

COVERING A FUNCTION ON THE PLANE BY TWO CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS ON AN UNCOUNTABLE SQUARE - THE CONSISTENCY

MARIUSZ RABUS AND SAHARON SHELAH

ABSTRACT. It is consistent that for every function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there is an uncountable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and two continuous functions $f_0, f_1 : D(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\alpha, \beta) \in \{f_0(\alpha, \beta), f_1(\alpha, \beta)\}$ for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in A^2, \alpha \neq \beta$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that X is a topological space and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real-valued function on X . Is there a “large” subset of X such that the restriction $f \upharpoonright X$ is continuous? Obviously, if $A \subseteq X$ is a discrete subspace, then $f \upharpoonright A$ is continuous. Hence in the case when $\text{dom}(f) = \mathbb{R}$, we can always find an infinite subset on which f is continuous. The problem whether there is such “large” set has been investigated by Abraham, Rubin and Shelah in [ARSh]. They proved that it is consistent that every function from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} is continuous on some uncountable set. Later Shelah [Sh 473] showed that every function may be continuous on a non-meager set.

In this paper we consider functions on the plane, $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. The reasonable question to ask in this case is: is there a “large” set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that on $A \times A$ the function f can be cover by two continuous functions? Note that we could not hope for f to be just continuous on $A \times A$, e.g., if g is a Sierpinski partition, then for every uncountable set A , g is not continuous on $A \times A$. The main result of this paper is the following theorem. For technical reasons we consider squares without the diagonal, i.e. for a set A we consider $D(A) = \{(x, y) : x, y \in A, x \neq y\}$.

Theorem . *Assume $2^{\aleph_l} = \aleph_{l+1}$ for $l < 4$, and $\diamond_s(\aleph_4, \aleph_1, \aleph_0)$, see below. Then there is a forcing notion P which preserves cardinals and cofinalities and such that in V^P , $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_4$ and for every function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there is an uncountable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and two continuous functions $f_0, f_1 : D(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\alpha, \beta) \in \{f_0(\alpha, \beta), f_1(\alpha, \beta)\}$ for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in D(A)$.*

The proof breaks down into two parts. In Section 2, we prove the consistency of a guessing principle, diamond for systems. Then, in Section 3, we give the proof of the theorem.

Remark . (1) We can replace \aleph_0 by any $\mu = \mu^{<\mu}$.

(2) Our main goal was to prove the consistency of the statement in the theorem with $2^{\aleph_0} < \aleph_\omega$. We get $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_4$ naturally from the proof, but the values \aleph_3 or \aleph_2 may be possible.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03E35.

Key words and phrases. continuous functions, forcing.

Research supported by The Israel Science Foundation administered by The Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities. Publication No. 585.

1.1. **Notation.** We use standard set-theoretic notation. Below we list some frequently used symbols.

- For A, B subsets of ordinals of the same order type, $OP_{B,A}$ is the order preserving isomorphism from A to B .
- If C is a set of ordinals, then $(C)'$ denotes the set of accumulation points.
- Let λ, χ be cardinals, χ regular. $S_\chi^\lambda = \{\alpha \in \lambda : \text{cf}(\alpha) = \chi\}$.
- For a statement ϕ we define $TV(\phi) = 0$ if ϕ is true, otherwise $TV(\phi) = 1$.
- $\mathbb{R} = {}^\omega 2$.
- If M is a model, $X \subseteq M$, then $Sk(X)$ is the Skolem hull of X in M .
- $\mathcal{L}[\kappa, \theta]$ is a ‘universal’ vocabulary of cardinality $\kappa^{<\theta}$, arity $< \theta$.

2. DIAMOND FOR SYSTEMS

In this section we prove the consistency of a guessing principle, diamond for systems \diamond_s .

Definition 2.1. A sequence $\bar{M} = \langle M_u : u \in [B]^{\leq 2} \rangle$ is a system of models (of some fixed language) if:

- (1) $M_u \subseteq \text{Ord}$, $B \subseteq \text{Ord}$,
- (2) $B \cap M_u = u$ for every $u \in [B]^{\leq 2}$,
- (3) for every $u, v \in [B]^{\leq 2}$, $|u| = |v|$, the models M_u and M_v are isomorphic and OP_{M_u, M_v} is the isomorphism from M_v onto M_u , $OP_{M_u, M_v}(v) = u$,
- (4) for every $u, v \in [B]^{\leq 2}$, $M_u \cap M_v \subseteq M_{u \cap v}$,
- (5) if $|u| = |v|$, $u' \subseteq u$, $v' = \{\alpha \in v : (\exists \beta \in u')(|\beta \cap u| = |\alpha \cap v|)\}$, then $OP_{M_{u'}, M_{v'}} \subseteq OP_{M_u, M_v}$, and $OP_{M_u, M_u} = id_{M_u}$, and if $|w| = |u|$, then $OP_{M_u, M_v} \circ OP_{M_v, M_w} = OP_{M_u, M_w}$.

Remark . See [Sh 289] on the existence of “nice” systems of models for λ a sufficiently large cardinal, e.g., measurable. Here we do not use large cardinals, and try to get a model in which the continuum is small, i.e., less than \aleph_ω . For this we need a suitable guessing principle.

Definition 2.2 (Diamond for systems $\diamond_s(\lambda, \sigma, \kappa, \theta)$). Let $\{C_\alpha : \alpha \in \lambda\}$ be a square sequence on λ . $\langle M^\alpha : \alpha \in W \rangle$ is a $\diamond_s(\lambda, \sigma, \kappa, \theta)$ sequence, (or $\diamond_s(\lambda, \sigma, \kappa, \theta)$ -diamond for systems) if:

- (A) $W \subseteq \lambda$ and for every $\alpha \in W$, $\bar{M}^\alpha = \langle M_u^\alpha : u \in [B_\alpha]^{\leq 2} \rangle$ is a system of models, M_u^α is a model of cardinality κ , universe $\subseteq \alpha$, vocabulary of cardinality $\leq \kappa$, arity $< \theta$, a subset of $\mathcal{L}[\kappa, \theta]$.
- (B) $B_\alpha \subseteq \alpha = \sup(B_\alpha)$, $\text{otp}(B_\alpha) = \sigma$, so $\sigma = \text{cf}(\alpha)$.
- (C) if M is a model with universe λ , vocabulary of cardinality $\leq \kappa$, arity $< \theta$, a subset of $\mathcal{L}[\kappa, \theta]$, then for stationarily many $\alpha \in W$ for all $u \in [B_\alpha]^{\leq 2}$, $M_u^\alpha \prec M$,
- (D) if $\alpha, \beta \in W$ and $\text{otp}(C_\alpha) < \text{otp}(C_\beta)$, then
 - (i) for some $\zeta \in B_\beta$, $\bigcup\{M_u^\beta : u \in [B_\beta]^{\leq 2}\} - \bigcup\{M_u^\beta : u \in [B_\beta \cap \zeta]^{\leq 2}\}$ is disjoint from $\bigcup\{M_u^\alpha : u \in [B_\alpha]^{\leq 2}\}$,
- (E) if $\alpha \neq \beta$ in W , $\text{otp}(C_\alpha) = \text{otp}(C_\beta)$, then there is a one-to-one map h from $\bigcup_{u \in [B_\alpha]^{\leq 2}} M_u^\alpha$ onto $\bigcup_{u \in [B_\beta]^{\leq 2}} M_u^\beta$, order preserving, mapping B_α onto B_β , M_u^α onto $M_{h(u)}^\beta$ which is the identity on the intersection of these sets and the intersection is an initial segment of $\bigcup_{u \in [B_\alpha]^{\leq 2}} M_u^\alpha$ and $\bigcup_{u \in [B_\beta]^{\leq 2}} M_u^\beta$.
- (F) if $\sigma = \kappa$ we may omit σ .

Lemma 2.3. *Assume: $\kappa < \mu < \lambda$ are uncountable cardinals, $\lambda = \chi^+$, $2^\mu = \chi$, \square_λ , $\diamond_{S_\sigma^\chi}$, $\kappa = \kappa^{<\theta}$, $\mu^\kappa = \mu$, σ, χ, κ regular cardinals.*

Then there exists a diamond for systems on λ , $\diamond_s(\lambda, \sigma, \kappa, \theta)$.

PROOF Let $\bar{C} = \langle C_\gamma : \gamma \in \lambda \rangle$ be a square sequence on λ . We assume that each C_γ is closed unbounded in γ , if γ is a limit. Let $C_\gamma = \{\alpha_\zeta^\gamma : \zeta < \text{otp}(C_\gamma)\}$. First choose a sequence $\langle b_i^\alpha : i < \chi \rangle$ for every $\alpha < \lambda$ such that $b_i^\alpha \subseteq \alpha$, $|b_i^\alpha| < \chi$, b_i^α increasing, continuous in i , $\alpha = \bigcup \{b_i^\alpha : i < \chi\}$. Next choose a_α for $\alpha < \lambda$ such that

- (1) $a_\alpha \subseteq \alpha$,
- (2) if $\text{cf}(\alpha) < \chi$, then $|a_\alpha| < \chi$,
- (3) if $\beta \in (C_\alpha)'$, then $a_\beta \subseteq a_\alpha$,
- (4) if $\beta \in C_\alpha$ and $i = \text{otp}(C_\alpha)$, then $b_i^\beta \subseteq a_\alpha$,
- (5) if $\text{otp}(C_\alpha)$ is a limit of limit ordinals, then $a_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta \in (C_\alpha)'} a_\beta$.

Note that if $\alpha \in S_\chi^\lambda$, then there is a club $C'_\alpha \subseteq C_\alpha$ such that $\langle a_\beta : \beta \in C'_\alpha \rangle$ is an increasing, continuous sequence of subsets of α of cardinality $< \chi$ with union α . Let H_0, H_1 be functions which witness that $\lambda = \chi^+$, i.e., H_0, H_1 are two place functions, for every $\alpha \in [\chi, \lambda)$, $H_0(\alpha, -)$ is a one-to-one functions from α onto χ and $H_1(\alpha, H_0(\alpha, i)) = i$ for every $\alpha \in [\chi, \lambda)$ and $i < \alpha$.

Now by induction on $\alpha < \lambda$ we define the truth value of ' $\alpha \in W$ ', and if we declare it to be true, then we also define \bar{M}^α . Suppose we have defined $W \cap \alpha$ and \bar{M}^β for $\beta \in W \cap \alpha$. Now consider the following properties of an ordinal $\alpha \in \lambda$.

- (a) $a_\alpha \cap \chi = \text{otp}(C_\alpha)$,
- (b) a_α is closed under H_0 and H_1 ,
- (c) for every $\gamma \in a_\alpha$ we have:
 - (i) if $\text{cf}(\gamma) < \chi$, then $a_\alpha \cap \gamma = b_{\text{otp}(C_\alpha)}^\gamma$ and $C_\gamma \subseteq a_\alpha$ and $\text{otp}(C_\gamma) \leq \text{otp}(C_\alpha)$,
 - (ii) if $\text{cf}(\gamma) = \chi$, then $\sup(a_\alpha \cap \gamma) = \alpha_{\text{otp}(C_\alpha)}^\gamma$ and $C_{\alpha_{\text{otp}(C_\alpha)}^\gamma} \subseteq a_\alpha$,
- (d) $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \sigma$.

If α does not satisfy one of the conditions (a), (b), (c), and (d), then we declare that $\alpha \notin W$. So suppose that α satisfies (a), (b), (c), and (d). Let $\langle M_\zeta : \zeta \in \chi \rangle$ be the diamond sequence for S_σ^χ , i.e., each M_ζ is a model on ζ , vocabulary as above, and for every model M on χ , there are stationarily many $\zeta \in S_\sigma^\chi$, such that $M \cap \zeta = M_\zeta$. We say that M_ζ is suitable if it is of the form $(\zeta, <_\zeta^*, M_\zeta^*)$, where $<_\zeta^*$ is a well-ordering of ζ . For each ζ such that M_ζ is suitable, let $\xi_\zeta = \text{otp}(\zeta, <_\zeta^*)$. Let $h_\zeta : \zeta \rightarrow \xi_\zeta$ be the isomorphism between $(\zeta, <_\zeta^*)$ and $(\xi_\zeta, <)$. Let M_ζ^\oplus be the model with universe ξ_ζ , such that h_ζ is the isomorphism between M_ζ^* and M_ζ^\oplus . For $\alpha \in \lambda$ let $\zeta(\alpha) = \text{otp}(C_\alpha)$. Consider the following properties of $\alpha \in \lambda$.

- (e) there is a system $\bar{N}^{\zeta(\alpha)} = \langle N_s^{\zeta(\alpha)} : s \in [\bar{B}_{\zeta(\alpha)}]^{<2} \rangle$, $N_s^{\zeta(\alpha)} \prec M_{\zeta(\alpha)}^\oplus$, $\|N_s^{\zeta(\alpha)}\| = \kappa$, $\bar{B}_{\zeta(\alpha)}$ cofinal in $\xi_{\zeta(\alpha)}$, $\text{otp}(\bar{B}_{\zeta(\alpha)}) = \sigma$,
- (f) $\text{otp}(a_\alpha) = \xi_{\zeta(\alpha)}$.

If α does not satisfy (e), and (f), then declare $\alpha \notin W$. So assume that α satisfies (e) and (f). Let $g_\alpha : \xi_{\zeta(\alpha)} \rightarrow a_\alpha$ be the order preserving isomorphism. Let $\bar{M}^\alpha = \langle M_u^\alpha : u \in [B_\alpha]^{<2} \rangle$ be the system of models on a_α , which is isomorphic to $\bar{N}^{\zeta(\alpha)}$ and the isomorphism is g_α . If this system satisfies:

- (g) for every $\beta \in (C_\alpha)'$ there is $\nu \in B_\alpha$ such that $a_\beta \cap \bigcup \{M_u^\alpha : u \in [B_\alpha]^{<2}\} \subseteq \bigcup \{M_u^\alpha : u \in [B_\alpha \cap \nu]^{<2}\}$,

then we declare $\alpha \in W$. This finishes the definition of the diamond for systems sequence, $\langle \bar{M}^\alpha : \alpha \in W \rangle$.

We have to prove that it is as required. Clauses (A) and (B) are clear.

Proof of clause (C). We need the following fact, it is proved essentially in [Sh 300F], but for completeness we give the proof at the end of the section.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume:*

- (1) $\lambda = (2^\mu)^+$, $\mu = \mu^\kappa$, $\kappa = \text{cf}(\kappa) > \aleph_0$, $\kappa^{<\theta} = \kappa$,
- (2) M is a model with universe λ , at most κ functions each with $< \theta$ places and $\leq \kappa$ relations including the well-ordering of λ .

Then: for some club E of λ for every $\delta \in E$ of cofinality $\geq \mu^+$ we can find $I \subseteq \delta = \sup(I)$ and $\langle N_t : t \in [I]^{\leq 2}, s \in I \rangle$ such that:

- (α) $\langle N_t : t \in [I]^{\leq 2} \rangle$ is a system of elementary submodels of M , $\|N_t\| = \kappa$.

Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a model on λ , C a club on λ . We have to find $\alpha \in C \cap W$ such that $M_u^\alpha \prec \mathcal{A}$ for every $u \in [B_\alpha]^{\leq 2}$. Let $E \subseteq \lambda$ be the club given by Lemma 2.4. W.l.o.g. we can assume that $E \subseteq C'$, where C' is the set of limit points of C , (so if $\delta \in E$, then $C \cap \delta$ is a club in δ). Fix $\delta \in S_\chi^\lambda \cap E$. Let $f_\delta : \delta \rightarrow \chi$ be a bijection and let

$$D_1 = \{\zeta < \chi : \zeta \text{ is a limit, } f_\delta \text{ maps } a_{\alpha_\zeta^\delta} \text{ onto } \zeta\}.$$

D_1 is a σ -club, i.e., unbounded, closed under σ -sequences. Let $\mathcal{A}^{[\delta]}$ be $(\chi, f_\delta''(\langle \uparrow \delta \rangle), f_\delta''(\mathcal{A} \upharpoonright \delta))$. Note that by Lemma 2.4 we have a system of submodels on $\mathcal{A} \upharpoonright \delta$, we transfer this system on $\mathcal{A}^{[\delta]}$ by the bijection f_δ and, choosing a subsystem if necessary, we can assume that we have an end-extension system on $\mathcal{A}^{[\delta]}$ which is cofinal in χ , i.e., we have $\bar{N}^* = \langle N_u^* : u \in I \rangle$, $I \subseteq \chi$, $\sup(I) = \chi$, $N_u^* \prec \mathcal{A}^{[\delta]}$ and if $\xi < \zeta$ in I , then $\min(N_{\{\zeta\}}^* \setminus N_\emptyset^*) > \sup(N_{\{\xi\}}^*)$, and if u is an initial segment of v , then N_u^* is an initial segment of N_v^* . Hence the set

$$D_2 = \{\zeta < \chi : \bigcup_{u \in [\zeta \cap I]^{\leq 2}} N_u^* \subseteq \zeta\}$$

is a club of χ and such that for every $\zeta \in D_2$ there is a system of models on ζ , $(\langle N_u^* : u \in [\zeta \cap I]^{\leq 2} \rangle)$.

Note that the set

$$D_3 = \{\zeta < \chi : \alpha_\zeta^\delta \in C \text{ and } \alpha_\zeta^\delta \text{ satisfies conditions (a) - (d)}\}$$

is a σ -club of χ . Note that $\mathcal{A}^{[\delta]}$ is a model on χ . Hence by $\diamond_{S_\sigma^\chi}$, for stationary many $\zeta \in S_\sigma^\chi$ we have guessed it, i.e., the set

$$S = \{\zeta \in S_\sigma^\chi : M_\zeta = \mathcal{A}^{[\delta]} \upharpoonright \zeta\}$$

is stationary. Now if $\zeta \in S \cap (D_1)' \cap D_2 \cap D_3$ then $\alpha_\zeta^\delta \in C$, and α_ζ^δ satisfies conditions (a)-(d). Note that $\zeta(\alpha_\zeta^\delta) = \text{otp}(C_{\alpha_\zeta^\delta}) = \zeta$. Moreover, as $\zeta \in D_1 \cap S$ we have $\xi_\zeta = \text{otp}(a_{\alpha_\zeta^\delta})$, i.e., condition (f) holds. By the construction it follows that condition (e) holds, (the system of submodels on ξ_ζ is isomorphic to the system on $a_{\alpha_\zeta^\delta}$ given by Lemma 2.4). Finally, (g) holds, as $\zeta \in (D_1)'$ and the system of models of $\mathcal{A}^{[\delta]}$ is end-extending.

Hence $\alpha_\zeta^\delta \in W \cap C$, and $\bar{M}^{\alpha_\zeta^\delta}$ is a system of models as required.

Proof of clause (E). Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in W$, $\xi = \text{otp}(C_\alpha) = \text{otp}(C_\beta)$. By the construction, both a_α and a_β are isomorphic to M_ξ^\oplus and the isomorphisms are order preserving functions. Hence a_α is order isomorphic to a_β . Note that $a_\alpha \cap \chi = a_\beta \cap \chi = \xi$. Since both a_α and a_β are closed under H_0 and H_1 it follows that $a_\alpha \cap a_\beta$ is an initial segment of both a_α and a_β .

Proof of clause (D). Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in W$ and $\text{otp}(C_\alpha) < \text{otp}(C_\beta)$. As above, since a_α and a_β are closed under H_0 and H_1 , it follows that $a_\alpha \cap a_\beta$ is an initial segment of a_α . Let $\gamma = \sup(a_\alpha \cap a_\beta)$. We have four cases, we will show that the first three never occur.

Case 1. $\gamma \in a_\alpha \cap a_\beta$. We can assume that each a_α is closed under successor, so this case can never happen.

Case 2. $\gamma \in a_\alpha - a_\beta$. Note that $C_\gamma \subseteq a_\alpha$. Let $\gamma_1 = \min(a_\beta - \gamma)$. By (c)(i) for a_β it follows that we must have $\text{cf}(\gamma_1) = \chi$. Now by (c)(ii), $\gamma = \sup(a_\beta \cap \gamma_1) = \alpha_{\text{otp}(C_\beta)}^{\gamma_1}$. So $\gamma \in C_{\gamma_1}$ and $\text{otp}(C_\gamma) = \text{otp}(C_\beta)$. Note that $\text{cf}(\gamma) < \chi$. Hence by (c)(i) for a_α we have $\text{otp}(C_\gamma) \leq \text{otp}(C_\alpha)$, a contradiction.

Case 3. $\gamma \notin (a_\alpha \cup a_\beta)$. Let $\gamma_0 = \min(a_\alpha - \gamma)$ and $\gamma_1 = \min(a_\beta - \gamma)$. As above we have $\text{otp}(C_\gamma) = \text{otp}(C_\alpha)$ and $\text{otp}(C_\gamma) = \text{otp}(C_\beta)$, a contradiction.

Case 4. $\gamma \in a_\beta - a_\alpha$. Let $\gamma_0 = \min(a_\alpha - \alpha)$. We have $\text{cf}(\gamma_0) = \chi$ and $\text{otp}(C_\gamma) = \text{otp}(C_\alpha)$, so $C_\gamma \subseteq a_\alpha$. Note that $a_\alpha \cap \gamma = \bigcup_{\zeta \in C_\gamma} (a_\alpha \cap \zeta)$. But for $\zeta \in a_\alpha$ with $\text{cf}(\zeta) < \chi$ we have $a_\alpha \cap \zeta = b_{\text{otp}(C_\alpha)}^\zeta$. Hence $a_\alpha \cap \gamma = \bigcup_{\zeta \in (C_\gamma)'} b_{\text{otp}(C_\alpha)}^\zeta \subseteq a_{\beta_1}$, for some $\beta_1 \in (C_\beta)'$ large enough. Hence by (g) in the definition of the diamond for systems sequence, the conclusion follows.

Proof of Lemma 2.4 We prove slightly more. In addition to the sequence $\langle N_t : t \in [I]^{\leq 2} \rangle$ there is a sequence $\langle N'_{\{\alpha\}} : \alpha \in I \rangle$ such that:

- (β) $N_{\{\alpha\}}, N'_{\{\alpha\}}$ realize the same $L_{\theta, \theta}$ -type over M , for $\alpha \in I$,
- (γ) we have $N'_{\{\alpha\}} \prec N_{\{\alpha\}}$ for $\alpha \in I$ and for $\alpha < \beta$ in I we have $N_{\{\alpha, \beta\}} = \text{Sk}(N_{\{\alpha\}} \cup N'_{\{\beta\}})$,

Remark . (1) Note that for $\alpha < \beta$, $N_{\{\beta\}}$ is not necessarily a subset of $N_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$.

(2) The idea of the proof is to define $N_{\{0\}}^*$, $N_{\{1\}}^*$ and $N_{\{0,1\}}^*$ (and more, see definition of a witness below). Then we use it as a blueprint and “copy” it many times using elementarity, to obtain a suitable system.

We can assume that M has Skolem functions, even for $L_{\theta, \theta}$. Let χ^* be large enough. Let for $i < \lambda$, $\mathcal{B}_i \prec (H(\chi^*), \in, <_{\chi^*}^*)$ such that $\|\mathcal{B}_i\| = 2^\mu < \lambda$, and $M \in \mathcal{B}_i$, \mathcal{B}_i increasing continuous with i , and if $\text{cf}(i) \geq \mu^+$ or i non-limit, then $\mathcal{B}_i \prec_{L_{\mu^+, \mu^+}} (H(\chi^*), \in, <_{\chi^*}^*)$. Let $E = \{\delta < \lambda : \delta \text{ is a limit and } \mathcal{B}_\delta \cap \lambda = \delta\}$, it is a club of λ . Fix $\delta \in E \cap S_{\geq \mu^+}^\lambda$. Note that $\mathcal{B}_\delta \prec_{L_{\mu^+, \mu^+}} (H(\chi^*), \in, <_{\chi^*}^*)$.

We say that $(N_\emptyset^*, N_{\{0\}}^*, N_{\{1\}}^*, N_{\{0,1\}}^*, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ is a witness if:

- (1) $N_u^* \prec M$, $|N_u^*| = \kappa$, $N_{\{0\}}^* \cap N_{\{1\}}^* = N_\emptyset^*$, $N_\emptyset^*, N_{\{0\}}^* \prec M \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}_\delta$, $N_{\{0,1\}}^* = \text{Sk}(N_{\{1\}}^* \cup N_{\{0\}}^*)$,
- (2) $N_{\{1\}}^* \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta = N_\emptyset^*$, $\alpha_0 \in N_{\{0\}}^* - N_\emptyset^*$, $\alpha_1 \in N_{\{1\}}^* - N_\emptyset^*$,
- (3) if $\alpha \in N_{\{0,1\}}^* \setminus N_{\{1\}}^*$, $\beta = \min(N_{\{1\}}^* \setminus \alpha)$, then $\text{cf}(\beta) \geq \mu^+$,
- (4) for every $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\delta$, $|A| \leq \mu$ there are $N'_{\{1\}} \prec N_{\{1\}}$ and $N_{\{0,1\}}$ such that
 - (a) $N'_{\{1\}}, N_{\{0,1\}} \prec M \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta$,

- (b) $N'_{\{1\}}$ is order isomorphic to $N^*_{\{1\}}$,
- (c) $N_{\{1\}}$ is order isomorphic to $N^*_{\{0\}}$,
- (d) $OP_{N_{\{0,1\}}, N^*_{\{0,1\}}}$ is an isomorphism from $N^*_{\{0,1\}}$ onto $N_{\{0,1\}}$ which is the identity on $N^*_{\{1\}}$, maps $N^*_{\{0\}}$ onto $N_{\{0\}}$,
- (e) for $\alpha \in N^*_{\{0,1\}} \setminus N^*_{\{1\}}$, if $\beta = \min(N'_{\{1\}} - \alpha)$, then $OP_{N_{\{0,1\}}, N^*_{\{0,1\}}}(\alpha) \in \sup(A \cap \beta, \beta)$,

Claim 2.5. *There is a witness.*

We can find $\mathcal{C} \prec_{L_\mu, L_\mu} (H(\chi^*), \in, <^*_{\chi^*})$ such that $\|\mathcal{C}\| = \mu$, ${}^\kappa\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, $\mu + 1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and $(M, \mathcal{B}_\delta, \delta) \in \mathcal{C}$. As $\mathcal{B}_\delta \prec_{L_{\mu^+}, \mu^+} (H(\chi^*), \in, <^*_{\chi^*})$ it follows that there is a function f , $\text{dom}(f) = \mathcal{C}$, $\text{rang}(f) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\delta$, $f \upharpoonright \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta$ is the identity, f preserves satisfaction of L_{μ^+, μ^+} formulas, i.e. f is an isomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{N} \prec (H(\chi^*), \in, <^*_{\chi^*})$ be such that $\{\mathcal{B}_\delta, \mathcal{C}, f, \delta\} \in \mathcal{N}$, $\|\mathcal{N}\| = \kappa$. Let $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{N}_0 = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta$. Let $\mathcal{N}'_0 = f(\mathcal{N}_1)$, note that $\mathcal{N}'_0 \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0$. Let $\delta_0 = f(\delta_1)$. W.l.o.g. we can assume that $\mathcal{N} = Sk(\mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{N}_1)$. Let $\mathcal{N}_\emptyset = \mathcal{B}_\delta \cap \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{N}$. We claim that $(\mathcal{N}_\emptyset, \mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{N}'_1, \mathcal{N}, \delta_0, \delta_1)$ is a witness. Note that

- (*) if $\alpha \in \mathcal{N} \cap (\delta + 1)$, then $\min(\mathcal{C} - \alpha) \in \mathcal{N}_1$.

Let us check condition (3). Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}_1$ and let $\beta = \min(\mathcal{N}_1 - \alpha)$. Note that by (*) we have $\beta = \min(\mathcal{C} - \alpha)$. But as $\mu + 1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C} \prec (H(\chi^*), \in, <^*_{\chi^*})$ we must have $\text{cf}(\beta) \geq \mu^+$.

Now to verify (4), suppose that there is a set A such that the conclusion of (4) fails. Then A is definable from: \mathcal{N}_1 , the isomorphism type of \mathcal{N} over \mathcal{N}_1 and the isomorphism type of \mathcal{N}_0 over \mathcal{N}'_0 . As $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_\emptyset$ are in \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{C} \prec_{L_\mu, L_\mu} (H(\chi^*), \in, <^*_{\chi^*})$ and $\kappa < \mu$ it follows that such set A is in \mathcal{C} . But now the witness itself is a counterexample. Note that clause (e) follows from (*).

Claim 2.6. *If there is a witness, then there is a system as required, (for our $\delta \in E \cap S_{\geq \mu^+}^\lambda$).*

By induction on $\alpha < \mu^+$ we define $\delta_\alpha < \delta$ and a system $\langle N'_{\{\alpha\}}, N_{\{\alpha\}}, N_{\{\alpha, \beta\}} \rangle$, for $\beta < \alpha$.

Suppose that we have defined the system for all $\beta < \alpha$. Let $A = \bigcup \{N_u : u \in [\{\delta_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}]^{\leq 2}\}$. Let $N'_{\{\alpha\}}$ and $N_{\{\alpha\}}, N_{\{0, \alpha\}}$ be as in the definition of a witness, for the above A . For $\beta < \alpha$ let $N_{\{\beta, \alpha\}} = Sk(N_{\{\beta\}}, N'_{\{\alpha\}})$. It follows that N_α is isomorphic to \mathcal{N}_0 and $N_{\{\beta, \alpha\}}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{N} . Let $\delta_\alpha = OP_{N_{\{0, \alpha\}}, N^*_{\{0, 1\}}}(\alpha_0)$. Note that $I = \{\delta_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+\}$ is such that $\sup(I) = \delta$ and $N_u \cap I = u$ for every $u \in [I]^{\leq 2}$. This finishes the proof.

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Start with a model satisfying the assumptions of the theorem, i.e., we have $2^{\aleph_l} = \aleph_{l+1}$ for $l < 4$, $\{C_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_4\}$ is a square sequence and $\langle \bar{M}^i : i \in W \rangle$ is a diamond for systems, $\diamond_s(\aleph_4, \aleph_1, \aleph_1, \aleph_0)$. Let $\bar{M}^i = \langle M_u^i : u \in [\bar{B}_i]^{\leq 2} \rangle$ and let $\bar{B}_i = \{\alpha_\epsilon^i : \epsilon < \omega_1\}$ be the increasing enumeration.

Definition 3.1. (1) A set $b \subseteq \alpha$ is $\bar{Q} \upharpoonright \alpha$ -closed, i.e. $\alpha \in b \Rightarrow a_\alpha \subseteq b$.

(2) $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_\mu$ is the family of FS-iterations $\bar{Q} = \langle P_\alpha, Q_\alpha, a_\alpha : \alpha < \alpha^* \rangle$ such that:

- (a) $a_\alpha \subseteq \alpha$,
- (b) $|a_\alpha| \leq \mu$,

- (c) $\beta \in a_\alpha \Rightarrow a_\beta \subseteq a_\alpha$,
- (d) for $b \subseteq \alpha$, $P_b^* = \{p \in P_\alpha : \text{dom}(p) \subseteq b \text{ and } (\forall \beta \in \text{dom}(p))p(\beta) \text{ is a } P_{b \cap \alpha}^* \text{ name}\}$,
- (e) Q_α is a $P_{a_\alpha}^*$ -name, (see 3.2 below),
- (f) $P_{\alpha^*}^*$ has the property K , (= Knaster).

Remark . The above definition proceeds by induction on α^* , so part (d) is not circular.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose $\bar{Q} = \langle P_\alpha, Q_\alpha, a_\alpha, : \alpha < \alpha^* \rangle \in \mathcal{K}$. If $b \subseteq \alpha^*$ is \bar{Q} -closed, then $P_b^* \triangleleft P_{\alpha^*}^*$.*

PROOF Straightforward, see [Sh 288] and [Sh 289].

Let $f : \omega_1^{>2} \rightarrow \aleph_1$ be one-to-one, such that if $\eta \triangleleft \nu$, then $f(\eta) \triangleleft f(\nu)$. For $\rho \in \omega_1^2$ let $w_\rho = \{f(\rho \upharpoonright i) : i < \aleph_1\} \in [\aleph_1]^{\aleph_1}$. Note that if $\rho_1 \neq \rho_2$ in ω_1^2 , then $|w_{\rho_1} \cap w_{\rho_2}| < \aleph_1$. Let R be the countable support forcing adding \aleph_4 many Cohen subsets of ω_1 , ρ_i ($i < \omega_4$). Note that in V^R , $\{w_{\rho_i} : i \in \omega_4\}$ is a family of almost disjoint, uncountable subsets of ω_1 . Let $B_i = \{\alpha_\epsilon^i : \epsilon \in w_{\rho_i}\}$. Note that $\{M_u^i : u \in [B_i]^{\leq 2}\}$ is still a system of models on i , hence without loss of generality we can assume that $w_{\rho_i} = \omega_1$. For $\zeta \in \omega_1$ define $B_i(\zeta) = \{\alpha_\epsilon^i : \epsilon < \zeta\}$. In V^R we shall define an iteration $\langle P_i, Q_i, a_i : i < \chi \rangle \in \mathcal{K}_{\aleph_4}$. Working in V^R , we define $\bar{Q} \upharpoonright i$, by induction on $i < \omega_4$, and we prove that it is as in 3.1 (in V^R).

We call i good if it satisfies: $i \in W$, each M_u^i has a predetermined predicate describing $\bar{Q} \upharpoonright M_u^i$ (as an R -name, with the limit P_u^i) and an $R \upharpoonright M_u^i * P_u^i$ -name f for a function from $\omega^2 \times \omega^2$ into ω^2 and each M_u^i is \bar{Q} -closed. (Recall that we do not distinguish between the model M_u^i and its universe). In this case we put $a_i = \bigcup \{M_u^i : u \in [B_i]^{\leq 2}\}$ and define Q_i below.

If i is not good we put $a_i = \emptyset$ and define Q_i to be the Cohen forcing, i.e., $Q_i = (\omega^{>2}, \triangleleft)$. We can assume that if $\alpha \in B_i$, then Q_α is Cohen, (or just replace B_i by $\{\alpha + 1 : \alpha \in B_i\}$). For $\alpha \in B_i$, let r_α be the Cohen real forced by Q_α .

Remark . The reason we add \aleph_4 almost disjoint subsets of ω_1 is that, in V^R , if $i \neq j$ are good and $\text{otp}(C_i) = \text{otp}(C_j)$, then the systems associated with i and j are almost disjoint, i.e., there is $\zeta \in \omega_1$ such that

$$\left(\bigcup \{M_u^i : u \in [B_i]^{\leq 2}\} \right) \cap \left(\bigcup \{M_u^j : u \in [B_j]^{\leq 2}\} \right) \subseteq \left(\bigcup \{M_u^i : u \in [B_i(\zeta)]^{\leq 2}\} \right) \cap \left(\bigcup \{M_u^j : u \in [B_j(\zeta)]^{\leq 2}\} \right)$$

Note that if $\text{otp}(C_i) \neq \text{otp}(C_j)$ then we have almost disjointness by 2.2(D)(i).

Notation For $\xi, \zeta \in \omega_1$ let $Z_{\xi, \zeta}^i = M_{\{\alpha_\xi^i, \alpha_\zeta^i\}}^i \cup M_{\{\alpha_\xi^i\}}^i \cup M_{\{\alpha_\zeta^i\}}^i$, $Z_\xi^i = M_{\{\alpha_\xi^i\}}^i$.

Now we fix a good i . Our goal is to define Q_i .

Definition 3.3. For $p, q \in R$ (or in $P_{\omega_4}^*$), $\text{dom}(p), \text{dom}(q) \subseteq Z_{0,1}^i$ we say that p and q are dual if $OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(p \upharpoonright Z_0^i) = q \upharpoonright Z_1^i$ and $OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(q \upharpoonright Z_0^i) = p \upharpoonright Z_1^i$.

Using $G_{R \upharpoonright M_0^i}$ we choose, by induction on $k < \omega$, conditions $r_\eta^i, r_\eta^{i,l} \in R$ for $\eta \in k^2, l < 2$, such that:

- (a) $r_\eta^i \in (R \upharpoonright Z_0^i) / G_{R \upharpoonright M_0^i}$.
- (b) $\nu \triangleleft \eta \Rightarrow r_\nu^i \leq r_\eta^i$.

- (c) if $l = m + 1$, if $\eta \in {}^m 2$, $l < 2$, then $r_\eta^{i,l} \in (R \upharpoonright Z_{0,1}^i) / G_{R \upharpoonright M_\emptyset^i}$ and $r_\eta^{i,l} \leq r_\eta^{i,l} \upharpoonright Z_0^i \leq r_\eta^{i, < l >}$ and $OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(r_\eta^i) \leq r_\eta^{i,l} \upharpoonright Z_1^i \leq OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(r_\eta^{i, < l >})$, and $r_\eta^{i,0}$ and $r_\eta^{i,1}$ are dual.
- (d) $r_\eta^{i,l}$ forces that $A_k^{\eta,l} = \{p_{k,n}^{\eta,l} : n \in \omega\}$ is a predense subset of $P_{Z_{0,1}^i}^*$, such that each $p_{k,n}^{\eta,l}$ forces the value $f_{k,n}^{\eta,l}$ of $f(r_{\alpha_0^i}, r_{\alpha_1^i}) \upharpoonright k$.
- (e) $A_k^{\eta,0}$ and $A_k^{\eta,1}$ are dual, i.e. for every $m \in \omega$, $p_{k,m}^{\eta,0}$ and $p_{k,m}^{\eta,1}$ are dual. Moreover if $k_1 < k_2$, then $A_{k_2}^{\eta,l}$ refines $A_{k_1}^{\eta,l}$.

Suppose we have r_η^i . We define $r_\eta^{i,0}$, $r_\eta^{i,1}$ and $A_k^{\eta,0}$, $A_k^{\eta,1}$ as follows.

1. Let $r_1 = r_\eta^i \cup OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(r_\eta^i)$.
2. Let $r_{1,0} \geq r_1$, $r_{1,0} \in R \upharpoonright Z_{0,1}$, forces a maximal antichain $A_{1,0}$ of $P_{Z_{0,1}}^*$, such that each element of $A_{1,0}$ forces a value of $f(r_{\alpha_0^i}, r_{\alpha_1^i}) \upharpoonright k$.
3. Let $r_2 = OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(r_{1,0} \upharpoonright Z_0^i) \cup OP_{Z_0^i, Z_1^i}(r_{1,0} \upharpoonright Z_1^i)$. Let $r_{2,1} \geq r_2$, $r_{2,1} \in R \upharpoonright Z_{0,1}$ forces $A_{2,1}$ to be a predense subset of $P_{Z_{0,1}}^*$ such that each element of $A_{2,1}$ forces a value of $f(r_{\alpha_0^i}, r_{\alpha_1^i}) \upharpoonright k$. Moreover, $A_{2,1} = \bigcup \{A_p : p \in A_{1,0}\}$, where for every $q \in A_p$ we have $q \geq OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(p \upharpoonright Z_0^i) \cup OP_{Z_0^i, Z_1^i}(p \upharpoonright Z_1^i)$.
4. Let $r_3 = OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(r_{2,1} \upharpoonright Z_0^i) \cup OP_{Z_0^i, Z_1^i}(r_{2,1} \upharpoonright Z_1^i)$.
5. Let $r_{3,0} = r_3 \cup r_{1,0}$ (note: $r_{3,0}$ is dual to $r_{2,1}$). Let $A_{3,0} = \{p \cup OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(q \upharpoonright Z_0^i) \cup OP_{Z_0^i, Z_1^i}(q \upharpoonright Z_1^i) : q \in A_p\}$.
6. Let $r_\eta^{i,0} = r_{3,0}$, $r_\eta^{i,1} = r_{2,1}$, $A_k^{\eta,0} = A_{3,0}$ and $A_k^{\eta,1} = A_{2,1}$.

Let for $\eta \in {}^\omega 2$, $r_\eta^i = \bigcup_{k < \omega} r_\eta^{i,k}$. In V choose $\langle \eta_\epsilon^* : \epsilon < \omega_1 \rangle$, distinct members of ${}^\omega 2$. Recall that ρ_j ($j < \aleph_4$) are the Cohen subsets of ω_1 forced by R In $V[\langle \rho_j : j \in \{i\} \cup a_i \rangle]$ we can find $w^i \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1}$ such that

- (α) if $\epsilon \in w^i$ then $OP_{Z_\epsilon^i, Z_0^i}(r_{\eta_\epsilon^*}^i) \in G_{R \upharpoonright Z_\epsilon^i}$,
- (β) if $\epsilon_0 < \epsilon_1$ are in w^i , $l = TV(\eta_{\epsilon_0}^* \upharpoonright_{< l x} \eta_{\epsilon_1}^*)$, then
- $$OP_{Z_{\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1}^i, Z_{0,1}^i}(r_{\eta_{\epsilon_0}^* \cap \eta_{\epsilon_1}^*}^{i,l}) \in G_{R \upharpoonright Z_{\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1}^i}.$$

We choose the members of w^i inductively using the fact that R has $(< \aleph_1)$ -support.

Notation For $\xi \in w^i$ denote $r_\xi^i = r_{\alpha_\xi^i}$.

Let H be R -generic and G be $P_{a_i}^*$ -generic. In $V[H][G]$ we define Q_i . A condition in Q_i is $(u, v, \bar{\nu}, \bar{m}, F_0, F_1)$, where:

- (1) u is a finite subset of w^i .
- (2) v is a finite set of elements of the form (η, ρ) , where
 - (a) $\eta, \rho \in {}^\omega > 2$, $\text{lh}(\eta) = \text{lh}(\rho)$, $\rho \neq \eta$,
 - (b) $\eta \triangleleft r_\alpha^i$, $\rho \triangleleft r_\beta^i$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in u$ and if $\nu = \eta_\alpha^* \cap \eta_\beta^*$ then for every $\gamma \in u$ we have: if $\eta \triangleleft r_\gamma^i$, then $\eta_\gamma^* \upharpoonright (\text{lh}(\nu) + 1) = \eta_\alpha^* \upharpoonright (\text{lh}(\nu) + 1)$, and if $\rho \triangleleft r_\gamma^i$, then $\eta_\gamma^* \upharpoonright (\text{lh}(\nu) + 1) = \eta_\beta^* \upharpoonright (\text{lh}(\nu) + 1)$.
- (3) $\bar{\nu}$ is a function from v into ${}^\omega > 2$ such that for $(\eta, \rho) \in v$ we have: $\bar{\nu}(\eta, \rho)$ is such that there is $\alpha, \beta \in u$ such that $\eta \triangleleft r_\alpha^i$, $\rho \triangleleft r_\beta^i$ and $\bar{\nu}(\eta, \rho) = \eta_\alpha^* \cap \eta_\beta^*$, ($\bar{\nu}$ is well defined by (2)).
- (4) \bar{m} is a function from v to ω . For $(\eta, \rho) \in v$, $\bar{m}(\eta, \rho)$ is such that for every $\alpha, \beta \in u$ such that $\eta \triangleleft r_\alpha^i$, $\rho \triangleleft r_\beta^i$, we have $OP_{Z_{\alpha, \beta}^i, Z_{0,1}^i}(p_{\text{lh}(\eta), \bar{m}(\eta, \rho)}^{\nu, l}) \in G$, where $l = TV(\eta_\alpha^* \upharpoonright_{< l x} \eta_\beta^*)$ and $\nu = \eta_\alpha^* \cap \eta_\beta^*$.

- (5) For $l = 0, 1$, F_l is a function from v into $\omega^{>2}$, defined by: for $(\eta, \rho) \in v$, $F_l(\eta, \rho)$ is the value of $f(r_0, r_1) \upharpoonright lh(\eta)$ forced by $p_{lh(\eta), \bar{m}(\eta, \rho)}^{\bar{v}(\eta, \rho), l}$.
- (6) For $(\eta, \rho), (\eta_1, \rho_1) \in v$, if $\eta \triangleleft \eta_1$ and $\rho \triangleleft \rho_1$, then $F_l(\eta, \rho) \triangleleft F_l(\eta_1, \rho_1)$, for $l = 0, 1$.

Order: $(u, v, \bar{v}, \bar{m}, F_0, F_1) \leq (u^1, v^1, \bar{v}^1, \bar{m}^1, F_0^1, F_1^1)$ if

- (7) $u \subseteq u^1$,
(8) $v \subseteq v^1$,
(9) $F_l = F_l^1 \upharpoonright v$, $\bar{v} = \bar{v}^1 \upharpoonright v$, $\bar{m} = \bar{m}^1 \upharpoonright v$, $l = 0, 1$.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose (q_α, p_α) , (for $\alpha \in \omega_1$), are in $P_{a_i}^* * Q_i$, q_α forces p_α to be a real 6-tuple in Q_i , not just a $P_{a_i}^*$ -name of such a tuple, $\text{dom}(q_\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in \omega_1$) form a delta system with the root Δ , $\zeta \in \omega_1$. Let $b = \bigcup \{M_u^i : u \in [B_i(\zeta)]^{\leq 2}\}$. Suppose $\Delta - \{i\} \subseteq b$ and $\text{dom}(q_\alpha) \cap b = \Delta$ for $\alpha \in \omega_1$.*

Then there is an uncountable set $E \subseteq \omega_1$ such that for every $\alpha, \beta \in E$, (q_α, p_α) and (q_β, p_β) are compatible, moreover if $q \in P_b^$, $q \geq q_\alpha \upharpoonright b$, $q_\beta \upharpoonright b$, then $q, (q_\alpha, p_\alpha)$ and (q_β, p_β) are compatible.*

PROOF By thinning out we can find an uncountable set $E \subseteq \omega_1$ such that:

- (a) For $\alpha \in E$ let $w_\alpha = \bigcup \{u \in [B_i]^{<2} : \text{dom}(q_\alpha) \cap M_u^i \neq \emptyset\}$, (each w_α is finite). The sets w_α , ($\alpha \in E$) form a delta system with the root w and if $\alpha < \beta$, $\xi \in w_\alpha, \zeta \in w_\beta$, then $\xi \leq \zeta$.
- (b) u^{p_α} ($\alpha \in E$) form a delta system with the root u and $\alpha < \beta$, $\xi \in u^{p_\alpha}, \zeta \in u^{p_\beta}$, then $\xi \leq \zeta$, $|u^{p_\alpha}| = n^*$.
- (c) $v^{p_\alpha} = v^*$ for $\alpha \in E$ and the structures $(u^{p_\alpha}, \{q_\alpha(\xi) : \xi \in u^{p_\alpha}\}, v^*, \{\eta_\xi^* \upharpoonright m^* : \xi \in u^{p_\alpha}\})$ are isomorphic, (isomorphism given by the order preserving bijection between respective u^{p_α} 's), where m^* is such that $lh(\eta_\xi^* \cap \eta_\zeta^*) < m^*$ for every $\xi \neq \zeta$ in u^{p_α} .

Lemma 3.5. P_{i+1} has the property K .

PROOF Let $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ be an uncountable subset of P_{i+1} . W.l.o.g. we can assume that $\text{dom}(p_\alpha)$, ($\alpha \in \omega_1$) form a delta system with the root Δ . We have to find an uncountable subset $E \subseteq \omega_1$ such that for any $\alpha, \beta \in E$, p_α and p_β are compatible. We prove it by induction on $k = |\Delta|$.

For $k = 0$, trivial. For the induction step assume that $\Delta = \{i_0, \dots, i_k\}$ ordered by \triangleleft , where for $\alpha, \beta < \omega_4$, we define $\alpha \triangleleft \beta$ iff $\text{otp}(C_\alpha) < \text{otp}(C_\beta)$ or $\text{otp}(C_\alpha) = \text{otp}(C_\beta)$ and $\alpha < \beta$.

By the induction hypothesis there is an uncountable set $E' \subseteq \omega_1$ such that for $\alpha, \beta \in E'$, $p_\alpha \upharpoonright \bigcup_{l < k} a_{i_l}$ and $p_\beta \upharpoonright \bigcup_{l < k} a_{i_l}$ are compatible. Note that there is $\zeta \in \omega_1$ such that $a_{i_k} \cap (\bigcup_{l < k} a_{i_l}) \subseteq \bigcup \{M_u^{i_k} : u \in [B_{i_k}(\zeta)]^{\leq 2}\}$, (see 2.2(D)). Now use the previous lemma.

Now suppose that $G(i)$ is Q_i -generic. Let

$$A' = \bigcup \{u : \exists (v, \bar{v}, \bar{m}, F_0, F_1), (u, v, \bar{v}, \bar{m}, F_0, F_1) \in G(i)\}.$$

In $V[G]$ let $A = \{r_\alpha^i : \alpha \in A'\}$ and let $f_l : [A]^2 \rightarrow \omega^2$ be defined by:

$$f_l(r_\alpha^i, r_\beta^i) = \bigcup \{F_l(\eta, \rho) : \exists (u, v, \bar{v}, \bar{m}, F_0, F_1) \in G(i), \\ \alpha, \beta \in u, (\eta, \rho) \in v, \eta \triangleleft r_\alpha^i, \rho \triangleleft r_\beta^i\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup \{v : \exists (u, \bar{v}, \bar{m}, F_0, F_1) : (u, v, \bar{v}, \bar{m}, F_0, F_1) \in G(i)\}.$

Lemma 3.6. (1) For every $\alpha, \beta \in A'$ and $n \in \omega$ there is $(\eta, \rho) \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\text{lh}(\eta) = \text{lh}(\rho) \geq n$ and $\eta \triangleleft r_\alpha$ and $\rho \triangleleft r_\beta$,
(2) A is uncountable,
(3) f_0, f_1 are continuous,
(4) for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in [A]^2$, if $l = \text{TV}(\eta_\alpha^* \triangleleft_{lx} \eta_\beta^*)$, then $f(r_\alpha^i, r_\beta^i) = f_l(r_\alpha^i, r_\beta^i)$.

PROOF (1) and (2) follow by a density argument. To prove (1) suppose that $(p, q) \in P_i * Q_i$, p forces that $\alpha, \beta \in u^q$. W.l.o.g. $\alpha, \beta \in \text{dom}(p)$. Let $p_1 \in P_i$ be such that $\text{dom}(p) = \text{dom}(p_1)$, $p(\zeta) = p_1(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in \text{dom}(p) \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$, $p(\alpha) \triangleleft p_1(\alpha)$, $p(\beta) \triangleleft p_1(\beta)$, $\text{lh}(p_1(\alpha)) = \text{lh}(p_1(\beta)) \geq n$, (remember that Q_α, Q_β are Cohen). Let $\eta = p_1(\alpha)$, $\rho = p_1(\beta)$, $\nu = \eta_\alpha^* \cap \eta_\beta^*$, $l = \text{TV}(\eta_\alpha^* \triangleleft_{lx} \eta_\beta^*)$. Let $m \in \omega$ be such that $OP_{Z_{\alpha, \beta}, Z_{0,1}}(p_{\text{lh}(\eta), m}^{\nu, l})$ is compatible with p_1 , and let p_2 be the common upper bound. Now define $q_1 \geq q$ as follows. $u^{q_1} = u^q$, $v^{q_1} = v^q \cup \{(\eta, \rho)\}$, $\bar{v}^{q_1}(\eta, \rho) = \nu$, $\bar{m}^{q_1}(\eta, \rho) = m$, $F_l^{q_1}(\eta, \rho)$ is the value forced by $p_{\text{lh}(\eta), m}^{\nu, l}$. Hence $(p_2, q_1) \geq (p, q)$ and it forces what is required.

To prove (2) it is enough to show, in V^R , that for every $\alpha \in \omega_1$ and $(p, q) \in P_i * Q_i$ there is $\beta > \alpha$ and $(p_1, q_1) \geq (p, q)$, such that $\beta \in u^{q_1}$. Let $\beta > \alpha$ be such that $\text{dom}(p) \cap Z_{\gamma, \beta}^i \subseteq M_\emptyset^i$ and $\beta > \gamma$ for every $\gamma \in u^q$. Let $\gamma \in u^q$ be such that $(\eta_{\gamma_1}^* \cap \eta_\beta^*) \triangleleft (\eta_\gamma^* \cap \eta_\beta^*)$ for every $\gamma_1 \in u^q$. Define condition $q_1(\beta) = q(\gamma)$ and let p_1 be a condition extending p and each of conditions $OP_{Z_{\gamma_1, \beta}^i, Z_{0,1}^i}(p_{\text{lh}(\eta), \bar{m}(\eta, \rho)}^{\bar{v}(\eta, \rho), l})$ such that $(\eta, \rho) \in v$, $\eta \triangleleft q(\gamma_1)$, $\rho \triangleleft q(\gamma)$ and $l = \text{TV}(\eta_{\gamma_1}^* \triangleleft \eta_\beta^*)$. Finally extend q to q_1 such that $u^{q_1} = u^q \cup \{\beta\}$.

Condition (3) follows from (1) and (5) and (6) in the definition of Q_i .

To prove (4) it is enough to show that for every $n \in \omega$, $f(r_\alpha^i, r_\beta^i) \upharpoonright n = f_l(r_\alpha^i, r_\beta^i) \upharpoonright n$. By condition (1) there is $(\eta, \rho) \in V$ such that $k = \text{lh}(\eta) \geq n$ and $\eta \triangleleft r_\alpha^i$ and $\rho \triangleleft r_\beta^i$. Recall that $p = p_{\text{lh}(\eta), \bar{m}(\eta, \rho)}^{\bar{v}(\eta, \rho), l}$ forces that $f(r_0^i, r_1^i) \upharpoonright k = h$ for some fixed h . Now working in V consider $(r_{\eta_\alpha^* \cap \eta_\beta^*}^{i, l}, p) \in R * P_i \upharpoonright Z_{0,1}^i$. By the construction the condition $(r', p) = OP_{Z_{\alpha, \beta}^i, Z_{0,1}^i}(r_{\eta_\alpha^* \cap \eta_\beta^*}^{i, l}, p) \in H * G$, and forces that $f(r_\alpha^i, r_\beta^i) = h$. On the other hand, by definition $F_l(\eta, \rho) = h$ and $F_l(\eta, \rho) \triangleleft f_l(r_\alpha^i, r_\beta^i)$. This finishes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [ARSh] Uri Abraham, Matatyahu Rubin, and Saharon Shelah, *On the consistency of some partition theorems for continuous colorings, and the structure of \aleph_1 -dense real order types*, Ann. Pure. Appl. Logic **29** (1985), 123-206.
- [Sh 473] Saharon Shelah, *Possibly every real function is continuous on a non-meager set*, Publications de L'Institut Mathematique, Nouvelle serie tome **57** (71), 1995, 47-60.
- [Sh 300F] Saharon Shelah, *Universal Classes - Classification Theory*, forthcoming.
- [Sh 289] Saharon Shelah, *Strong Partition Relations Below the Power Set: Consistency, Was Sierpinski Right - II?* In: Proceedings of the conference on Set Theory and its Applications in honor of A. Hajnal and V.T. Sos, Budapest 1991.
- [Sh 288] Saharon Shelah, *Consistency of positive partition theorems for graphs and models*, In: Set Theory and Its Applications Conference, York University, J. Steprans and S. Watson eds, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1401, Springer-Verlag 1989, 167-193.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM,, JERUSALEM 91904, ISRAEL

E-mail address: rabus@mathstat.yorku.ca shelah@math.huji.ac.il