MODELS OF PA: STANDARD SYTEMS WITHOUT MINIMAL ULTRAFILTERS SH944

SAHARON SHELAH

Abstract. We prove that $\mathbb{N}$, the standard model of arithmetic, has an uncountable elementary extension N such that there is no ultrafilter on the Boolean Algebra of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ represented in N which is minimal (i.e. as in Rudin-Keisler order for partitions represented in N).

Date: September 26, 2018.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03C62; Secondary: 03C50, 03C55, 03E40.

Key words and phrases. model theory, set theoretic model theory, Peano arithmetic, forcing, minimal ultrafilter.

The author thanks Alice Leonhardt for the beautiful typing. First version (F922) typed July 2008. The author would like to thank the Israel Science Foundation (Grant No. 710/07) and the US-Israel Binational Science Foundation (Grant No. 2006108) for partial support of this research. The reader should note that the version in my website is usually more updated than the one in the mathematical archive.

0. Introduction

Enayat [Ena08], Question III, asked (see Definition 0.4(1)):

{q0.7}

Question 0.1. Can we prove in ZFC that there is an arithmetically closed $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ carries no minimal ultrafilter?

He proved the existence of examples, for the stronger notion "2-Ramsey ultrafilter". In [Sh:937] we prove that there is an arithmetically closed Borel set $\mathbf{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ such that any expansion $\mathbb{N}^+$ of $\mathbb{N}$ by any uncountably many members of $\mathbf{B}$ has this property, i.e. the family of definable subsets of $\mathbb{N}^+$ carries no 2-Ramsey ultrafilter.

We deal here with Question 0.1, proving that there is such a family of cardinality $\aleph_1$, this implies the version in the abstract; (since it it well-known that every arithmetically closed family of cardinality at most $\aleph_1$ can be realized as the standard system of some elementary extension of $\mathbb{N}$, as shown by Knight and Nadel [KN82]). We use forcing but the result is proved in ZFC. On other problems from [Ena08] see Enayat-Shelah [EnSh:936] and [Sh:924], [Sh:937].

We thank Shimoni Garti and the referee for helpful comments.

{0z.1}

Notation 0.2. 1) Let pr:$\omega \times \omega \to \omega$ be the standard pairing function (i.e. $\mathrm{pr}(n,m) = \binom{n+m}{2} + n$, so one to one onto two-place function).
2) Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote a subset of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$.
3) Let $\mathrm{BA}(\mathcal{A})$ be the Boolean algebra of subsets of ω which $\mathcal{A} \cup [\omega]^{<\aleph_0}$ generates.
4) Let D denote a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathcal{A}$, meaning that $D \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and there is a unique non-principal ultrafilter D' on the Boolean algebra $\mathrm{BA}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying $D = D' \cap \mathcal{A}$, notice that in Definition 0.4 below the distinction between an ultrafilter on $\mathcal{A}$ and on $\mathrm{BA}(\mathcal{A})$ makes a difference.
5) τ denotes a vocabulary extending $\tau_{\mathrm{PA}} = \tau_{\mathbb{N}} = \{0, 1, +, \times, <\}$, usually countable.
6) $\mathrm{PA}(\tau)$ is Peano arithmetic for the vocabulary τ. A model N of $\mathrm{PA}(\tau)$ is called ordinary if $N{\restriction}\tau_{\mathrm{PA}}$ extends $\mathbb{N}$; usually the models will be ordinary.
7) $\varphi(N, \bar{a})$ is $\{b : N \models \varphi[b, \bar{a}]\}$ where $\varphi(x, \bar{y}) \in \mathbb{L}(\tau_N)$ and $\bar{a} \in {}^{\ell g(\bar{y})}N$.
8) $\mathrm{Sym}(A)$ is the set (or group) of permutations of N.
9) For sets u, v of ordinals let $\mathrm{OP}_{v,u}$, "the order preserving function from u to v" be defined by: $\mathrm{OP}_{v,u}(\alpha) = \beta$ <u>iff</u> $\beta \in v, \alpha \in u$ and $\mathrm{otp}(v \cap \beta) = \mathrm{otp}(u \cap \alpha)$.
10) We say $u, v \subseteq$ Ord form a Δ-system pair when $\mathrm{otp}(u) = \mathrm{otp}(v)$ and $\mathrm{OP}_{v,u}$ is the identity on $u \cap v$.

{0z.2}

Definition 0.3. 1) For $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ let $\text{ar-cl}(\mathcal{A}) = \{B \subseteq \omega : B$ is first order defined in $(\mathbb{N}, A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ for some $n < \omega$ and $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}\}$. This is called the arithmetic closure of $\mathcal{A}$.
2) For a model N of $\mathrm{PA}(\tau)$ let the standard system of N, $\mathrm{SSy}(N)$ be $\{\varphi(M, \bar{a}) \cap \mathbb{N} : \varphi(x, \bar{y}) \in \mathbb{L}(\tau)$ and $\bar{a} \in {}^{\ell g(\bar{y})}M\}$ so $\subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ for any ordinary model M isomorphic to N, see 0.2(6).

{0z.7}

Definition 0.4. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$.
0) Let $\mathrm{cd}_0 : \mathcal{H}(\aleph_0) \to \omega$ be one to one, and interpreting $\mathcal{H}(\aleph_0)$ inside $\mathbb{N}$ it is (first order) definable by a bounded formula in $\mathbb{N}$, i.e. $\{\mathrm{cd}_0(x, y) : x \in y \in \mathcal{H}(\aleph_0)\}$ is, and it maps $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ into $\mathbb{N}$. For $h \in {}^\omega\omega$ let $\mathrm{cd}(h) = \{\mathrm{pr}(n, h(n)) : n < \omega\}$, where pr is the standard pairing function of ω, see 0.2(1) and generally for $H \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\aleph_0)$ we let $\mathrm{cd}(H) := \{\mathrm{cd}_0(x) : x \in H\}$; this applies, e.g. to $h \in {}^{[\omega]^k}\omega$.
1) D, an ultrafilter on $\mathcal{A}$, is called minimal <u>when</u>: if $h \in {}^\omega\omega$ and $\mathrm{cd}(h) \in \mathcal{A}$ then for some $X \in D$ we have $h{\restriction}X$ is constant or one-to-one.

2) D, an ultrafilter on $\mathcal{A}$, is called Ramsey <u>when</u>: if $k < \omega$ and $h : [\omega]^k \to \{0,1\}$ and $\mathrm{cd}(h) \in \mathcal{A}$ then for some $X \in D$ we have $h{\restriction}[X]^k$ is constant. Similarly k-Ramsey.
3) D, a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathcal{A}$, is called a Q-point <u>when</u> if $h \in {}^\omega\omega$ is increasing and $\mathrm{cd}(h) \in \mathcal{A}$ <u>then</u> for some increasing sequence $\langle n_i : i < \omega\rangle$ we have $i < \omega \Rightarrow h(2i) \le n_i < h(2i+1)$ and $\{n_i : i < \omega\} \in D$.

Remark 0.5. In [Sh:937] we also use the following notions:
1) D is called 2.5-Ramsey or self-definably closed <u>when</u>: if $\bar{h} = \langle h_i : i < \omega\rangle$ and $h_i \in {}^\omega(i+1)$ and $\mathrm{cd}(\bar{h}) = \{\mathrm{cd}(i, \mathrm{cd}(n, h_i(n)) : i < \omega, n < \omega\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$ <u>then</u> for some $g \in {}^\omega\omega$ we have: $\mathrm{cd}(g) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(\forall i)[g(i) \le i \wedge \{n < \omega : h_i(n) = g(i)\} \in D]$; this follows from 3-Ramsey and implies 2-Ramsey.
2) D is weakly definably closed <u>when</u>: if $\langle A_i : i < \omega\rangle$ is a sequence of subsets of ω and $\{\mathrm{pr}(n,i) : n \in A_i \text{ and } i < \omega\} \in \mathcal{A}$ then $\{i : A_i \in D\} \in D$, (follows from 2-Ramsey).

{0z.18}

Definition 0.6. 1) $\mathbb{L}(\mathbf{Q})$ is first order logic when we add the quantifier $\mathbf{Q}$ where $(\mathbf{Q}x)\varphi$ means that there are uncountable many x's satisfying φ.
2) $\mathbb{L}_{\aleph_1,\aleph_0}(\mathbf{Q})$ is defined parallely.

See on those logics Keisler [Kei71]. We shall use Laver forcing in the proof of Theorem 1.1, so let us define this forcing notion.

{0z.21}

Definition 0.7. Let $T \subseteq \{\eta \in {}^{\omega>}\omega : \eta \text{ increasing}\}$ be a subtree. For $a \in T$ let $\mathrm{suc}_T(a) = \{a\hat{\ }\langle i\rangle \in T : i \in \omega\}$. The trunk $\mathrm{tr}(T)$ of T is a maximal element $a \in T$ such that $a \le_T b$ or $b \le_T a$ for every $b \in T$.

Such a tree T will be called a Laver tree iff $s = \mathrm{tr}(T)$ and for every $t \in T$ such that $s \le t$, the set $\mathrm{suc}_T(t)$ is infinite.

We define the forcing notion $\mathbb{Q}$ (= Laver forcing) as follows. A condition $T \in \mathbb{Q}$ is a Laver tree. If $S, T \in \mathbb{Q}$ then $S \le_{\mathbb{Q}} T$ iff $S \supseteq T$. If $\mathbf{G} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ is generic, then $\underset{\sim}{\eta}[\mathbf{G}] := \{a \in {}^{\omega>}\omega : \exists T \in \mathbf{G}, a \text{ is the trunk of } T\}$ will be called a Laver real.

{a1.6}

Claim 0.8. *If* $\boxtimes$ *then* $\boxplus$ *where:*

- $\boxtimes$ (a) $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \underset{\sim}{\mathbb{Q}}_\beta : \alpha \le \alpha(*), \beta < \alpha(*)\rangle$ *is a CS iteration*
  - (b) $\alpha(*) < \omega_1, k(*) < \omega$ *and* $\beta(k) < \alpha(*)$ *for* $k < k(*)$
  - (c) *each* $\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{Q}}_\alpha$ *is the Laver forcing (in* $\mathbf{V}^{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}$*) and* $\underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha$ *its generic*
  - (d) $h \in ({}^\omega\omega)^{\mathbf{V}}$
  - (e) $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha(*)}$
  - (f) $p \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha(*)}}$ "$\underset{\sim}{B}_k \subseteq \omega$ *and* $|\underset{\sim}{B}_k \cap [\underset{\sim}{\eta}_{\beta(k)}(n+1), \underset{\sim}{\eta}_{\beta(k)}(n+2))| \le h(\underset{\sim}{\eta}_{\beta(k)}(n))$ *for every* n *large enough" for* $k < k(*)$
- $\boxplus$ *for some* p_1, p_2 *and* B^*_k *for* $k < k(*)$ *we have*
  - (a) $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha(*)} \models$ "$p \le p_\ell$" *for* $\ell = 1, 2$
  - (b) $B^*_k \subseteq \omega$ *(from* $\mathbf{V}$*)*
  - (c) $p_1 \Vdash$ "$\underset{\sim}{B}_k \subseteq^* B^*_k$"
  - (d) $p_2 \Vdash$ "$\underset{\sim}{B}_k \subseteq^* (\omega \backslash B^*_k)$".

Proof. rm Without loss of generality $\alpha(*) \ge 1$. Clearly letting $\underset{\sim}{B}_* = \cup\{\underset{\sim}{B}_k : k < k(*)\}$ we have

$(*)$ $p \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha(*)}}$ "for every large enough n the set $\underset{\sim}{B}_* \cap [\underset{\sim}{\eta}_0(n+1), \underset{\sim}{\eta}_0(n+2))$ has $\le \eta_0(n)$ members".

Now by the properties of iterating Laver forcing ([Lav76] or see [Sh:f, Ch.VI]), we have:

(∗) if $\mathbf{G}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{P}_1$ is generic over $\mathbf{V}$ and $\eta = \underset{\sim}{\eta}_0[\mathbf{G}_1]$ <u>then</u>

$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha(*)}/\mathbf{G}_1}$ " if $\underset{\sim}{B} \subseteq \omega$ and in $\underset{\sim}{B} \cap [\eta(n), \eta(n+1))$ there are $\leq \eta(n))$ elements for every n large enough <u>then</u> for some $B' \in \mathbf{V}[\mathbf{G}_1], B' \subseteq \omega, \underset{\sim}{B} \subseteq B'$ and $B' \cap [\eta(n), \eta(n+1)))$ has $\leq (\eta(n))^n$ members for every n large enough".

Now this applies in particular to $\underset{\sim}{B} = \underset{\sim}{B}_*$ getting $\underset{\sim}{B}'$. Hence without loss of generality $\alpha(*) = 1$ so we can replace $\mathbb{P}_1$ by $\mathbb{Q}_0$, Laver forcing; also for a dense set of $p \in \mathbb{Q}_0$ we have: if $\eta \in p$ is of length $n+1$ so an increasing sequence of natural numbers, <u>then</u> $p^{[\eta]} := \{\nu \in p : \nu \trianglelefteq \eta \text{ or } \eta \trianglelefteq \nu\}$ forces a value b_η to $\underset{\sim}{B}' \cap [0, \eta(n))$ so necessarily $|b_\eta| \leq \eta(n-1)$ when $n > 1$.

By thinning p, without loss of generality if $\eta \in p$ and $u_\eta = \{n : \eta\hat{\ }\langle n\rangle \in p\}$ is infinite (equivalently is not a singleton) then $\langle b_{\eta\hat{\ }<n>} : n \in u_\eta\rangle$ is a Δ-system.

The rest of the proof should be easy, too. $\square_{0.8}$

1. No minimal ultrafilter on the standard system

{a1.3}

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\mathbb{N}_*$ is an expansion of $\mathbb{N}$ with countable vocabulary or $\mathbb{N}_*$ is an ordinary model of PA_τ, for some countable $\tau \supseteq \tau_{PA}$ such that $\mathbb{N}_*$ is countable. Then there is M such that*

(a) $\mathbb{N}_* \prec M$
(b) $\|M\| = \aleph_1$
(c) $\mathrm{SSy}(M)$, *the standard system of M, see Definition 0.3, has no minimal ultrafilter on it, see Definition 0.4; moreover*
(d) *there is no Q-point on* $\mathrm{SSy}(M)$
(e) $\mathrm{SSy}(M)$ *is arithmetically closed.*

Proof. Stage A:

Without loss of generality $\mathbb{N}_*$ is the Skolem Hull of $\emptyset$ as we can expand it by $\aleph_0$ individual constants.

We shall choose a sentence $\psi \in \mathbb{L}_{\omega_1,\omega}(\mathbf{Q})(\tau^*)$ with $\tau^* \supseteq \tau(\mathbb{N}_*)$ and prove that it has a model, and for every model M^+ of ψ, the model $M^+{\restriction}\tau(\mathbb{N}_*)$ is as required. By the completeness theorem for $\mathbb{L}_{\omega_1,\omega}(\mathbf{Q})$ it is enough to prove that ψ has a model in some forcing extension; of course it is crucial that ψ can be explicitly defined hence $\in \mathbf{V}$.

Stage B:

Recall $\mathrm{cd} = \mathrm{cd}_0 : \mathcal{H}(\aleph_0) \to \omega$ be one-to-one onto and definable in $\mathbb{N}$ by a bounded formula in the natural sense; see 0.4(0).

Let $\mathbf{V}_0 = \mathbf{V}$ and $\lambda = (2^{\aleph_0})^+$.

Let $\mathbb{R}_0 =$ Levy$(\aleph_1, 2^{\aleph_0})$, let $\mathbf{G}_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0$ be generic over $\mathbf{V}_0$ and let $\mathbf{V}_1 = \mathbf{V}_0[\mathbf{G}_0]$, i.e. in $\mathbf{V}_0^{\mathbb{R}_0}$ we have CH.

In $\mathbf{V}_1$ we have $\lambda = \aleph_2$ and let $\mathbb{R}_1$ be $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}$ where $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2} = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \underset{\sim}{\mathbb{Q}}_\beta : \alpha \le \omega_2, \beta < \omega_2\rangle$ is a CS iteration, each $\mathbb{Q}_\alpha$ is a Laver forcing; there are many other possibilities, let $\underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha \in {}^\omega\omega$ (increasing) be the $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha+1}$-name of the $\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{Q}}_\alpha$-generic real and $\underset{\sim}{\nu}_\alpha = \langle \mathrm{cd}(\underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha{\restriction}n) : n < \omega)\rangle$. Let $\mathbf{G}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}_1$ be generic over $\mathbf{V}_1$ and $\mathbf{V}_2 = \mathbf{V}_1[\mathbf{G}_1]$ and let $\eta_\alpha = \underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha[\mathbf{G}_1], \nu_\alpha = \langle \mathrm{cd}(\eta_\alpha{\restriction}n) : n < \omega\rangle = \underset{\sim}{\nu}_\alpha[\mathbf{G}_1]$.

Let D^2 be a non-principal ultrafilter on ω in the universe $\mathbf{V}_2$.

$\boxplus_1$ In the universe $\mathbf{V}_2$ let $M_1 = \mathbb{N}_*^\omega/D^2$, let $a_\alpha = \eta_\alpha/D^2 \in M_1$

and note

$\boxplus_2$ $\mathrm{SSy}(M_1) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})^{\mathbf{V}_2}$ hence is arithmetically closed

$\boxplus_3$ let $f_1 \in \mathbf{V}_2$ be the function from $\lambda = \omega_2^{\mathbf{V}_1} = \omega_2^{\mathbf{V}_2}$ into M_1 defined by $f_1(\alpha) = a_\alpha$.

Stage C:

In $\mathbf{V}_1$ (yes, not in $\mathbf{V}_2$) let the forcing notion $\mathbb{R}_2 := \mathbb{P}^+_{\omega_2}$ and the set K be defined as follows (so $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{V}_1$ below, which is equivalent to $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{V}_0$, similarly for u; so in $\boxplus_4(\alpha)$, $\underset{\sim}{A}$ is a $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}$-name):

$\boxplus_4$ (α) $K := \{(\alpha, u, \underset{\sim}{A}) : u \subseteq \lambda$ is countable, $\alpha \in u$, $\underset{\sim}{A} = \mathbf{B}(\ldots, \underset{\sim}{\eta}_\beta, \ldots)_{\beta \in u}$, $\mathbf{B}$ a Borel function from ${}^{\mathrm{otp}(u)}({}^\omega\omega)$ to $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ such that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}}$ "$\underset{\sim}{A} \cap [\underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha(n+1), \underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha(n+2))$ has $\leq \underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha(n)$ members; moreover $0 = \lim_n(|\underset{\sim}{A} \cap [\underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha(n+1), \underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha(n+2))/\underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha(n)|$"$\}$

(β) $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{P}^+_{\omega_2}$ iff
- (a) $\mathbf{p} = (p, h) = (p_\mathbf{p}, h_\mathbf{p})$
- (b) $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}$
- (c) h a function from some finite subset $K_\mathbf{p}$ of K to ω_1
- (d) if $(\alpha_\ell, u_\ell, \underset{\sim}{A}_\ell) \in K_\mathbf{p}$ for $\ell = 1, 2$ and $h(\alpha_1, u_1, \underset{\sim}{A}_1) = h(\alpha_2, u_2, \underset{\sim}{A}_2)$ and $u_1 \subseteq \alpha_2$ <u>then</u> $p \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}}$ "$\underset{\sim}{A}_1 \cap \underset{\sim}{A}_2$ is finite"

(γ) $\mathbb{P}^+_{\omega_2} \models \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{q}$ iff:
- (a) $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2} \models p_\mathbf{p} \leq p_\mathbf{q}$
- (b) $h_\mathbf{p} \subseteq h_\mathbf{q}$.

Now

$(*)_0$ if $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}, \alpha < \omega_2$ and $p \Vdash$ "$\underset{\sim}{A} \subseteq \omega$ satisfies $\underset{\sim}{A} \cap [\underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha(n+1), \underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha(n+2))$ has $\leq \underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha(n)$ members for every n large enough and $0 = \lim\langle|\underset{\sim}{A} \cap [\underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha(n+1), \underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha(n+2))|/\underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha(n) : n < \omega\rangle$" <u>then</u> we can find a triple $(q, u, \underset{\sim}{A}')$ such that:
- (α) $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2} \models$ "$p \leq q$"
- (β) $\mathrm{Dom}(q) = u$
- (γ) u a countable set of ordinals $< \lambda$ (in $\mathbf{V}_1$ equivalently in $\mathbf{V}_0$)
- (δ) $q \Vdash$ "$\underset{\sim}{A} = \underset{\sim}{A}'$"
- (ε) $\underset{\sim}{A}' = \mathbf{B}(\ldots, \underset{\sim}{\eta}_{\alpha_i}, \ldots)_{i < \mathrm{otp}(u)}$ where α_i is the i-th member of u, for some Borel function $\mathbf{B}$ from ${}^{\mathrm{otp}(u)}({}^\omega\omega)$ to $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ so $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{V}_1$ equivalently $\mathbf{V}_0$
- (ζ) $q(\alpha_i) = \mathbf{B}_i(\ldots, \underset{\sim}{\eta}_{\alpha_j}, \ldots)_{j<i}$ for every $i <$ otp(u) for some Borel fucntion $\mathbf{B}_i$ from ${}^i({}^\omega\omega)$ to Laver forcing, of course, $\mathbf{B}_i$ is from $\mathbf{V}_0$.

[Why? Standard proof.]

$(*)_1$ $\mathbb{P}^+_{\omega_2}$ satisfies the $\aleph_2$-c.c.

[Why? We need a property of the iteration $\langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \underset{\sim}{\mathbb{Q}}_\beta : \alpha \leq \omega_2, \beta < \omega_2\rangle$ stated in Claim 0.8. In more detail, given a sequence $\langle \mathbf{p}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2\rangle$ of members of $\mathbb{P}^+_{\omega_2}$, for each $\alpha < \omega_2$, let $\mathbf{p}_\alpha = (p_\alpha, h_\alpha)$; and without loss of generality for each $(\alpha^*_1, u^*_1, \underset{\sim}{A}^*_1) \in K_{\mathbf{p}_\alpha}$ for some $u^1, \underset{\sim}{A}^1$, the tuple $(p_\alpha, u^1, \underset{\sim}{A}^1)$ is like $(q, u, \underset{\sim}{A}')$ in $(*)_0, (\beta) - (\zeta)$ and $(\alpha, u, \underset{\sim}{A}) \in \mathrm{Dom}(h_\alpha) \Rightarrow u \subseteq \mathrm{Dom}(p_\alpha)$. Letting $u_\alpha = \mathrm{Dom}(p_\alpha)$, we can find a stationary $S \subseteq \{\delta < \omega_2 : \mathrm{cf}(\delta) = \aleph_1\}$ and $p_*, \gamma(*)$ such that:

- $u_\delta \cap \delta = u_*$ for $\delta \in S$ and $u_\alpha \subseteq \delta$ for $\alpha < \delta \in S$
- $p_\delta \restriction \delta \leq p_* \in \mathbb{P}_\delta$ for $\delta \in S$
- without loss of generality $p_\delta \restriction \delta = p_*$ for $\delta \in S$
- $\mathrm{otp}(u_\delta) = \gamma(*)$ for $\delta \in S$

- if $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in S$ then the order preserving function $\mathrm{OP}_{u_{\delta_2}, u_{\delta_1}}$ from u_{δ_1} onto u_{δ_2} maps $\mathbf{p}_{\delta_1}$ to $\mathbf{p}_{\delta_2}$.

Let $\delta(*) = \mathrm{Min}(S)$ and $\mathbf{G}^1_{\delta(*)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\delta(*)}$ be generic over $\mathbf{V}_1$ such that $p_* \in \mathbf{G}^1_{\delta(*)}$. Now we shall apply the conclusion of Claim 0.8 to $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}/\mathbf{G}_{\delta(*)}$ and we shall work in $\mathbf{V}[G^1_{\delta(*)}]$.

For $\delta \in S$, let $\alpha_\delta = \mathrm{otp}(u_\delta \backslash \delta_*), \mathbf{h}_\delta$ be the order preserving function from α_δ onto $u_\delta \backslash \delta$ and $(p'_\delta, h'_\delta) \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha_\delta}$ be such that $\mathbf{h}_\delta$ maps (p'_δ, h'_δ) to (p_δ, h_δ). Clearly $\alpha_\delta, p'_\delta, h'_\delta$ are the same for all $\delta \in S$ so call them $\alpha(*), p', h'$ and applying 0.8 with $p', (\{\alpha, \underset{\sim}{A}$): for some u the tuple $(\alpha, u, \underset{\sim}{A})$ belongs to $\mathrm{Dom}(h)\}$ here stands for $p, \{(\alpha_k, \beta_k) : k < k(*)\}$ there and get p'_1, p'_2 as there.

Let $\tilde{\delta_1} < \delta_2$ be from S, let q_{δ_1} be $\mathbf{h}_{\delta_1}(p'_1), q_{\delta_2}$ be $\mathbf{h}_{\delta_2}(p'_2)$. Easily $p_{\delta_\ell} \le q_{\delta_\ell}$ and $q_{\delta_1} \cup q_{\delta_2}$ is a common upper bound of $p_{\delta_1}, p_{\delta_2}$ in $\mathbb{P}^+_{w_2}/\mathbf{G}^1_{\delta(*)}$.]

$(*)_2$ $\mathbb{P}^+_{\omega_2}$ collapses ω_1 to $\aleph_0$.

[Why? Easy but also we can use $\mathbb{P}^+_{\omega_2} \times \mathrm{Levy}(\aleph_0, \aleph_1)$ instead $\mathbb{P}^+_{\omega_2}$.]

$(*)_3$ the function $p \mapsto (p, \emptyset)$ is a complete embedding of $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}$ into $\mathbb{P}^+_{\omega_2}$.

[Why? Should be clear.]

<u>Stage D</u>: Let $\mathbf{G}_2 = \mathbf{G}^+_1 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^+_{\omega_2}$ be generic over $\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_3 = \mathbf{V}_1[\mathbf{G}_2]$ and by $(*)_3$ without loss of generality $\mathbf{G}_1 = \{p : (p, h) \in \mathbf{G}_2\}$. So $\mathbf{V}_3 = \mathbf{V}_1[\mathbf{G}_2]$ is a generic extension of $\mathbf{V}_2$ and let $f_2 = \cup\{h : (p, h) \in \mathbf{G}_2\}$.

So

$(*)_4$ in $\mathbf{V}_3$ if $f_2(\alpha_1, u_1, \underset{\sim}{A}_1) = f_2(\alpha_2, u_2, \underset{\sim}{A}_2)$ and $u_1 \subseteq \alpha_2$ (hence $\alpha_1 \ne \alpha_2$), <u>then</u> $\underset{\sim}{A}_1[\mathbf{G}_1] \cap \underset{\sim}{A}_2[\mathbf{G}_1]$ is finite.

In $\mathbf{V}_3$ let M_2 be an elementary submodel of $(\mathcal{H}(\beth_\omega), \in, \dots, \mathbf{V}_\ell \cap \mathcal{H}(\beth_\omega), \dots)_{\ell=0,1,2}$ of cardinality $\lambda = \aleph_1^{\mathbf{V}_3}$ which includes $\{\alpha : \alpha \le \lambda\} = \{\alpha : \alpha \le \omega_1^{\mathbf{V}_3}\}, \{M_1, f_1, f_2, \mathbf{G}_0, \mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2\}$ and (the universe of) M_1, see $\boxplus_1$ end of stage B, note that $\|M_2\| \subseteq |M_2|$.

Let f_0 be a one-to-one function from M_1 onto M_2, let M_3 be a model such that f_0 is an isomorphism from M_1 onto M_3. Lastly, let M_4 be M_3 expanded by $c_0 = \lambda = \omega_2^{\mathbf{V}_1} = \omega_1^{\mathbf{V}_3}, c_1^{M_4} = \omega_1^{\mathbf{V}}, c_2^{M_4} = M_1, d_{0,\ell}^{M_4} = \mathbf{G}_\ell, d_{1,\ell} = \mathbb{R}_\ell, d^{M_4} = \mathbb{N}_*, \langle d_{2,n}^{M_4} : n < \omega\rangle$ list the members of $\mathbb{N}_*, Q_0^{M_4} = |\mathbb{N}_*|, \in^{M_2} = \in^{\mathbf{V}_3} \restriction |M_2|, F_0^M = f_0, F_1^{M_4} = f_0 \circ f_1$, see end of Stage B, $F_2^{M_4} = f_2, P_\ell^M = \mathbf{V}_\ell \cap M_2$ for $\ell = 0, 1, 2$ (so F_ℓ is a unary function symbol, P_ℓ is a unary predicate) and lastly $<_*^M$, a linear order of $|M_2| = |M_4|$ of order type $\omega_1^{\mathbf{V}_3}$.

We define the sentence ψ: it is the conjunction of the following countable sets and singletons of sentences of $\mathbb{L}_{\aleph_1, \aleph_0}(\mathbf{Q})$ in the vocabulary $\tau(M_4)$ such that $M^+ \models \psi$ iff:

(A) $M^+ \restriction \tau(\mathbb{N}_*)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}_*$, of cousre, $M^+ \restriction \tau(\mathbb{N}_*)$ has universe $Q_0^{M^+}$

(B) M^+ is uncountable, moreover $M^+ \models (\mathbf{Q}x)$ (x an ordinal $< c_0$)

(C) $<_*^{M^+}$ is a linear order

(D) every proper initial segment by $<_*^{M^+}$ is countable

(E) $(|M^+|, \in^{M^+})$ is a model ZFC^- (even a model of $\mathrm{Th}(\mathcal{H}(\beth_\omega)^{\mathbf{V}_3}, \in)$)

(F) the function $F_1^{M^+} : \{a : M^+ \models$ "a an ordinal $< c_0$"$\} \to M^+$ is one-to-one

(G) $M^+ \models$ "K is as above"

(H) $F_2^{M^+} : K^{M^+} \to \{a : M \models$ "a an ordinal $< c_1$"$\}$ is as above

(I) $M^+ \models$ "for every B we have $B \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \wedge P_2(B)$ iff $B = A \cap \mathbb{N}$ for some definable subset of A in the model c_2".

It is easy to check that

$(*)_5$ $\psi \in \mathbf{V}_0$

$(*)_6$ $M_4 \models \psi$ in $\mathbf{V}_3$.

Hence as the completeness theorem for $\mathbb{L}_{\omega_1,\omega}(\mathbf{Q})$ gives absoluteness

$(*)_7$ ψ has a model in $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}_0$ call it M_5.

By renaming without loss of generality

$(*)_8$ (a) if $M_5 \models$ "a is the n-th natural number" then $a = n$

(b) if $M_5 \models$ "$A \subseteq \omega$" then $A = \{n : M_5 \models$ "$n \in A$"$\}$

(c) if $M_5 \models$ "$b \in {}^\omega\omega$" then $b = \{(n_1, n_2) : M_5 \models f(n_1) = n_2\}$

$(*)_9$ let $N'_* = M_5 {\restriction} \tau(\mathbb{N}_*)$, so isomorphic to N_*, let $N = M_5 {\restriction} \{\in\}$

$(*)_{10}$

(a) let M'_1 be $c_2^{M_5}$ naturally defined

(b) so $M = M'_1$ is a model of $\mathrm{Th}(N'_*) = \mathrm{Th}(N_*), N'_* \prec M'_1$ and $\|M'_1\| = \aleph_1$

(c) let $\mathcal{A}$ be $\mathrm{SSy}(M)$, the standard system of M

Clearly

$(*)_{11}$ (a) $N \models$ "ZC"

(b) M is a model of $\mathrm{Th}(\mathbb{N}_*)$ and $N_* \prec M$

$(*)_{12}$ let $\mathbb{R}'_\ell = d_{1,\ell}^{M_5}$ and $\mathbf{G}'_\ell = d_{2,\ell}^{M_5}$ and let $\mathbf{V}'_\ell = (P_\ell^{M_5}, \in^{M_5})$ for $\ell = 0,1,2$.

Stage E:

Clearly M is an uncountable elementary extension of $\mathbb{N}_*$, by clauses (A),(B) of Stage D and without loss of generality $\|M\| = \aleph_1$, so M satisfies clauses (a),(b) of Theorem 1.1. To prove clause (e) recall $\boxplus_2$ and clause (I) above hence $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is arithmetically closed; this implies $\mathcal{A}$ is a Boolean subalgebra of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$. Also clause (d) implies clause (c), anyhow to prove them, assume toward contradiction that D is an ultrafilter on $\mathcal{A}$ which is minimal or just a Q-point. Let $X = \{a : N \models$ "a is an ordinal $< \omega_1$"$\}$, so X is really an uncountable set. For each $a \in X$ define a sequence $\rho_a \in {}^\omega\omega$ by $\rho_a(n) = k$ iff $M^+ \models$ "$F_1(a)(n) = k$".

Clearly ρ_a is an increasing sequence in ${}^\omega\omega$, hence by the assumption toward contradiction, there is $A_a \in D \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $A_a \cap [\rho_a(n+1), \rho_a(n+2))$ has at most one element (or just $\le \rho_a(n)$ elements) for each $n < \omega$.

So for some element $\underset{\sim}{A}_a$ of $N, N \models$ "$\underset{\sim}{A}_a$, in $\mathbf{V}'_1$, is a $\mathbb{R}_1$-name of a subset of ω and $\underset{\sim}{A}_a[\mathbf{G}'_1] = A_a$".

Clearly $M^+ \models$ "for some countable subset u of $\omega_2^{\mathbf{V}'_1} = \omega_1^{\mathbf{V}'_3}$ from $\mathbf{V}'_1$ and Borel function $\mathbf{B}$ from $\mathbf{V}'_1$ we have $A_a = \mathbf{B}_a(\ldots, \rho_b, \ldots)_{b \in u_a}$ (so some $p \in \mathbf{G}_2^+$ forces $\underset{\sim}{A}_a$ satisfies this)". So using $F_2^{M_5}$ there are $a_1 \neq a_2$ from X such that the parallel of clause $(\beta)(d)$ of stage C holds, see clause (G) of stage D, so two members of D are almost disjoint, contradiction. $\square_{1.1}$

{a1.9} *Remark* 1.2. 1) Note that in 1.1 we can replace $\mathbb{Q}_0$ by any forcing notion similar enough, see [RoSh:470].
2) We can strengthen 1.1 by replacing "Q-point" by a weaker statement.

Similarly we can weaken the demands on how "thin" is $\underset{\sim}{B}$ in 0.8 and in the proof of 1.1.

2. Private Appendix

Moved 2017.7.17 from Definition 0.3, pg.2:
3) For $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ let Sc-cl($\mathcal{A}$) be the Scott closure of $\mathcal{A}$, see [KS06] which means adding the finite sets and closing under the Boolean operations (union of 2, complement) and $A \mapsto \{x : (\forall y)(\mathrm{pr}(x,y) \in A)\}$ and $A \mapsto \{x :$ the set $\{y : \mathrm{pr}(x,y) \in A\}$ codes a well founded tree$\}$.

Moved from pg. 2:

Recently, solving a long standing problem on models of Peano arithmetic, (appearing as Problem 7 in the book [KS06]), Ali Enayat proved (and other results as well):

{q0.1}

Claim 2.1. *(*[Ena08]*) For some arithmetically closed family $\mathcal{A}$ of subsets of ω, the model $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathbb{N}, A)_{A \in \mathcal{A}}$ satisfies*

(a) it has no conservative extension (i.e. one in which the intersection of any definable subset with $\mathbb{N}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$).

Motivated by this he asked:

{q0.4}

Question 2.2. Question I:
Is there $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ such that some model of $\mathrm{Th}(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}})$ has no elementary end extension?

Moved 09.11.23 from 0.8:

$\boxtimes$ (a) is as in [BsSh:242]

(b) $\Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}_0}$ "$\underset{\sim}{\eta}_\alpha \in {}^\omega\omega$ is increasing enumerating the generic for $\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{Q}}_\alpha$"

(c) $h \in ({}^\omega\omega)^{\mathbf{V}}$

(d) $\underset{\sim}{f} \in {}^\omega\omega$ is defined $f(n) = \underset{\sim}{\eta}(n+1)$

(e) $\underset{\sim}{g} \in {}^\omega\omega$ is defined by $g(n) = h(\underset{\sim}{\eta}(n))$

(f) $\Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}_0}$ "$\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{Q}}_1$ is an (f,g)-bounding forcing notion"

(g) $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}_0 * \underset{\sim}{\mathbb{Q}}_1$

(h) $p^c \Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}}$ "$\underset{\sim}{B} \subseteq \omega$ and $|B \cap [\underset{\sim}{\eta}(n), \eta(n+1)]| \leq h(\underset{\sim}{\eta}(n))$"

$\boxplus$ for some p_1, p_2, B_1, B_2 we have

(a) $p \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} p_\ell$ for $\ell = 1, 2$

(b) $B_1, B_2 \subseteq \omega$ are almost disjoint

(c) $p_\ell \models$ "$\underset{\sim}{B} \subseteq^* B_\ell$" for $\ell = 1, 2$.

References

[Ena08] Ali Enayat, *A standard model of Peano arithmetic with no conservative elementary extension*, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic **56** (2008), 308–318.

[Kei71] H. Jerome Keisler, *Model theory for infinitary logic. Logic with countable conjunctions and finite quantifiers*, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, vol. 62, North–Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam–London, 1971.

[KN82] J. Knight and M. Nadel, *Models of arithmetic and closed ideals*, Journal of Symbolic Logic **47** (1982), 883–840.

[KS06] R. Kossak and J. Schmerl, *The structure of models of Peano arithmetic*, Oxford University Press, 2006.

[Lav76] Richard Laver, *On the consistency of Borel's conjecture*, Acta Math. **137** (1976), 151–169.

[Sh:f] Saharon Shelah, *Proper and improper forcing*, Perspectives in Mathematical Logic, Springer, 1998.

[BsSh:242] Andreas Blass and Saharon Shelah, *There may be simple $P_{\aleph_1}$- and $P_{\aleph_2}$-points and the Rudin-Keisler ordering may be downward directed*, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic **33** (1987), 213–243.

[RoSh:470] Andrzej Roslanowski and Saharon Shelah, *Norms on possibilities I: forcing with trees and creatures*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society **141** (1999), no. 671, xii + 167, arxiv:math.LO/9807172.

[Sh:924] Saharon Shelah, *Models of PA: when two elements are necessarily order automorphic*, Mathematical Logic Quarterly **61** (2015), 399–417, arxiv:1004.3342.

[EnSh:936] Ali Enayat and Saharon Shelah, *An improper arithmetically closed Borel subalgebra of $P(\omega)$ mod FIN*, Topology and its Applications **158** (2011), 2495–2502.

[Sh:937] Saharon Shelah, *Models of expansions of $\mathbb{N}$ with no end extensions*, Mathematical Logic Quarterly **57** (2011), 341–365, arxiv:0808.2960.

Einstein Institute of Mathematics, Edmond J. Safra Campus, Givat Ram, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, 91904, Israel, and, Department of Mathematics, Hill Center - Busch Campus, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, 110 Frelinghuysen Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019 USA

Email address: shelah@math.huji.ac.il

URL: http://shelah.logic.at