## A SPACE WITH ONLY BOREL SUBSETS

SAHARON SHELAH

Miklós Laczkovich (Budapest) asked if there exists a Haussdorff (or even normal) space in which every subset is Borel yet it is not meager. The motivation of the last condition is that under $\mathrm{MA}_{\kappa}$ every subspace of the reals of cardinality $\kappa$ has the property that all subsets are $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma}$ however Martin's axiom also implies that these subsets are meager. Here we answer Laczkovich' question. I thank Peter Komjath - the existence of this paper owes much to him.

Theorem. The following are equiconsistent.
(1) There exists a measurable cardinal.
(2) There is a non-meager $T_{1}$ space with no isolated points in which every subset is Borel.
(3) There is a non-meager $T_{4}$ space with no isolated points in which every subset is the union of an open and a closed set.

Proof. Assume first that $\kappa$ is measurable in the model $V$. Add $\kappa$ Cohen reals, that is, force with the partial ordering $\operatorname{Add}(\omega, \kappa)$. Our model will be $V[G]$ where $G \subseteq \operatorname{Add}(\omega, \kappa)$ is generic. We first observe that in $V[G]$ there is a $\kappa$-complete ideal on $\kappa$ such that the complete Boolean algebra $P(\kappa) / I$ is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra of the complete closure of $\operatorname{Add}(\omega, j(\kappa))$ where $j: V \rightarrow M$ is the corresponding elementary embedding. Indeed we let $X \in I$ if and only if $1 \Vdash \kappa \notin j(\tau)$ for some $\tau$ satisfying $X=\tau^{G}$, that is, $\tau$ is a name for $X \subseteq \kappa$. Moreover, the mapping $X \mapsto \llbracket \kappa \in j(\tau) \rrbracket$ is an isomorphism between $P(\kappa) / I$ and the regular Boolean algebra of $\operatorname{Add}(\omega, j(\kappa) \backslash \kappa)$ (where $\tau$ is a name for $X$ ). Notice that $|j(\kappa)|=2^{\kappa}$.

We observe that this Boolean algebra has the following properties. There are $2^{\kappa}$ subsets $\left\{A_{\alpha}: \alpha<2^{\kappa}\right\}$ which are independent $\bmod I$, that is, if $s$ is a function from a finite subset of $\kappa$ into $\{0,1\}$ then the intersection

$$
B_{s} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \bigcap_{\alpha \in \operatorname{Dom}(s)} A_{\alpha}^{s(\alpha)}
$$

is not in $I$ (here $A^{1}=A$ and $A^{0}=\kappa \backslash A$ ). Moreover, if $A \subseteq \kappa$ then there are countably many pairwise contradictory functions $s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots$ as above, such that

$$
A / I=B_{s_{0}} / I \vee B_{s_{1}} / I \vee \ldots,
$$

that is, $A$ can be written as $B_{s_{0}} \cup B_{s_{1}} \cup \ldots$ add-and-take-away a set in $I$.
By cardinality assumptions we can assume that for every pair $(X, Y)$ of disjoint members of $I$ there is some $\alpha<2^{\kappa}$ with $X \subseteq A_{\alpha}, Y \subseteq \kappa \backslash A_{\alpha}$.

[^0]We define a topology on $\kappa$ by declaring the system

$$
\left\{A_{\alpha} \backslash Z, A^{1} \backslash Z: \alpha<2^{\kappa}, Z \in I\right\}
$$

a subbasis, or, what is the same, the collection of all sets of the form $B_{s} \backslash Z$ (where $Z \in I$ ) a basis.

We prove the following statements on the space.
Claim. The space has the following properties.
(1) Every set of the form $B_{s}$ is clopen, every set in $I$ is closed.
(2) Every meager set is in $I$.
(3) Every set is the union of an open and a closed set.
(4) The closure of $B_{s} \backslash Z$ is $B_{s}$.
(5) The space is $T_{4}$.

Proof. 1. Straightforward.
2. Every set not in $I$ contains a subset of the form $B_{s} \backslash Z$ (by one of the properties of the Boolean algebra mentioned above), which is open, so every nowhere dense, therefore every meager set is in $I$.
3. If $A \subseteq \kappa$ then $A / I$ can be written as $A / I=B_{s_{0}} / I \vee B_{s_{1}} / I \vee \ldots$ and then clearly

$$
A=\left(\left(B_{s_{0}} \backslash Z_{0}\right) \cup\left(B_{s_{1}} \backslash Z_{1}\right) \cup \ldots\right) \cup Z
$$

for some sets $Z_{0}, Z_{1}, \ldots, Z$ in $I$. But this is a decomposition into the union of an open and a closed set.
4. Clear.
5. Assume we are given the disjoint closed sets $F$ and $F^{\prime}$. They can be written as

$$
F=\left(B_{s_{0}} \backslash Z_{0}\right) \cup\left(B_{s_{1}} \backslash Z_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup Z
$$

and

$$
F^{\prime}=\left(B_{s_{0}^{\prime}} \backslash Z_{0}^{\prime}\right) \cup\left(B_{s_{1}^{\prime}} \backslash Z_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cup \cdots \cup Z^{\prime} .
$$

As $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are closed, using 4., we can assume that

$$
Z_{0}=Z_{1}=\cdots=Z_{0}^{\prime}=Z_{1}^{\prime}=\cdots=\emptyset
$$

Set $G=B_{s_{0}} \cup B_{s_{1}} \cup \ldots, G^{\prime}=B_{s_{0}^{\prime}} \cup B_{s_{1}^{\prime}} \cup \ldots$, then $F=G \cup Z, F^{\prime}=G^{\prime} \cup Z^{\prime}$ and these four sets are pairwise disjoint. It suffices to separate each of the pairs $\left(G, G^{\prime}\right),\left(G, Z^{\prime}\right),\left(G^{\prime}, Z\right)$, and $\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)$. There is no problem with the first case, as $G, G^{\prime}$ are open. For the last case we use our assumption that some $A_{\alpha}$ separates $Z$ and $Z^{\prime}$. For the second, we can assume that $G$ is non empty hence $B_{s_{0}}$ is well defined and disjoint to $Z^{\prime}$, now choose $\alpha<\kappa$ such that $Z^{\prime}$ is a subset of $A_{\alpha}$, and so $G, A_{\alpha} \backslash B_{s_{0}}$ is a pair of disjoint open sets as required. Lastly the third case is similar to the second.

We have proved $(1) \longrightarrow(3)$, and $(3) \longrightarrow(2)$ is trivial; lastly for $(2) \longrightarrow(1)$ assume that $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is a non-meager $T_{1}$ space with no isolated points in which every subset is Borel. Let $\left\{G_{\alpha}: \alpha<\tau\right\}$ be a maximal system of disjoint, nonempty, meager open sets. Such a system exists by Zorn's lemma. Set $Y=\bigcup\left\{G_{\alpha}: \alpha<\tau\right\}$. Clearly, $Y$ is meager. As the boundary of the open $Y$ is nowhere dense, we get that even the closure of $Y$ is meager. Then the nonempty subspace $Z=X-\bar{Y}$ has the property that no nonempty open set is meager and every subset is Borel. If $I$ is the meager ideal on $Z$ then every subset is equal to some open set mod $I$. We
claim that $I$ is precipitous on $Z$ which implies that in some inner model there is a measurable cardinal (see [1], [2]).

For this, assume that $\mathcal{W}^{0}, \mathcal{W}^{1}, \ldots$ is a refining sequence of $\bmod I$ partitions. That is, every $\mathcal{W}^{n}$ is a maximal system of $I$-almost disjoint open sets, and if $A$ is a member of some $\mathcal{W}^{n+1}$ then there is some member of $\mathcal{W}^{n}$ which includes $A \bmod I$. We try to find a member $A_{n} \in \mathcal{W}^{n}$ such that $\bigcap\left\{A_{n}: n<\omega\right\}$ is nonempty. To this, observe that the intersection of two members in $\mathcal{W}^{n}$ is a meagre open set, hence is the empty set. Therefore, $\mathcal{W}^{n}$ is actually a decomposition of $Z \backslash Z_{n}$ into the union of disjoint open sets where $Z_{n}$ is a meager set. Pick an element in $Z \backslash \bigcup\left\{Z_{n}: n<\omega\right\}$ then it is in some member of $\mathcal{W}^{n}$ for every $n$ and we are done.
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