0(k) = 0$  and  $s^1(k) = 1$ . Therefore  $k \notin A_{S_1}^0 \cup A_{S_1}^1 \cup \cdots \cup A_{S_m}^0 \cup A_{S_m}^1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be any ultrafilter in M extending the filter  $\{\omega - X : X \in \mathcal{J}\}$ . Let r be a real which does not belong to M. Without loss of generality we can assume that r is a branch through T.

Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  generates an ultrafilter and let  $X_r = \{n : r(n) = 1\}$ . We can assume that there exists an element  $X \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $X \subseteq X_r$ . Let  $S = \{s \in T : \forall k \in X \ (|s| > k \rightarrow s(k) = 1)\}$ . Clearly r is a branch through S. But in that case S contains a perfect subtree  $S_1 \subseteq S$  (since it contains a new branch). Therefore  $X \subseteq A_{S_1}^1 \in \mathcal{J}$ . Contradiction.

# References

- [Ba] T. Bartoszynski On the structure of the filters on a countable set to appear
- [Bu] M. Burke notes of June 17, 1989
- [J] H. Judah Unbounded filters on  $\omega$ , in Logic Colloquium 1987
- [T] M. Talagrand Compacts de fonctions mesurables et filtres nonmesurables, Studia Mathematica, T.LXVII, 1980.