## MARTIN'S AXIOM AND MAXIMAL ORTHOGONAL FAMILIES

## SAHARON SHELAH

ABSTRACT. It is shown that Martin's Axiom for  $\sigma$ -centred partial orders implies that every maximal orthogonal family in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is of size  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .

For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  define the inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x(n) y(n)$$

in the obvious way noting, however, that it may not be finite or, indeed, may not even exist. Nevertheless, if  $\langle x, y \rangle$  converges and equals 0 then x and y are said to be orthogonal. A family  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  will be said to be maximal orthogonal if any two of its elements are orthogonal and for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus X$ there is some  $x \in X$  which is not orthogonal to y. In [1] various results are established which indicate a similarity between maximal orthogonal familes and maximal almost disjoint families of sets of integers. There is a key distinction though: While no infinite, countable family of subsets of the integers can be maximal almost disjoint, there are countably infinite maximal orthogonal families. In [1] the question of whether it is possible to construct a maximal orthogonal family of cardinality  $\aleph_1$  without assuming any extra set theoretic axioms was posed. The following theorem establishes that this is not possible:

**Theorem 1.** Martin's Axiom for  $\sigma$ -centred partial orders implies that every uncountable, maximal orthogonal family in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is of size  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an uncountable orthogonal family of cardinality less than  $2^{\aleph_0}$ . It will be shown that it can be extended to a larger orthogonal family. Before continuing, some notation and terminology will be established. Whenever a topology on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is mentioned this will refer to the usual product topology. Basic neighbourhoods of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  will be taken to be sets of the form

$$\mathcal{V} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : (\forall i \in \mathbb{N}) (a_i < x(i) < b_i) \right\}$$

where the end points  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are all rational. The integer k will be said to be the length of  $\mathcal{V}$  and will be denoted by  $l(\mathcal{V})$  while  $\max_{i \leq k} (b_i - a_i)$  will be referred to as the width of  $\mathcal{V}$  and will be denoted by  $w(\mathcal{V})$ .

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of all triples  $p = (\mathcal{V}, W, \eta)$  such that:

- $\mathcal{V}$  is a basic open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$
- W is a finite subset of X
- $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\eta > w(\mathcal{V})$
- if U is the set of all  $x \in X \cap \mathcal{V}$  such that  $|\sum_{i=0}^{k} w(i)x(i)| < \eta$  for any k greater than the length of  $\mathcal{V}$  and any  $w \in W$  then  $|U| \ge \aleph_1$ .

Define  $\mathcal{V}(p) = \mathcal{V}, W(p) = W, \eta(p) = \eta$  and U(p) = U. Define  $p \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p'$  if and only if

- $\mathcal{V}(p) \subset \mathcal{V}(p')$
- $W(p) \supseteq W(p')$
- $\eta(p) \le \eta(p')$

Key words and phrases. orthogonal family, Martin's Axiom, maximal almost disjoint family.

This research was supported by The Israel Science Foundation, founded by the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities, and by NSF grant No. NSF-DMS97-04477. This is number 806 in the author's personal numbering system.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 03E35,03E65.

S. SHELAH

• and for each  $t \in \mathcal{V}(p)$  and each integer j such that  $l(\mathcal{V}(p')) < j \leq l(\mathcal{V}(p))$  the inequality  $|\sum_{i=0}^{j} t(i)w(i)| < \eta(p')$  holds for for every  $w \in W(p')$ .

Observe that  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\sigma$ -centred since, given any finite set of conditions  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  such that  $\mathcal{V}(p') = \mathcal{V}$  and  $\eta(p) = \eta$  for each  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , the triple  $(\mathcal{V}, \bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{P}} W(p), \eta)$  is a lower bound for all of them.

It will be shown that the following sets are dense in  $\mathbb{P}$ :

•  $A(x) = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : x \in W(p)\}$ 

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

- $B(x) = \{ p \in \mathbb{P} : x \notin \mathcal{V}(p) \}$
- $C(m) = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : \eta(p) < 1/m\}$
- $D(m) = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : l(\mathcal{V}(p)) > m\}$

where  $x \in X$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Given that this assertion can be established, let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  be a filter such that

$$G \cap A(x) \cap B(x) \cap C(m) \cap D(m) \neq \emptyset$$

for each  $x \in X \cup \{\vec{0}\}$ , where  $\vec{0}$  denotes the constant zero function, and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using that  $G \cap C(m) \cap D(m) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $x_G \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the unique sequence such that  $x_G \in \mathcal{V}(p)$  for each  $p \in G$ . Observe that  $x_G \neq x$  if  $G \cap B(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $x_G \notin X$ .

To see that  $\langle x_G, x \rangle = 0$  for each  $x \in X$ , let  $x \in X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be given and choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $1/k < \epsilon$ . Then select  $p \in G \cap A(x) \cap C(k)$ . Now, given any j greater than the length of  $\mathcal{V}(p)$  use that  $G \cap D(j) \neq \emptyset$  to choose  $p' \in G \cap D(j)$  such that  $p' \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$ . It is an immediate consequence of the definition of  $\leq_{\mathbb{P}}$  and the facts that  $x_G \in \mathcal{V}(p')$ ,  $x \in W(p) \subseteq W(p')$  and  $l(\mathcal{V}(p)) \leq j \leq l(\mathcal{V}(p'))$  that  $|\sum_{i=0}^{j} x_G(i)x(i)| < \eta(p) < 1/k < \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $\langle x_G, x \rangle = 0$ .

So all that remains to be shown is that the sets A(x), B(x), C(m) and D(m) are dense for each  $x \in X$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Claim 1.**  $C(m) \cap D(m)$  is dense for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, for any  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and any uncountable  $Z \subseteq U(p)$  it is possible to find  $q \leq p$  in  $C(m) \cap D(m)$  such that  $Z \cap U(q)$  is uncountable.

Proof. Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $Z \subseteq U(p)$  be uncountable. For each  $x \in Z \setminus W(p)$  there is some  $k(x) \geq m$  such that  $|\sum_{i=0}^{j} w(i)x(i)| < 1/m$  for each  $j \geq k(x)$  and  $w \in W(p)$ . Choose k such that  $U = \{x \in Z : k(x) = k\}$  is uncountable. Since  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  has a countable base it is possible to find  $x \in U$  which is a complete accumulation point of U. By the definition of  $x \in U(p)$  it follows that  $|\sum_{i=0}^{k} w(i)x(i)| < \eta(p)$  for every  $w \in W(p)$ . Therefore there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that for any sequence  $\{t_j\}_{j=0}^k$  such that  $|x(j) - t_j| < \delta$  for each  $j \leq k$  the inequality  $|\sum_{i=0}^k w(i)t_i| < \eta(p)$  holds for every  $w \in W(p)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a neighbourhood of x with length k but of width less than the minimum of  $\delta$  and 1/m. Let  $q = (\mathcal{W}, W(p), 1/m)$  and note that  $U \cap \mathcal{W} \subseteq U(q) \cap Z$  and  $U \cap \mathcal{W}$  is uncountable since x was chosen to be a complete accumulation point of U. Hence  $q \in \mathbb{P}$  is as required. It is also easily verified that the choice of  $\delta$  guarantees that  $q \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$  and that  $q \in C(m) \cap D(k) \subseteq C(m) \cap D(m)$ .

Claim 2. A(x) is dense for any  $x \in X$ .

Proof. Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . Choose some integer  $m \geq l(\mathcal{V}(p))$  such that if Z is defined to be the set of all  $z \in U(p)$ such that  $|\sum_{i=0}^{j} z(i)x(i)| < \eta(p)$  for each  $j \geq m$  then  $|Z| \geq \aleph_1$ . Use the claim about the density of  $C(m) \cap D(m)$  to find  $q \leq p$  such that  $Z \cap U(q)$  is uncountable and  $l(\mathcal{V}(q)) \geq m$ . It follows that there are uncountably many  $z \in X \cap \mathcal{V}(q)$  such that  $|\sum_{i=0}^{j} z(i)x(i)| < \eta(p)$  for each  $j \geq l(\mathcal{V}(q)) \geq m$ . This, in conjunction with the fact that  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , implies that  $|\sum_{i=0}^{j} z(i)w(i)| < \eta(p)$  for each  $j \geq l(\mathcal{V}(q))$  and  $w \in W(p) \cup \{x\}$ . Therefore, if q' is defined to be  $(\mathcal{V}(q), W(p) \cup \{x\}, \eta(p))$  then  $q' \in \mathbb{P} \cap A(x)$  and  $q' \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$ .

Claim 3. B(x) is dense for any  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let 
$$p \in \mathbb{P}$$
. For each  $z \in U(p) \setminus \{x\}$  choose a pair of integers  $(m(z), e(z))$  such that

$$|x(m(z)) - z(m(z))| > 1/e(z)$$

## MAXIMAL ORTHOGONAL FAMILIES

and then let (m, e) be some pair of integers such that  $|\{z \in U(p) : (m(z), e(z)) = (m, e)\}| \ge \aleph_1$ . Let k be the maximum of m and e. It follows that for each  $z \in Z$  no neighbourhood  $\mathcal{W}$  of z of length k and width 1/k contains x. Use the claim about the density of  $C(k) \cap D(k)$  to find  $q \le p$  such that  $Z \cap U(q) \ne \emptyset$  and  $l(\mathcal{V}(q)) \ge k$ . It follows  $x \notin \mathcal{V}(q)$  and so  $q \in B(x)$ .

This concludes the proofs of the claims and, hence, the proof of the theorem.

## References

[1] A. W. Miller and J. Steprāns. Orthogonal families of real sequences. J. Symbolic Logic, 63(1):29–49, March 1998.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, HILL CENTER, PISCATAWAY, NEW JERSEY, U.S.A. 08854-8019

*Current address*: Institute of Mathematics, Hebrew University, Givat Ram, Jerusalem 91904, Israel *Email address*: shelah@math.rutgers.edu