## THERE ARE NOETHERIAN DOMAIN IN EVERY CARDINALITY WITH FREE ADDITIVE GROUP SH217

SAHARON SHELAH AND GERSHOR SAGEEN

The Hebrew University of Jerusalem Einstein Institute of Mathematics Edmond J. Safra Campus, Givat Ram Jerusalem 91904, Israel

Department of Mathematics Hill Center-Busch Campus Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey 110 Frelinghuysen Road Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019 USA

I would like to thank Alice Leonhardt for the beautiful typing. First Typed - 04/Mar/3 Latest Revision - 04/Nov/23

**Theorem.** There are Noetherian rings (in fact domains) with a free additive group, in every infinite cardinality.

Remark. 1) For  $\aleph_1$  this was proved by O'Neill.

- 2) The work was done in Sept., '83.
- 3) We thank Fuchs for suggesting to us the problem.
- 4) This is an expanded version of [SgSh 217] which appears in the Notices of AMS.

Sketch of Proof. Let  $\mathfrak{Z}$  be the ring of integers, X a set of distinct variables, Z[X] the ring of polynomials over  $\mathfrak{Z},\mathfrak{Z}(X)$  its field of quotients and  $R_X$  the additive subgroup of  $\mathfrak{Z}(X)$  generated by  $\{p/q: p \in \mathfrak{Z}[X], q \in \mathfrak{Z}[X], p \text{ not divisible (nontrivially) by any integer}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}(X)$ . It is known that  $R_X$  is a Noetherian domain. Let for a ring  $R, R^+$  be its additive group. For  $Y \subseteq X$  we can define  $Z[Y], Z(Y), R_Y$  similarly.

**Lemma.** 1)  $R_X^+$  is a free abelian group. 2) If  $n \ge 0, Y \subseteq X, x(1), \dots, x(n) \in X \setminus Y$  pairwise distinct,  $W = \{x(1), \dots, x(n)\}$ ,  $W(\ell) = W - \{x(\ell)\}$  then  $R_{W \cup Y}^+ / \sum_{\ell=1}^n R_{W(\ell) \cup Y}^+$  is a free abelian group.

*Proof.* 1) Follows by 2) for n = 0, Y = X.

2) This is phrased because it is the natural way to prove 1) by induction on |Y|, for all n simultaneously (a degenerated case of [Sh 87a]). If  $|Y| > \aleph_0$ , let  $Y = \{y(\alpha) : \alpha < \lambda\}$  with no repetitions, so  $\lambda = |Y|, Y_{\alpha} = \{y(i) : i < \alpha\}$ . It suffices for each  $\alpha < \lambda$  to prove that  $G_{\alpha} =: (R_{Y_{\alpha+1}}^+ + \sum_{\ell=1}^n R_{Y \cup W(\ell)}^+) / (\sum_{\ell=1}^n R_{Y_{\alpha+1} \cup W(\ell)}^+ + R_{Y_{\alpha} \cup W}^+)$  is free.

We now show that  $G_{\alpha}$  is isomorphic to  $G'_{\alpha} = R^+_{Y_{\alpha+1}}/(\sum_{\ell=1}^n R^+_{Y_{\alpha+1}\cup W(\ell)} + R^+_{Y_{\alpha}\cup W}).$ 

For this it is enough to show  $\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n R_{Y_\alpha \cup W(\ell)}^+\right) \cap R_{Y_{\alpha+1}}^+ = \sum_{\ell=1}^n R_{Y_{\alpha+1} \cup W(\ell)}^+$ , as the right

side is included in the left side trivially we have to show  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{p_{\ell}}{q_{\ell}} \in \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} R_{Y_{\alpha+1} \cup W(\ell)}^{+}$ 

if  $\frac{p_{\ell}}{q_{\ell}} \in R_{Y_1 \cup W(\ell)}^+$  and  $\sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{p_{\ell}}{q_{\ell}} \in R_{Y_{\alpha+1} \cup W}^+$  which is easy by projections). But  $G'_{\alpha}$  is free by induction hypothesis.

The next claim completes the case "y countable".

Claim. If  $Y \cup \{x(1), \dots, x(n)\} \subseteq X, x(\ell) \in X \setminus Y \text{ distinct, } G = R_{W \cup Y}^+, I = \sum_i I_i, I_i = R_{W(i) \cup Y}^+ \text{ then } G/I \text{ is free, when } Y \text{ is countable.}$ 

*Proof.* It suffices to prove:

- (a) G/I is torsion free
- (b) if  $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in G/I$  are independent, then  $\{m \in Z^+: \text{there are } \langle q_1, \ldots, q_k \rangle \in L \text{ such that } \sum_{i=1,\ldots,k} q_i a_i \text{ is divisible by } m \text{ in } G/I \}$  is finite, where  $L = \{\langle q_1, \ldots, q_k \rangle : q_i \in Z, \text{ not all zero and they are with no common divisor}\}.$

Let  $x_1(q) \in X$  for q = 1, ..., n be new distinct variable and let  $V = \{x_1(1), ..., x_1(n)\}$ . For  $u \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  let us define  $h_u : R_{V \cup W \cup Y} \to R_{V \cup Y}$  an isomorphism  $h_u(y) = y$  for  $y \in Y, h_u(x(q)) = x_1(q)$  if  $q \in u, h_u(x(q)) = x(q)$  if  $q \notin u$ . So let  $a_1 + I, ..., a_k + I$  be independent.

Suppose  $\langle q_1, \ldots, q_k \rangle \in L, m_0 m_1 \in Z \setminus \{0\}, m_0 m_1 \text{ divides } \sum_i m_0 q_i a_i + I$ . So for

some  $s \in R_{W \cup Y}$  and  $p_{\ell} \in I_{\ell}$  for  $\ell = 1, ..., n$  we have:  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{0}q_{i}a_{i} = m_{0}m_{1}s + 1$ 

 $\sum_{\ell=1,\ldots,n} p_{\ell}. \text{ Let } u \text{ vary on subsets of } \{1,\ldots,n\}, b_u = \sum_u (-1)^{|u|} h_u(a_{\ell}) \in R_{V \cup W \cup Y},$ 

so 
$$\sum_{i=1,...,n}^{u} m_0 q_i b_i = \sum_{u} (\sum_{i=1,...,n}^{u} m_0 q_i (h_u(a_i)) = m_0 m_1 \sum_{u}^{u} h_u(s) + \sum_{\ell=1,...,n}^{u} \sum_{u}^{u} h_u(p_\ell)).$$
 How-

ever for each  $\ell = 1, ..., n$  we have  $\sum_{u} h_u(p_\ell)$  is zero (as  $x(\ell)$  does not appear in it).

So  $\sum_{i} m_0 q_i b_i$  is divisible by  $m_0 m_0$  in  $R_{V \cup W \cup Y}^+$ . As  $R_{V \cup W \cup Y}^+$  is free, it suffices to

prove  $\{b_i: i=1,\ldots,k\}$  is independent, equivalently they are linearly independent (over the rationals) in  $Z(Y\cup W\cup V)$ . But, if not, we can substitute suitable numbers for  $x_1(1),\ldots,x_1(n)$  and get contradiction to " $\{a_i+I:i=1,\ldots,n\}$  is independent." That is let R' be a subring of  $R_{V\cup W\cup Y}$  generated by  $Z[X]\cup\{\frac{1}{q_1},\ldots,\frac{1}{q_m}\}$  for some  $m,q,\ldots,q_\ell\in Z[X]$  such that  $h_u(a_i)\in R'$ . Let g be a homomorphism from R' to  $R_{W\cup Y}$  which is the identity on  $R_{W\cup Y}$  and maps each  $x_1(q)$  to an integer (so we require from  $\langle g(x_i(q)):q=1,\ldots,n\rangle$  to make some finitely many polynomials over the integers nonzero which is possible). Now  $\ell\in u\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}\Rightarrow h_u(a_i)\in I_\ell$ . So it is enough to show that  $\langle g(b_i):i=1,\ldots,k\rangle$  is linearly independent. But  $g(b_i)=\sum_u g(h_u(a_i))\in gh_\emptyset(a_i)+I=g(a_i)+I=a_i+I$ .

## REFERENCES.

- [SgSh 217] Gershon Sageev and Saharon Shelah. Noetherian ring with free additive groups. Abstracts of the American Mathematical Society, 7:369, 1986. arxiv:0705.4132.
- [Sh 87a] Saharon Shelah. Classification theory for nonelementary classes, I. The number of uncountable models of  $\psi \in L_{\omega_1,\omega}$ . Part A. Israel Journal of Mathematics, **46**:212–240, 1983.