JOURNAL OF COMBINATORIAL THEORY 6, 298-300 (1969)

Note

Note on a Min-Max Problem of Leo Moser

SAHARON SHELAH

The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel Communicated by Michael Rabin Received June 25, 1968

ABSTRACT

Moser asks how a pair of (n + 1)-sided dice should be loaded (identically) so that on throwing the dice the frequency of the most frequently occurring sum is as small as possible. G. F. Clements finds a relative minimum, conjecturing that it is always the solution. This conjecture is disproved for n = 3.

For a fixed integer $n \ge 1$ let $A = (a_0, ..., a_n)$ denote a point in Euclidean (n + 1)-space. Let

$$p(A, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} x^{j},$$

$$q(A, x) = p^{2}(A, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} c_{j}(A) x^{j},$$

and let

$$M(A) = \max_{0 \leq j \leq 2n} c_j(A).$$

Moser in [1] asks for the minimum of M, subject to the conditions

$$a_j \ge 0, \quad j = 0, 1, ..., n, \quad \sum_{j=0}^n a_j = 1.$$

Clements, in [2], conjectures that $\overline{A} = (\overline{a}_0, ..., \overline{a}_n)$ where

$$\bar{a}_i = K(n) {\binom{-1/2}{j}} (-1)^j, \quad j = 0, ..., n,$$

and

$$K(n) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} {\binom{-1/2}{j} (-1)^{j}}^{-1}\right)$$

is the solution, as $c_0(\overline{A}) = c_1(\overline{A}) = \cdots = c_n(\overline{A})$. He proves it for n = 1, 2 and shows that \overline{A} is a relative minimum.

Now if A is a solution, then some of the $c_j(A)$ are equal to M(A). If we assume that $c_0(A),...,c_n(A)$ are equal to M(A) we obtain Clements' solution. In my opinion it is more natural to look for a solution A such that $c_j(A) = M(A)$ for some other set of indices j, say for $[n/2] \leq j \leq [n/2] + n$, but I did not succeed in finding such an A.

Let us examine in that way the most simple case not solved by Clements, that is, n = 3. We try to find an A such that

$$c_{1}(A) = c_{2}(A) = c_{3}(A) = c_{4}(A) = M(A);$$

$$2a_{0}a_{1} = c_{1}(A) = 2a_{0}a_{2} + a_{1}^{2} = c_{2}(A)$$

$$= 2a_{0}a_{3} + 2a_{1}a_{2} = c_{3}(A)$$

$$= 2a_{1}a_{3} + a_{2}^{2} = c_{4}(A).$$

Let $x = a_1/a_0$. Then

$$a_2 = [c_2(A) - a_1^2]/2a_0 = [2a_0a_1 - a_1^2]/2a_0 = a_0(2x - x^2)/2$$

and

$$a_{3} = [c_{3}(A) - 2a_{1}a_{2}]/2a_{0}$$

$$= [2a_{0}a_{1} - 2a_{1}a_{2}]/2a_{0}$$

$$= a_{0}[x - x(2x - x^{2})/2]$$

$$= a_{0}(x^{3} - 2x^{2} + 2x)/2;$$

$$2a_{0}^{2}x = 2a_{0}a_{1} = c_{1}(A) = c_{4}(A) = 2a_{1}a_{3} + a_{2}^{2}$$

$$= a_{0}^{2}[2x \cdot (x^{3} - 2x^{2} + 2x)/2 + (2x - x^{2})^{2}/4].$$

Therefore

$$5x^3 - 12x^2 + 12x - 8 = 0.$$

Here x = 3/2 is a good approximation. Remembering that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{3} a_i = 1$$

we have A = (16/61, 24/61, 6/61, 15/61) and $M(A) = 3.16^2/61^2$, which is less than the result of Clements' conjecture, $16^2/35^2$.

REMARK. Mr. Pinhas Shapira and I disproved the conjecture also for n = 4, 5 by numerical computations.

SHELAH

References

- 1. L. MOSER, Report of the Institute in the Theory of Numbers, University of Colorado, June 21-July 17, 1959.
- 2. G. F. CLEMENTS, On a Min-Max Problem of Leo Moser, J. Combinatorial Theory 4 (1968), 36-39.

300