

AN \aleph_2 -SOUSLIN TREE FROM A STRANGE HYPOTHESIS
ABSTRACTS OF AMS
(1985)P.198(84-T-03-160)
SHE:4

SAHARON SHELAH

Institute of Mathematics
The Hebrew University
Jerusalem, Israel

Rutgers University
Mathematics Department
New Brunswick, NJ USA

modified:1998-03-20

E4 revision:1998-03-19

I would like to thank Alice Leonhardt for the beautiful typing.
First Typed - 98/Jan/14
Latest Revision - 98/Mar/19

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

§1

Theorem. *Suppose CH holds and the filter \mathcal{D}_{ω_1} (see below) is \aleph_2 -saturated. Then there is an \aleph_2 -Souslin tree.*

Notation: \mathcal{D}_{ω_1} is the filter generated by the closed unbounded subset of ω_1 . Let $S_\beta^\alpha = \{\delta < \aleph_\alpha : \text{cf}(\delta) = \aleph_\beta\}$.

Proof. It is known that the assumption implies $2^{\aleph_1} = \aleph_2$. By Gregory [Gre] we know that if there is a stationary $S \subseteq S_0^2$ with no initial segment stationary, then there is an \aleph_2 -Souslin tree. So assume there is no such S . By Gregory [Gre], $\diamond(S_0^2)$ holds, and let $\langle A_\delta : \delta \in S_0^2 \rangle$ exemplify this. For each $\alpha \in S_1^2$ define $\mathcal{P}_\alpha = \{B \subseteq \alpha : \{\delta < \alpha : B \cap \delta = A_\delta\}$ is a stationary subset of $\alpha\}$. If $|\mathcal{P}_\alpha| > \aleph_1$ let $B_i \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha (i < \aleph_2)$ be pairwise distinct and $\langle \gamma(\zeta) : \zeta < \omega_1 \rangle$ be an increasing continuous sequence of ordinals such that $\gamma(\zeta) < \alpha$ and $\bigcup_{\zeta} \gamma(\zeta) = \alpha$; let $S_i = \{\zeta < \omega_1 : B_i \cap \gamma(\zeta) = A_{\gamma(\zeta)}\}$. Now S_i is a stationary subset of ω_1 (as $B_i \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$) and $S_i \cap S_j$ is bounded for $i \neq j$ (as for some $\zeta_0 < \omega_1, B_i \cap \gamma(\zeta_0) \neq B_j \cap \gamma(\zeta_0)$) hence $\langle S_i : i < \omega_2 \rangle$ exemplifies that \mathcal{D}_{ω_1} is \aleph_2 -saturated, contradiction, hence $|\mathcal{P}_\alpha| \leq \aleph_1$. Also for every $A \subseteq \omega_2, \{[\delta \in S_0^2 : A \cap \delta = A_\delta]\}$ is stationary hence for some $\alpha \in S_1^2, \{\delta \in S_0^2 \cap \alpha : A \cap \delta = A_\delta\}$ is stationary below α . So $\langle \mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha \in S_1^2 \rangle$ exemplify a variant of $\diamond(S_1^2)$ which by Kunen implies $\diamond(S_1^2)$; together with $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1, 2^{\aleph_1} = \aleph_2$ we finish.

Remark. We can replace $(\aleph_1, \mathcal{D}_{\omega_1})$ by $(\aleph_\alpha, D(\aleph_{\alpha+1}) + S_\alpha^{\alpha+1})$ if \aleph_α is regular. (Received December 7, 1983).

REFERENCES.

- [Gre] John Gregory. Higher Souslin trees and the generalized continuum hypothesis.
Journal of Symbolic Logic, **41**(3):663–671, 1976.