

# A SPACE WITH ONLY BOREL SUBSETS

SAHARON SHELAH

Miklós Laczkovich (Budapest) asked if there exists a Hausdorff (or even normal) space in which every subset is Borel yet it is not meager. The motivation of the last condition is that under  $\text{MA}_\kappa$  every subspace of the reals of cardinality  $\kappa$  has the property that all subsets are  $F_\sigma$  however Martin's axiom also implies that these subsets are meager. Here we answer Laczkovich' question. I thank Peter Komjath – the existence of this paper owes much to him.

**Theorem.** *The following are equiconsistent.*

- (1) *There exists a measurable cardinal.*
- (2) *There is a non-meager  $T_1$  space with no isolated points in which every subset is Borel.*
- (3) *There is a non-meager  $T_4$  space with no isolated points in which every subset is the union of an open and a closed set.*

**Proof.** Assume first that  $\kappa$  is measurable in the model  $V$ . Add  $\kappa$  Cohen reals, that is, force with the partial ordering  $\text{Add}(\omega, \kappa)$ . Our model will be  $V[G]$  where  $G \subseteq \text{Add}(\omega, \kappa)$  is generic. We first observe that in  $V[G]$  there is a  $\kappa$ -complete ideal on  $\kappa$  such that the complete Boolean algebra  $P(\kappa)/I$  is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra of the complete closure of  $\text{Add}(\omega, j(\kappa))$  where  $j : V \rightarrow M$  is the corresponding elementary embedding. Indeed we let  $X \in I$  if and only if  $1 \Vdash \kappa \notin j(\tau)$  for some  $\tau$  satisfying  $X = \tau^G$ , that is,  $\tau$  is a name for  $X \subseteq \kappa$ . Moreover, the mapping  $X \mapsto \llbracket \kappa \in j(\tau) \rrbracket$  is an isomorphism between  $P(\kappa)/I$  and the regular Boolean algebra of  $\text{Add}(\omega, j(\kappa) \setminus \kappa)$  (where  $\tau$  is a name for  $X$ ). Notice that  $|j(\kappa)| = 2^\kappa$ .

We observe that this Boolean algebra has the following properties. There are  $2^\kappa$  subsets  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < 2^\kappa\}$  which are independent mod  $I$ , that is, if  $s$  is a function from a finite subset of  $\kappa$  into  $\{0, 1\}$  then the intersection

$$B_s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{\alpha \in \text{Dom}(s)} A_\alpha^{s(\alpha)}$$

is not in  $I$  (here  $A^1 = A$  and  $A^0 = \kappa \setminus A$ ). Moreover, if  $A \subseteq \kappa$  then there are countably many pairwise contradictory functions  $s_0, s_1, \dots$  as above, such that

$$A/I = B_{s_0}/I \vee B_{s_1}/I \vee \dots,$$

that is,  $A$  can be written as  $B_{s_0} \cup B_{s_1} \cup \dots$  add-and-take-away a set in  $I$ .

By cardinality assumptions we can assume that for every pair  $(X, Y)$  of disjoint members of  $I$  there is some  $\alpha < 2^\kappa$  with  $X \subseteq A_\alpha, Y \subseteq \kappa \setminus A_\alpha$ .

---

The research was partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation, founded by the Israeli Academy of Sciences and Humanities. Publication 730.

We define a topology on  $\kappa$  by declaring the system

$$\{A_\alpha \setminus Z, A^1 \setminus Z : \alpha < 2^\kappa, Z \in I\}$$

a subsbasis, or, what is the same, the collection of all sets of the form  $B_s \setminus Z$  (where  $Z \in I$ ) a basis.

We prove the following statements on the space.

**Claim.** *The space has the following properties.*

- (1) Every set of the form  $B_s$  is clopen, every set in  $I$  is closed.
- (2) Every meager set is in  $I$ .
- (3) Every set is the union of an open and a closed set.
- (4) The closure of  $B_s \setminus Z$  is  $B_s$ .
- (5) The space is  $T_4$ .

**Proof.** 1. Straightforward.

2. Every set not in  $I$  contains a subset of the form  $B_s \setminus Z$  (by one of the properties of the Boolean algebra mentioned above), which is open, so every nowhere dense, therefore every meager set is in  $I$ .

3. If  $A \subseteq \kappa$  then  $A/I$  can be written as  $A/I = B_{s_0}/I \vee B_{s_1}/I \vee \dots$  and then clearly

$$A = \left( (B_{s_0} \setminus Z_0) \cup (B_{s_1} \setminus Z_1) \cup \dots \right) \cup Z$$

for some sets  $Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z$  in  $I$ . But this is a decomposition into the union of an open and a closed set.

4. Clear.

5. Assume we are given the disjoint closed sets  $F$  and  $F'$ . They can be written as

$$F = (B_{s_0} \setminus Z_0) \cup (B_{s_1} \setminus Z_1) \cup \dots \cup Z$$

and

$$F' = (B_{s'_0} \setminus Z'_0) \cup (B_{s'_1} \setminus Z'_1) \cup \dots \cup Z'.$$

As  $F$  and  $F'$  are closed, using 4., we can assume that

$$Z_0 = Z_1 = \dots = Z'_0 = Z'_1 = \dots = \emptyset.$$

Set  $G = B_{s_0} \cup B_{s_1} \cup \dots$ ,  $G' = B_{s'_0} \cup B_{s'_1} \cup \dots$ , then  $F = G \cup Z$ ,  $F' = G' \cup Z'$  and these four sets are pairwise disjoint. It suffices to separate each of the pairs  $(G, G')$ ,  $(G, Z')$ ,  $(G', Z)$ , and  $(Z, Z')$ . There is no problem with the first case, as  $G, G'$  are open. For the last case we use our assumption that some  $A_\alpha$  separates  $Z$  and  $Z'$ . For the second, we can assume that  $G$  is non empty hence  $B_{s_0}$  is well defined and disjoint to  $Z'$ , now choose  $\alpha < \kappa$  such that  $Z'$  is a subset of  $A_\alpha$ , and so  $G, A_\alpha \setminus B_{s_0}$  is a pair of disjoint open sets as required. Lastly the third case is similar to the second.

We have proved (1)  $\longrightarrow$  (3), and (3)  $\longrightarrow$  (2) is trivial; lastly for (2)  $\longrightarrow$  (1) assume that  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a non-meager  $T_1$  space with no isolated points in which every subset is Borel. Let  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\}$  be a maximal system of disjoint, nonempty, meager open sets. Such a system exists by Zorn's lemma. Set  $Y = \bigcup \{G_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\}$ . Clearly,  $Y$  is meager. As the boundary of the open  $Y$  is nowhere dense, we get that even the closure of  $Y$  is meager. Then the nonempty subspace  $Z = X - \overline{Y}$  has the property that no nonempty open set is meager and every subset is Borel. If  $I$  is the meager ideal on  $Z$  then every subset is equal to some open set mod  $I$ . We

claim that  $I$  is precipitous on  $Z$  which implies that in some inner model there is a measurable cardinal (see [1], [2]).

For this, assume that  $\mathcal{W}^0, \mathcal{W}^1, \dots$  is a refining sequence of mod  $I$  partitions. That is, every  $\mathcal{W}^n$  is a maximal system of  $I$ -almost disjoint open sets, and if  $A$  is a member of some  $\mathcal{W}^{n+1}$  then there is some member of  $\mathcal{W}^n$  which includes  $A$  mod  $I$ . We try to find a member  $A_n \in \mathcal{W}^n$  such that  $\bigcap \{A_n : n < \omega\}$  is nonempty. To this, observe that the intersection of two members in  $\mathcal{W}^n$  is a meagre open set, hence is the empty set. Therefore,  $\mathcal{W}^n$  is actually a decomposition of  $Z \setminus Z_n$  into the union of disjoint open sets where  $Z_n$  is a meager set. Pick an element in  $Z \setminus \bigcup \{Z_n : n < \omega\}$  then it is in some member of  $\mathcal{W}^n$  for every  $n$  and we are done.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Jech, K. Prikry: *Ideals over uncountable sets: Application of almost disjoint functions and generic ultrapowers*, *Memoirs of the A.M.S.*, **214**, 1979.
- [2] T. Jech, M. Magidor, W. Mitchell, K. Prikry: *On precipitous ideals*, *Journal of Symbolic Logic* **45**(1980), 1–8.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, 91904 JERUSALEM, ISRAEL, AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08854, USA

*E-mail address:* [shelah@math.huji.ac.il](mailto:shelah@math.huji.ac.il)

*URL:* <http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~shelah>