

SQUARES OF MENGER-BOUNDED GROUPS

MICHAŁ MACHURA, SAHARON SHELAH, AND BOAZ TSABAN

ABSTRACT. Using a portion of the Continuum Hypothesis, we prove that there is a Menger-bounded (also called o -bounded) subgroup of the Baire group $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$, whose square is not Menger-bounded. This settles a major open problem concerning boundedness notions for groups, and implies that Menger-bounded groups need not be Scheepers-bounded.

1. INTRODUCTION

Assume that (G, \cdot) is a topological group. For $A, B \subseteq G$, $A \cdot B$ stands for $\{a \cdot b : a \in A, b \in B\}$, and $a \cdot B$ stands for $\{a \cdot b : b \in B\}$.

G is *Menger-bounded* (also called *o -bounded*) if for each sequence $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of neighborhoods of the unit, there exist finite sets $F_n \subseteq G$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $G = \bigcup_n F_n \cdot U_n$.

G is *Scheepers-bounded* if for each sequence $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of neighborhoods of the unit, there exist finite sets $F_n \subseteq G$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for each finite set $F \subseteq G$, there is n such that $F \subseteq F_n \cdot U_n$.

A variety of boundedness properties for groups, including the two mentioned ones, were studied extensively in the literature, resulting in an almost complete classification of these notions [15, 8, 9, 11, 4, 16, 2, 1, 12, 5]. Only the following classification problem remained open.

Problem 1. *Is every metrizable Menger-bounded group Scheepers-bounded?*

The notions of Menger-bounded and Scheepers-bounded groups are related in the following elegant manner.

Theorem 2 (Babinkostova-Kočinac-Scheepers [2]). *G is Scheepers-bounded if, and only if, G^k is Menger-bounded for all k .*

In light of Theorem 2, Problem 1 asks whether there could be a metrizable group G such that for some k , G^k is Menger-bounded but G^{k+1} is not. We give a negative answer by showing that, assuming

The authors were supported by: The EU Research and Training Network HPRN-CT-2002-00287, United States-Israel BSF Grant 2002323, and the Koshland Center for Basic Research, respectively. This is the second author's Publication 903.

a portion of the Continuum Hypothesis, there is such an example for each k .

Some special hypothesis is necessary in order to prove such a result: Banach and Zdomskyy [6, 5] proved that consistently, every topological group with Menger-bounded square is Scheepers-bounded.

2. SPECIALIZING THE QUESTION FOR THE BAIRE GROUP

Subgroups of the *Baire group* $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ form a rich source of examples of groups with various boundedness properties [12]. The advantage of working in $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is that the boundedness properties there can be stated in a purely combinatorial manner.

We use mainly self-evident notation. For natural numbers $k < m$, $[k, m) = \{k, k + 1, \dots, m - 1\}$. For a partial function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $|f|$ is the function with the same domain, which satisfies $|f|(n) = |f(n)|$, where in this case $|\cdot|$ denotes the absolute value. For partial functions $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $\text{dom}(f) \subseteq \text{dom}(g)$, $f \leq g$ means: For each n in the domain of f , $f(n) \leq g(n)$. Similarly, $f \leq k$ means: For each n in the domain of f , $f(n) \leq k$. The quantifiers $(\exists^{\infty} n)$ and $(\forall^{\infty} n)$ stand for “there exist infinitely many n ” and “for all but finitely many n ”, respectively.

Theorem 3 ([12]). *Assume that G is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) G is Menger-bounded.
- (2) For each increasing $h \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, there is $f \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that:

$$(\forall g \in G)(\exists^{\infty} n) |g| \upharpoonright [0, h(n)) \leq f(n).$$

The proof of Theorem 2 actually shows that the following holds for each natural number k .

Theorem 4. G^k is Menger-bounded if, and only if, for each sequence $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of neighborhoods of the unit, there exist finite sets $F_n \subseteq G$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for each $F \subseteq G$ with $|F| = k$, there is n such that $F \subseteq F_n \cdot U_n$. \square

Specializing to $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ again and using arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3, we obtain the following.

Theorem 5. *Assume that G is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) G^k is Menger-bounded.
- (2) For each increasing $h \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, there is $f \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that:

$$(\forall F \in [G]^k)(\exists^{\infty} n)(\forall g \in F) |g| \upharpoonright [0, h(n)) \leq f(n). \quad \square$$

In light of these results, it is clear that the theorem that we prove in the next section is what we are looking for.

3. THE MAIN THEOREM

The forthcoming Theorem 7 requires a (weak) portion of the Continuum Hypothesis. It is stated in terms of cardinal characteristics of the continuum, see [7] for an introduction. We define the following ad-hoc cardinals.

Definition 6. Fix a partition $\mathcal{P} = \{I_l : l \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of \mathbb{N} such that for each l , there are infinitely many n such that $n, n + 1 \in I_l$. For $f \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and an increasing $h \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, write

$$[f \ll h] = \{n : f(h(n)) < h(n + 1)\}.$$

$\mathfrak{d}'(\mathcal{P})$ is the cardinal such that the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\kappa < \mathfrak{d}'(\mathcal{P})$;
- (2) For each $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $|\mathcal{F}| = \kappa$, there is an increasing $h \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$(\forall l)(\exists^\infty n) n, n + 1 \in I_l \cap [f \ll h].$$

It is not difficult to show that for each \mathcal{P} , $\max\{\mathfrak{b}, \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})\} \leq \mathfrak{d}'(\mathcal{P}) \leq \mathfrak{d}$, and there are additional bounds on $\mathfrak{d}'(\mathcal{P})$ [14]. (Here \mathcal{M} denotes the ideal of meager subsets of \mathbb{R} .)

Theorem 7. *Assume that there is \mathcal{P} such that $\mathfrak{d}'(\mathcal{P}) = \mathfrak{d}$. Then for each k , there is a group $G \leq \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that G^k is Menger-bounded, but G^{k+1} is not Menger-bounded.*

Proof. Fix a partition $\mathcal{P} = \{I_l : l \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of \mathbb{N} such that for each l , there are infinitely many n such that $n, n + 1 \in I_l$, and such that $\mathfrak{d}'(\mathcal{P}) = \mathfrak{d}$.

Enumerate $\mathbb{Z}^{k \times (k+1)}$ as $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, such that the sequence $\{A_n\}_{n \in I_l}$ is constant for each l . Fix a dominating family of increasing functions $\{d_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{d}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$. For $v = (v_0, \dots, v_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$, write $\|v\|$ or $\|v_0, \dots, v_k\|$ for $\max\{|v_0|, \dots, |v_k|\}$ (the supremum norm of v).

We carry out a construction by induction on $\alpha < \mathfrak{d}$. Step α : Define functions $\varphi_{\alpha, m} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, by

$$\varphi_{\alpha, m}(n) = \min\{\|v\| : v \in \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}, \|v\| \geq d_\alpha(n), A_m v = \vec{0}\}.$$

Also, define $\varphi_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$\varphi_\alpha(n) = \max\{\varphi_{\alpha, i}(j) : i, j \leq n\}.$$

We define a set $M_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ as follows. Assume, inductively, that for each $\beta < \alpha$, $|M_\beta| \leq \max\{\aleph_0, |\beta|\}$. Let M_α be the smallest set (with respect to inclusion) containing d_α, φ_α and all functions defined in stages $< \alpha$

modified:2007-02-08

903 revision:2007-02-08

(in particular, $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta \subseteq M_\alpha$), and such that M_α is closed under all operations relevant for the proof.

For example, closing M_α under the following operations suffices:¹

- (a) $f(n) \mapsto c \cdot f(n)$, $c \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (b) $g(n) \mapsto \hat{g}(n) = \max\{|g(m)| : m \leq n\}$;
- (c) $(f, d) \mapsto f \circ d$ when $d \in M_\alpha \cap \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$;
- (d) $(f_0(n), \dots, f_{k-1}(n)) \mapsto \max\{f_0(n), \dots, f_{k-1}(n)\}$;
- (e) $(f_0(n), f_1(n), f_2(n), f_3(n)) \mapsto \psi(n)$, where $\psi(n)$ is defined to be

$$\min\{k : (\exists j) f_0(j) \leq f_1(j) \text{ and } [f_2(j), f_3(j)) \subseteq [n, k)\},$$

and where $f_2 \in M_\alpha \cap \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is increasing, and $f_1 \not\leq^* f_0$.

There are countably many such operations, and $|M_\alpha| \leq \max\{\aleph_0, |\alpha|\} < \mathfrak{d}'(\mathcal{P})$. By the definition of $\mathfrak{d}'(\mathcal{P})$, there is an increasing $h_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that for each $f \in M_\alpha \cap \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$,

$$(\forall l)(\exists^\infty n) n, n+1 \in I_l \cap [f \ll h_\alpha].$$

Define $k+1$ elements $g_0^\alpha, \dots, g_k^\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ as follows: For each n , let $v \in \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$ be a witness for the definition of $\varphi_{\alpha, n}(h_\alpha(n+1))$, and define $(g_0^\alpha(h_\alpha(n)), \dots, g_k^\alpha(h_\alpha(n))) = v$. The remaining values are defined by declaring each g_i^α to be constant on each interval $[h_\alpha(n), h_\alpha(n+1))$.

Take the generated subgroup $G = \langle g_0^\alpha, \dots, g_k^\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{d} \rangle$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$. We will show that G is as required in the theorem.

G^{k+1} is not Menger-bounded. We use Theorem 5. Take $h(n) = n+1$. Let $f \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Take $\alpha < \mathfrak{d}$ such that $f \leq^* d_\alpha$. Then $F = \{g_0^\alpha, \dots, g_k^\alpha\} \in [G]^{k+1}$. Let m be large enough, so that $f(m) \leq d_\alpha(m)$. Take n such that $m \in [h_\alpha(n), h_\alpha(n+1))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_0^\alpha(m), \dots, g_k^\alpha(m)\| &= \\ &= \|g_0^\alpha(h_\alpha(n)), \dots, g_k^\alpha(h_\alpha(n))\| = \varphi_{\alpha, n}(h_\alpha(n+1)) \geq \\ &\geq d_\alpha(h_\alpha(n+1)) \geq d_\alpha(m) \geq f(m). \end{aligned}$$

This violates Theorem 5(2) for the power $k+1$.

G^k is Menger-bounded. Let $h \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be increasing. Take $\delta < \mathfrak{d}$ such that $h \leq^* d_\delta$. It suffices to prove Theorem 5(2) for d_δ instead of h (so that now h is free to denote something else). Abbreviate $d = d_\delta$, $h = h_\delta$.

Choose an increasing $f \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ dominating all functions $f_c(n) = c \cdot h(n+1)$, $c \in \mathbb{N}$. We will prove that f is as required in Theorem 5(2).

¹We may, alternatively, use model theory for first-order logic and assume that the sets M_α are elementary submodels of $H(\kappa)$ for a sufficiently large κ .

Fix $F = \{g_0, \dots, g_{k-1}\} \subseteq G$. Then there are $g'_0, \dots, g'_{k-1} \in M_\delta$, $i < k$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_M < \mathfrak{d}$ such that $\delta < \alpha_1$, and matrices $B_1, \dots, B_M \in \mathbb{Z}^{k \times (k+1)}$, such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_0 \\ \vdots \\ g_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g'_0 \\ \vdots \\ g'_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} + B_1 \begin{pmatrix} g_0^{\alpha_1} \\ \vdots \\ g_k^{\alpha_1} \end{pmatrix} + \dots + B_M \begin{pmatrix} g_0^{\alpha_M} \\ \vdots \\ g_k^{\alpha_M} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each $m \leq M$, let

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_{0,m} \\ \vdots \\ g_{k-1,m} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g'_0 \\ \vdots \\ g'_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} + B_1 \begin{pmatrix} g_0^{\alpha_1} \\ \vdots \\ g_k^{\alpha_1} \end{pmatrix} + \dots + B_m \begin{pmatrix} g_0^{\alpha_m} \\ \vdots \\ g_k^{\alpha_m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We prove, by induction on $m \leq M$, that for an appropriate constant c_m ,

$$[\|\hat{g}_{0,m} \circ d, \dots, \hat{g}_{k-1,m} \circ d\| \ll c_m \cdot h]$$

is infinite. By the definition of f , this suffices.

$m = 0$: As $g'_0, \dots, g'_{k-1}, d \in M_\delta$, $\|\hat{g}'_0 \circ d, \dots, \hat{g}'_{k-1} \circ d\| \in M_\delta$, and as $h = h_\delta$,

$$[\|\hat{g}'_0 \circ d, \dots, \hat{g}'_{k-1} \circ d\| \ll h]$$

is infinite, so that $c_0 = 1$ works.

From $m - 1$ to m : Let

$$J_m = [\|\hat{g}_{0,m-1} \circ d, \dots, \hat{g}_{k-1,m-1} \circ d\| \ll c_{m-1} \cdot h],$$

and assume that J_m is infinite. We must prove that J_{m+1} is infinite, for an appropriate constant c_m . As $g_{0,m-1}, \dots, g_{k-1,m-1}, d, h \in M_{\alpha_m}$, we have that $\|\hat{g}_{0,m-1} \circ d, \dots, \hat{g}_{k-1,m-1} \circ d\|, c_{m-1} \cdot h, d \circ h \in M_{\alpha_m}$, and thus the (well defined) function

$$\psi_m(n) = \min\{k : (\exists j \in J_m) [h(j), d(h(j))] \subseteq [n, k]\}$$

belongs to M_{α_m} . Thus, $\max\{\psi_m, \varphi_{\alpha_m}\} \in M_{\alpha_m}$.

For each $i \leq k$ and each $n > 0$, as $n - 1 \leq h_{\alpha_m}(n)$, we have that

$$|g_i^{\alpha_m}(h_{\alpha_m}(n - 1))| \leq \varphi_{\alpha_m, n-1}(h_{\alpha_m}(n)) \leq \varphi_{\alpha_m}(h_{\alpha_m}(n)).$$

As φ_{α_m} is nondecreasing,

$$\|\hat{g}_0^{\alpha_m}(h_{\alpha_m}(n - 1)), \dots, \hat{g}_k^{\alpha_m}(h_{\alpha_m}(n - 1))\| \leq \varphi_{\alpha_m}(h_{\alpha_m}(n)).$$

Thus, if l is such that for each $n \in I_l$, $A_n = B_m$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \left\{ n : \begin{array}{l} n, n+1 \in I_l, \\ (\exists j \in J_m) [h(j), d(h(j))] \subseteq [h_{\alpha_m}(n+1), h_{\alpha_m}(n+2)], \\ \|\hat{g}_0^{\alpha_m}(h_{\alpha_m}(n-1)), \dots, \hat{g}_k^{\alpha_m}(h_{\alpha_m}(n-1))\| < h_{\alpha_m}(n+1) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\supseteq \left\{ n : \begin{array}{l} n, n+1 \in I_l, \\ \psi_m(h_{\alpha_m}(n+1)) < h_{\alpha_m}(n+2), \\ \varphi_{\alpha_m}(h_{\alpha_m}(n)) < h_{\alpha_m}(n+1) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\supseteq \{n : n, n+1 \in I_l \cap [\max\{\psi_m, \varphi_{\alpha_m}\} \ll h_{\alpha_m}]\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of h_{α_m} , the last set is infinite, and therefore so is I .

By the construction,

$$A_n \cdot \begin{pmatrix} g_0^{\alpha_m}(h_{\alpha_m}(n)) \\ \vdots \\ g_k^{\alpha_m}(h_{\alpha_m}(n)) \end{pmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

for all n . Let $n \in I$. Then $n, n+1 \in I_l$ and $A_n = A_{n+1} = B_m$. Thus, for each $i < k$,

$$g_{i,m} \upharpoonright [h_{\alpha_m}(n), h_{\alpha_m}(n+2)] = g_{i,m-1} \upharpoonright [h_{\alpha_m}(n), h_{\alpha_m}(n+2)].$$

Let $j \in J_m$ witness that $n \in I$, and let $p \in [0, d(h(j))]$.

Case 1: $p \in [h_{\alpha_m}(n), d(h(j))]$. By the definition of I ,

$$[h_{\alpha_m}(n), d(h(j))] \subseteq [h_{\alpha_m}(n), h_{\alpha_m}(n+2)],$$

and by the equality above (as $j \in J_m$),

$$|g_{i,m}(p)| = |g_{i,m-1}(p)| \leq \hat{g}_{i,m-1}(d(h(j))) \leq c_{m-1}h(j+1)$$

for all $i < k$.

Case 2: $p \in [0, h_{\alpha_m}(n)]$. Let C be the maximal absolute value of a coordinate of B_m . For all $i < k$, by the definition of $g_{i,m}$,

$$|g_{i,m}(p)| \leq |g_{i,m-1}(p)| + (k+1)C \cdot \max\{|g_i^{\alpha_m}(p)| : i \leq k\}.$$

By the choice of n and j , $p < h_{\alpha_m}(n) \leq h(j) \leq d(h(j))$, and therefore $|g_{i,m-1}(p)| \leq \hat{g}_{i,m-1}(d(h(j))) \leq c_{m-1}h(j+1)$. For each $i \leq k$,

$$|g_i^{\alpha_m}(p)| \leq \hat{g}_i^{\alpha_m}(h_{\alpha_m}(n-1)) \leq h_{\alpha_m}(n+1) \leq h(j).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{i,m}(p)| &\leq |g_{i,m-1}(p)| + (k+1)C \cdot \max\{|g_i^{\alpha_m}(p)| : i \leq k\} \\ &\leq c_{m-1}h(j+1) + (k+1)C \cdot h(j) \\ &\leq c_{m-1}h(j+1) + (k+1)C \cdot h(j+1) \\ &= (c_{m-1} + (k+1)C) \cdot h(j+1). \end{aligned}$$

Take $c_m = c_{m-1} + kC$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 7. \square

The problem whether, consistently, every Menger-bounded group is Scheepers-bounded is yet to be addressed.

Acknowledgements. We thank Heike Mildenerger and Lyubomyr Zdomsky for their useful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Babinkostova, *Metrisable groups and strict o -boundedness*, *Matematicki Vesnik*, to appear.
- [2] L. Babinkostova, Lj. D. R. Koćinac, and M. Scheepers, *Combinatorics of open covers (XI): Menger- and Rothberger-bounded groups*, *Topology and its Applications*, to appear.
- [3] T. Banakh, *On index of total boundedness of (strictly) o -bounded groups*, *Topology and its Applications* **120** (2002), 427–439.
- [4] T. Banakh, P. Nickolas, and M. Sanchis, *Filter games and pathological subgroups of a countable product of lines*, *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, to appear.
- [5] T. Banakh and L. Zdomsky, **Selection principles and infinite games on multicovered spaces and their applications**, book in progress.
- [6] T. Banakh and L. Zdomsky, *Selection principles and infinite games on multicovered spaces in: Selection Principles and Covering Properties in Topology* (L. D.R. Koćinac, ed.), *Quaderni di Matematica*, to appear.
- [7] A. R. Blass, *Combinatorial cardinal characteristics of the continuum*, in: **Handbook of Set Theory** (M. Foreman, A. Kanamori, and M. Magidor, eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, to appear.
- [8] C. Hernandez, *Topological groups close to being σ -compact*, *Topology and its Applications* **102** (2000), 101–111.
- [9] C. Hernandez, D. Robbie and M. Tkaćenko, *Some properties of o -bounded and strictly o -bounded groups*, *Applied General Topology* **1** (2000), 29–43.
- [10] A. Krawczyk and H. Michalewski, *Linear metric spaces close to being σ -compact*, Technical Report 46 (2001) of the Institute of Mathematics, Warsaw University.
www.minuw.edu.pl/english/research/reports/tr-imat/46/products.ps
- [11] A. Krawczyk and H. Michalewski, *An example of a topological group*, *Topology and its Applications* **127** (2003), 325–330.
- [12] M. Machura and B. Tsaban, *The combinatorics of the Baire group*, submitted.
- [13] H. Michalewski, *Function spaces with topology of pointwise convergence*, doctoral dissertation, Faculty of Mathematics, Informatics and Mechanic, Warsaw University (2003).
- [14] H. Mildenerger, *The partition-wise dominance number*, work in progress.
- [15] M. Tkaćenko, *Introduction to topological groups*, *Topology and its Applications* **86** (1998), 179–231.
- [16] B. Tsaban, *o -bounded groups and other topological groups with strong combinatorial properties*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **134** (2006), 881–891.

- [17] B. Tsaban and L. Zdomsky, *Scales, fields, and a problem of Hurewicz*, submitted.

PERSONAL APPENDIX: THREE FUNDAMENTAL PROBLEMS

Following are two suggested extensions to F761, and one suggested extension for F762.

Recall that a subgroup G of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is *Menger-bounded* iff: For each increasing $h \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, there is $f \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that:

$$(\forall g \in G)(\exists^{\infty} n) |g| \upharpoonright [0, h(n)] \leq f(n).$$

We used a weak but unprovable hypothesis to prove that there is a group $G \leq \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that G is Menger-bounded, but G^2 is not Menger-bounded.

Now assume that we are given more freedom. I expect the following to have a positive answer, and this would solve an important problem of Tkačenko.

Problem F761(A). Are there (in ZFC!) Menger-bounded groups $G, H \leq \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $G \times H$ is not Menger-bounded.

Definition 8. A subgroup G of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is *Rothberger-bounded* iff For each increasing $h \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, there is $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{<\aleph_0}$ such that:

$$(\forall g \in G)(\exists n) g \upharpoonright [0, h(n)] = \varphi(n).$$

Recall that G^2 is Menger-bounded iff: For each increasing $h \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, there is $f \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that:

$$(\forall F \in [G]^2)(\exists^{\infty} n)(\forall g \in F) |g| \upharpoonright [0, h(n)] \leq f(n).$$

Problem F761(B). Does CH imply the existence of a group $G \leq \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that G is Rothberger-bounded but G^2 is not Menger-bounded?

Semifilter-trichotomy is the hypothesis equivalent to $\mathfrak{u} < \mathfrak{g}$, which asserts that for each semifilter \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{N} (i.e., $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^{\aleph_0}$ is nonempty, and for all $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{F} \ni A \subseteq^* B \rightarrow B \in \mathcal{F}$), there is an increasing sequence h such that \mathcal{F}/h is either the Fréchet filter (all cofinite sets), or an ultrafilter, or $[\mathbb{N}]^{\aleph_0}$.

Problem F762(C). Does semifilter-trichotomy imply that the square of each Menger-bounded subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is Menger-bounded?

The question for *larger* powers was settled in the positive by Banach and Zdomsky, and independently by Heike in her work on F762.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SILESIA, UL. BANKOWA 14,
40-007 KATOWICE, POLAND; AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAR-ILAN
UNIVERSITY, RAMAT GAN 52900, ISRAEL

E-mail address: machura@ux2.math.us.edu.pl

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM,
GIVAT RAM, 91904 JERUSALEM, ISRAEL, AND MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT,
RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ, USA

E-mail address: shelah@math.huji.ac.il

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE,
REHOVOT 76100, ISRAEL

E-mail address: boaz.tsaban@weizmann.ac.il

URL: <http://www.cs.biu.ac.il/~tsaban>