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#### Abstract

We prove that $\mathbb{N}$, the standard model of arithmetic, has an uncountable elementary extension $N$ such that there is no ultrafilter on the Boolean Algebra of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ represented in $N$ which is minimal (i.e. as in Rudin-Keisler order for partitions represented in $N$ ).


[^0]
## 0. Introduction

Enayat [Ena08], Question III, asked (see Definition 0.4(1)):
Question 0.1. Can we prove in ZFC that there is an arithmetically closed $\mathcal{A} \subseteq$ $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ carries no minimal ultrafilter?

He proved the existence of examples, for the stronger notion "2-Ramsey ultrafilter". In [She11] we prove that there is an arithmetically closed Borel set $\mathbf{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ such that any expansion $\mathbb{N}^{+}$of $\mathbb{N}$ by any uncountably many members of $\mathbf{B}$ has this property, i.e. the family of definable subsets of $\mathbb{N}^{+}$carries no 2-Ramsey ultrafilter.

We deal here with Question 0.1, proving that there is such a family of cardinality $\aleph_{1}$, this implies the version in the abstract; (since it it well-known that every arithmetically closed family of cardinality at most $\aleph_{1}$ can be realized as the standard system of some elementary extension of $\mathbb{N}$, as shown by Knight and Nadel [KN82]). We use forcing but the result is proved in ZFC. On other problems from [Ena08] see Enayat-Shelah [ES11] and [She15], [She11].

We thank Shimoni Garti and the referee for helpful comments.
Notation 0.2.1) Let pr: $\omega \times \omega \rightarrow \omega$ be the standard pairing function (i.e. $\operatorname{pr}(n, m)=$ $\binom{n+m}{2}+n$, so one to one onto two-place function).
2) Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote a subset of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$.
3) Let $\mathrm{BA}(\mathcal{A})$ be the Boolean algebra of subsets of $\omega$ which $\mathcal{A} \cup[\omega]^{<\aleph_{0}}$ generates.
4) Let $D$ denote a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathcal{A}$, meaning that $D \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and there is a unique non-principal ultrafilter $D^{\prime}$ on the Boolean algebra $\mathrm{BA}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying $D=D^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{A}$, notice that in Definition 0.4 below the distinction between an ultrafilter on $\mathcal{A}$ and on $\mathrm{BA}(\mathcal{A})$ makes a difference.
5) $\tau$ denotes a vocabulary extending $\tau_{\mathrm{PA}}=\tau_{\mathbb{N}}=\{0,1,+, \times,<\}$, usually countable. 6) $\mathrm{PA}(\tau)$ is Peano arithmetic for the vocabulary $\tau$. A model $N$ of $\mathrm{PA}(\tau)$ is called ordinary if $N \upharpoonright \tau_{\text {PA }}$ extends $\mathbb{N}$; usually the models will be ordinary.
7) $\varphi(N, \bar{a})$ is $\{b: N \models \varphi[b, \bar{a}]\}$ where $\varphi(x, \bar{y}) \in \mathbb{L}\left(\tau_{N}\right)$ and $\bar{a} \in{ }^{\ell g(\bar{y})} N$.
8) $\operatorname{Sym}(A)$ is the set (or group) of permutations of $N$.
9) For sets $u, v$ of ordinals let $\mathrm{OP}_{v, u}$, "the order preserving function from $u$ to $v$ " be defined by: $\mathrm{OP}_{v, u}(\alpha)=\beta$ iff $\beta \in v, \alpha \in u$ and $\operatorname{otp}(v \cap \beta)=\operatorname{otp}(u \cap \alpha)$.
10) We say $u, v \subseteq$ Ord form a $\Delta$-system pair when $\operatorname{otp}(u)=\operatorname{otp}(v)$ and $\mathrm{OP}_{v, u}$ is the identity on $u \cap v$.

Definition 0.3. 1) For $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ let $\operatorname{ar-cl}(\mathcal{A})=\{B \subseteq \omega: B$ is first order defined in $\left(\mathbb{N}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)$ for some $n<\omega$ and $\left.A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}\right\}$. This is called the arithmetic closure of $\mathcal{A}$.
2) For a model $N$ of $\operatorname{PA}(\tau)$ let the standard system of $N, \operatorname{SSy}(N)$ be $\{\varphi(M, \bar{a}) \cap \mathbb{N}$ : $\varphi(x, \bar{y}) \in \mathbb{L}(\tau)$ and $\left.\bar{a} \in{ }^{\ell g(\bar{y})} M\right\}$ so $\subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ for any ordinary model $M$ isomorphic to $N$, see $0.2(6)$.

Definition 0.4. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$.
0) Let $\operatorname{cd}_{0}: \mathcal{H}\left(\aleph_{0}\right) \rightarrow \omega$ be one to one, and interpreting $\mathcal{H}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$ inside $\mathbb{N}$ it is (first order) definable by a bounded formula in $\mathbb{N}$, i.e. $\left\{\operatorname{cd}_{0}(x, y): x \in y \in \mathcal{H}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)\right\}$ is, and it maps $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ into $\mathbb{N}$. For $h \in{ }^{\omega} \omega$ let $\operatorname{cd}(h)=\{\operatorname{pr}(n, h(n)): n<\omega\}$, where pr is the standard pairing function of $\omega$, see $0.2(1)$ and generally for $H \subseteq \mathcal{H}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$ we let $\operatorname{cd}(H):=\left\{\operatorname{cd}_{0}(x): x \in H\right\}$; this applies, e.g. to $h \in{ }^{[\omega]^{k}} \omega$.

1) $D$, an ultrafilter on $\mathcal{A}$, is called minimal when: if $h \in{ }^{\omega} \omega$ and $\operatorname{cd}(h) \in \mathcal{A}$ then for some $X \in D$ we have $h \upharpoonright X$ is constant or one-to-one.
2) $D$, an ultrafilter on $\mathcal{A}$, is called Ramsey when: if $k<\omega$ and $h:[\omega]^{k} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ and $\operatorname{cd}(h) \in \mathcal{A}$ then for some $X \in D$ we have $h \upharpoonright[X]^{k}$ is constant. Similarly $k$-Ramsey.
3) $D$, a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathcal{A}$, is called a $Q$-point when if $h \in{ }^{\omega} \omega$ is increasing and $\operatorname{cd}(h) \in \mathcal{A}$ then for some increasing sequence $\left\langle n_{i}: i<\omega\right\rangle$ we have $i<\omega \Rightarrow h(2 i) \leq n_{i}<h(2 i+1)$ and $\left\{n_{i}: i<\omega\right\} \in D$.
Remark 0.5. In [She11] we also use the following notions:
4) $D$ is called 2.5-Ramsey or self-definably closed when: if $\bar{h}=\left\langle h_{i}: i<\omega\right\rangle$ and $h_{i} \in{ }^{\omega}(i+1)$ and $\operatorname{cd}(\bar{h})=\left\{\operatorname{cd}\left(i, \operatorname{cd}\left(n, h_{i}(n)\right): i<\omega, n<\omega\right\}\right.$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$ then for some $g \in{ }^{\omega} \omega$ we have: $\operatorname{cd}(g) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(\forall i)\left[g(i) \leq i \wedge\left\{n<\omega: h_{i}(n)=g(i)\right\} \in D\right]$; this follows from 3-Ramsey and implies 2-Ramsey.
5) $D$ is weakly definably closed when: if $\left\langle A_{i}: i<\omega\right\rangle$ is a sequence of subsets of $\omega$ and $\left\{\operatorname{pr}(n, i): n \in A_{i}\right.$ and $\left.i<\omega\right\} \in \mathcal{A}$ then $\left\{i: A_{i} \in D\right\} \in D$, (follows from 2-Ramsey).

Definition 0.6. 1) $\mathbb{L}(\mathbf{Q})$ is first order logic when we add the quantifier $\mathbf{Q}$ where $(\mathbf{Q} x) \varphi$ means that there are uncountable many $x$ 's satisfying $\varphi$.
2) $\mathbb{L}_{\aleph_{1}, \aleph_{0}}(\mathbf{Q})$ is defined parallely.

See on those logics Keisler [Kei71]. We shall use Laver forcing in the proof of Theorem 1.1, so let us define this forcing notion.

Definition 0.7. Let $T \subseteq\left\{\eta \in^{\omega>} \omega: \eta\right.$ increasing $\}$ be a subtree. For $a \in T$ let $\operatorname{suc}_{T}(a)=\left\{a^{\wedge}\langle i\rangle \in T: i \in \omega\right\}$. The trunk $\operatorname{tr}(T)$ of $T$ is a maximal element $a \in T$ such that $a \leq_{T} b$ or $b \leq_{T} a$ for every $b \in T$.

Such a tree $T$ will be called a Laver tree iff $s=\operatorname{tr}(T)$ and for every $t \in T$ such that $s \leq t$, the set $\operatorname{suc}_{T}(t)$ is infinite.

We define the forcing notion $\mathbb{Q}(=$ Laver forcing $)$ as follows. A condition $T \in \mathbb{Q}$ is a Laver tree. If $S, T \in \mathbb{Q}$ then $S \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} T$ iff $S \supseteq T$. If $\mathbf{G} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ is generic, then $\eta[\mathbf{G}]:=\left\{a \in^{\omega>} \omega: \exists T \in \mathbf{G}, a\right.$ is the trunk of $\left.T\right\}$ will be called a Laver real.
Claim 0.8. If $\boxtimes$ then $\boxplus$ where:
$\boxtimes \quad$ (a) $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}=\left\langle\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}, \mathbb{Q}_{\beta}: \alpha \leq \alpha(*), \beta<\alpha(*)\right\rangle$ is a CS iteration
(b) $\alpha(*)<\omega_{1}, k(*)<\omega$ and $\beta(k)<\alpha(*)$ for $k<k(*)$
(c) each $\mathbb{Q}_{\alpha}$ is the Laver forcing (in $\mathbf{V}^{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}}$ ) and $\eta_{\alpha}$ its generic
(d) $h \in\left({ }^{\omega} \omega\right)^{\mathbf{V}}$
(e) $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha(*)}$
 for every $n$ large enough" for $k<k(*)$
$\boxplus$ for some $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $B_{k}^{*}$ for $k<k(*)$ we have
(a) $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha(*)} \models " p \leq p_{\ell} "$ for $\ell=1,2$
(b) $B_{k}^{*} \subseteq \omega($ from $\mathbf{V})$
(c) $p_{1} \Vdash{ }_{\sim}^{B}{\underset{\sim}{B}}_{k} \subseteq^{*} B_{k}^{*}$ "
(d) $p_{2} \Vdash "{\underset{\sim}{B}}_{k} \subseteq^{*}\left(\omega \backslash B_{k}^{*}\right)$ ".

Proof. rm Without loss of generality $\alpha(*) \geq 1$. Clearly letting $\underset{\sim}{B}=\cup\left\{\underset{\sim}{B}{\underset{k}{ }}^{B_{*}}: k<\right.$ $k(*)\}$ we have
$(*) p \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha(*)}}$ "for every large enough $n$ the set $\underset{\sim}{B} \mathcal{O} \cap\left[{\underset{\sim}{~}}_{0}(n+1),{\underset{\sim}{x}}_{0}(n+2)\right)$ has $\leq \eta_{0}(n)$ members".

Now by the properties of iterating Laver forcing ([Lav76] or see [She98, Ch.VI]), we have:
$(*)$ if $\mathbf{G}_{1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{1}$ is generic over $\mathbf{V}$ and $\eta=\eta_{0}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}\right]$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha(*)}} / \mathbf{G}_{1} " & \text { if } \underset{\sim}{B} \subseteq \omega \text { and in } \underset{\sim}{B} \cap[\eta(n), \eta(n+1)) \\
& \text { there are } \leq \eta(n)) \text { elements for every } n \text { large enough } \\
& \frac{\text { then for some } B^{\prime} \in \mathbf{V}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}\right], B^{\prime} \subseteq \omega, \underset{\sim}{B} \subseteq B^{\prime} \text { and }}{\left.B^{\prime} \cap[\eta(n), \eta(n+1))\right) \text { has } \leq(\eta(n))^{n} \text { members for every } n \text { large enough". }} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now this applies in particular to $\underset{\sim}{B}=\underset{\sim}{B} *$ getting $\underset{\sim}{B}$. Hence without loss of generality $\alpha(*)=1$ so we can replace $\mathbb{P}_{1}$ by $\mathbb{Q}_{0}$, Laver forcing; also for a dense set of $p \in \mathbb{Q}_{0}$ we have: if $\eta \in p$ is of length $n+1$ so an increasing sequence of natural numbers, then $p^{[\eta]}:=\{\nu \in p: \nu \unlhd \eta$ or $\eta \unlhd \nu\}$ forces a value $b_{\eta}$ to $\underset{\sim}{B^{\prime}} \cap[0, \eta(n))$ so necessarily $\left|b_{\eta}\right| \leq \eta(n-1)$ when $n>1$.

By thinning $p$, without loss of generality if $\eta \in p$ and $u_{\eta}=\left\{n: \eta^{\wedge}\langle n\rangle \in p\right\}$ is infinite (equivalently is not a singleton) then $\left\langle b_{\left.\eta^{\wedge}<n\right\rangle}: n \in u_{\eta}\right\rangle$ is a $\Delta$-system.

The rest of the proof should be easy, too.

## 1. No minimal ultrafilter on the standard system

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\mathbb{N}_{*}$ is an expansion of $\mathbb{N}$ with countable vocabulary or $\mathbb{N}_{*}$ is an ordinary model of $P A_{\tau}$, for some countable $\tau \supseteq \tau_{P A}$ such that $\mathbb{N}_{*}$ is countable. Then there is $M$ such that
(a) $\mathbb{N}_{*} \prec M$
(b) $\|M\|=\aleph_{1}$
(c) $\operatorname{SSy}(M)$, the standard system of $M$, see Definition 0.3, has no minimal ultrafilter on it, see Definition 0.4; moreover
(d) there is no $Q$-point on $\operatorname{SSy}(M)$
(e) $\operatorname{SSy}(M)$ is arithmetically closed.

Proof. Stage A:
Without loss of generality $\mathbb{N}_{*}$ is the Skolem Hull of $\emptyset$ as we can expand it by $\aleph_{0}$ individual constants.

We shall choose a sentence $\psi \in \mathbb{L}_{\omega_{1}, \omega}(\mathbf{Q})\left(\tau^{*}\right)$ with $\tau^{*} \supseteq \tau\left(\mathbb{N}_{*}\right)$ and prove that it has a model, and for every model $M^{+}$of $\psi$, the model $M^{+} \upharpoonright \tau\left(\mathbb{N}_{*}\right)$ is as required. By the completeness theorem for $\mathbb{L}_{\omega_{1}, \omega}(\mathbf{Q})$ it is enough to prove that $\psi$ has a model in some forcing extension; of course it is crucial that $\psi$ can be explicitly defined hence $\in \mathbf{V}$.

Stage B:
Recall $c d=\operatorname{cd}_{0}: \mathcal{H}\left(\aleph_{0}\right) \rightarrow \omega$ be one-to-one onto and definable in $\mathbb{N}$ by a bounded formula in the natural sense; see $0.4(0)$.

Let $\mathbf{V}_{0}=\mathbf{V}$ and $\lambda=\left(2^{\aleph_{0}}\right)^{+}$.
Let $\mathbb{R}_{0}=\operatorname{Levy}\left(\aleph_{1}, 2^{\aleph_{0}}\right)$, let $\mathbf{G}_{0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{0}$ be generic over $\mathbf{V}_{0}$ and let $\mathbf{V}_{1}=\mathbf{V}_{0}\left[\mathbf{G}_{0}\right]$, i.e. in $\mathbf{V}_{0}^{\mathbb{R}_{0}}$ we have CH .

In $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ we have $\lambda=\aleph_{2}$ and let $\mathbb{R}_{1}$ be $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}$ where $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}=\left\langle\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}, \mathbb{Q}_{\beta}: \alpha \leq \omega_{2}, \beta<\omega_{2}\right\rangle$ is a CS iteration, each $\mathbb{Q}_{\alpha}$ is a Laver forcing; there are many other possibilities, let $\eta_{\alpha} \in{ }^{\omega} \omega$ (increasing) be the $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha+1}$-name of the $\mathbb{Q}_{\alpha}$-generic real and ${\underset{\sim}{\nu}}_{\alpha}=\left\langle\operatorname{cd}\left(\eta_{\alpha}\lceil n)\right.\right.$ : $\tilde{n}<\omega)\rangle$. Let $\mathbf{G}_{1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{1}$ be generic over $\tilde{\mathbf{V}_{1}}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{2}=\mathbf{V}_{1}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}\right]$ and let $\eta_{\alpha}=$ $\eta_{\alpha}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}\right], \nu_{\alpha}=\left\langle\operatorname{cd}\left(\eta_{\alpha} \upharpoonright n\right): n<\omega\right\rangle={\underset{\sim}{\alpha}}_{\alpha}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}\right]$.

Let $D^{2}$ be a non-principal ultrafilter on $\omega$ in the universe $\mathbf{V}_{2}$.
$\boxplus_{1}$ In the universe $\mathbf{V}_{2}$ let $M_{1}=\mathbb{N}_{*}^{\omega} / D^{2}$, let $a_{\alpha}=\eta_{\alpha} / D^{2} \in M_{1}$
and note
$\boxplus_{2} \operatorname{SSy}\left(M_{1}\right)=\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})^{\mathbf{V}_{2}}$ hence is arithmetically closed
$\boxplus_{3}$ let $f_{1} \in \mathbf{V}_{2}$ be the function from $\lambda=\omega_{2}^{\mathbf{V}_{1}}=\omega_{2}^{\mathbf{V}_{2}}$ into $M_{1}$ defined by $f_{1}(\alpha)=a_{\alpha}$.

Stage C:
In $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ (yes, not in $\mathbf{V}_{2}$ ) let the forcing notion $\mathbb{R}_{2}:=\mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}^{+}$and the set $K$ be defined as follows (so $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{V}_{1}$ below, which is equivalent to $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{V}_{0}$, similarly for $u$; so in $\boxplus_{4}(\alpha),{\underset{\sim}{A}}_{A}$ is a $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}$-name):
$\boxplus_{4}(\alpha) K:=\left\{(\alpha, u, \underset{\sim}{A}): u \subseteq \lambda\right.$ is countable, $\alpha \in u, \underset{\sim}{A}=\mathbf{B}\left(\ldots, \eta_{\beta}, \ldots\right)_{\beta \in u}, \mathbf{B}$ a Borel function from ${ }^{\operatorname{otp}(u)}\left({ }^{\omega} \omega\right)$ to $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ such that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}}{ }_{\sim}^{A} \cap\left[\eta_{\alpha}(n+\right.$ 1), $\left.\eta_{\alpha}(n+2)\right)$ has $\leq \eta_{\alpha}(n)$ members; moreover $0=\lim _{n}\left(\mid \underset{\sim}{A} \cap\left[\eta_{\alpha}(n+\right.\right.$ 1), $\left.\left.\eta_{\alpha}(n+2)\right) / \eta_{\alpha}(n) \mid "\right\}$
$(\beta) \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}^{+}$iff
(a) $\mathbf{p}=(p, h)=\left(p_{\mathbf{p}}, h_{\mathbf{p}}\right)$
(b) $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}$
(c) $h$ a function from some finite subset $K_{\mathbf{p}}$ of $K$ to $\omega_{1}$
(d) if $\left(\alpha_{\ell}, u_{\ell}, \underset{\sim}{A}\right) \in K_{\mathbf{p}}$ for $\ell=1,2$ and $h\left(\alpha_{1}, u_{1}, \underset{\sim}{A}\right)=h\left(\alpha_{2}, u_{2},{\underset{\sim}{1}}^{A_{2}}\right)$ and $u_{1} \subseteq \alpha_{2}$ then $p \vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}} \quad{ }_{\sim}^{A} \cap{\underset{\sim}{c}}_{A}^{A}$ is finite"
$(\gamma) \mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}^{+} \models \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{q}$ iff:
(a) $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}} \models p_{\mathbf{p}} \leq p_{\mathbf{q}}$
(b) $h_{\mathbf{p}} \subseteq h_{\mathbf{q}}$.

Now
$(*)_{0}$ if $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}, \alpha<\omega_{2}$ and $p \Vdash$ " $\underset{\sim}{A} \subseteq \omega$ satisfies $\underset{\sim}{A} \cap\left[{\underset{\sim}{~}}_{\alpha}(n+1), \eta_{\alpha}(n+2)\right)$ has $\leq \eta_{\alpha}(n)$ members for every $n$ large enough and $0=\lim \widetilde{\langle } \mid \underset{\sim}{A} \cap\left[\eta_{\alpha}(n+\right.$ 1), $\left.\tilde{\sim}_{\alpha}(n+2)\right)\left|/{\underset{\sim}{n}}_{\alpha}(n): n<\omega\right\rangle "$ then we can find a triple $\left(q, u, \widetilde{A^{\prime}}\right)$ such that:
$(\alpha) \mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}=" p \leq q "$
( $\beta$ ) $\operatorname{Dom}(q)=u$
$(\gamma) u$ a countable set of ordinals $<\lambda\left(\right.$ in $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ equivalently in $\left.\mathbf{V}_{0}\right)$
( $\delta) ~ q \Vdash$ " $A=\underset{\sim}{A}{ }^{\prime}$ "
$(\varepsilon) \underset{\sim}{A}=\mathbf{B}\left(\ldots,{\underset{\sim}{\alpha}}^{\boldsymbol{q}_{i}}, \ldots\right)_{i<\operatorname{otp}(u)}$ where $\alpha_{i}$ is the $i$-th member of $u$, for some Borel function $\mathbf{B}$ from ${ }^{\operatorname{otp}(u)}\left({ }^{\omega} \omega\right)$ to $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ so $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{V}_{1}$ equivalently $\mathbf{V}_{0}$
(广) $q\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\mathbf{B}_{i}\left(\ldots, \eta_{\alpha_{j}}, \ldots\right)_{j<i}$ for every $i<\operatorname{otp}(u)$ for some Borel fucntion $\mathbf{B}_{i}$ from ${ }^{i}\left({ }^{\omega} \omega\right)$ to Laver forcing, of course, $\mathbf{B}_{i}$ is from $\mathrm{V}_{0}$.
[Why? Standard proof.]
$(*)_{1} \mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}^{+}$satisfies the $\aleph_{2}$-c.c.
[Why? We need a property of the iteration $\left\langle\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}, \mathbb{Q}_{\beta}: \alpha \leq \omega_{2}, \beta<\omega_{2}\right\rangle$ stated in Claim 0.8. In more detail, given a sequence $\left\langle\mathbf{p}_{\alpha}: \alpha<\omega_{2}\right\rangle$ of members of $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}^{+}$, for each $\alpha<\omega_{2}$, let $\mathbf{p}_{\alpha}=\left(p_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha}\right)$; and without loss of generality for each $\left(\alpha_{1}^{*}, u_{1}^{*}, \underset{\sim}{A}\right) \in K_{\mathbf{p}_{\alpha}}$ for some $u^{1}, \underset{\sim}{A}{ }^{1}$, the tuple $\left(p_{\alpha}, u^{1},{\underset{\sim}{1}}^{1}\right)$ is like $(q, u, \underset{\sim}{A})$ in $(*)_{0},(\beta)-(\zeta)$ and $(\alpha, u, \underset{\sim}{A}) \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(h_{\alpha}\right) \Rightarrow u \subseteq \operatorname{Dom}\left(p_{\alpha}\right)$. Letting $u_{\alpha}=\operatorname{Dom}\left(p_{\alpha}\right)$, we can find a stationary $S \subseteq\left\{\delta<\omega_{2}: \operatorname{cf}(\delta)=\aleph_{1}\right\}$ and $p_{*}, \gamma(*)$ such that:

- $u_{\delta} \cap \delta=u_{*}$ for $\delta \in S$ and $u_{\alpha} \subseteq \delta$ for $\alpha<\delta \in S$
- $p_{\delta} \upharpoonright \delta \leq p_{*} \in \mathbb{P}_{\delta}$ for $\delta \in S$
- without loss of generality $p_{\delta} \upharpoonright \delta=p_{*}$ for $\delta \in S$
- $\operatorname{otp}\left(u_{\delta}\right)=\gamma(*)$ for $\delta \in S$
- if $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2} \in S$ then the order preserving function $\mathrm{OP}_{u_{\delta_{2}}, u_{\delta_{1}}}$ from $u_{\delta_{1}}$ onto $u_{\delta_{2}} \operatorname{maps} \mathbf{p}_{\delta_{1}}$ to $\mathbf{p}_{\delta_{2}}$.
Let $\delta(*)=\operatorname{Min}(S)$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\delta(*)}^{1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\delta(*)}$ be generic over $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ such that $p_{*} \in \mathbf{G}_{\delta(*)}^{1}$. Now we shall apply the conclusion of Claim 0.8 to $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}} / \mathbf{G}_{\delta(*)}$ and we shall work in $\mathbf{V}\left[G_{\delta(*)}^{1}\right]$.

For $\delta \in S$, let $\alpha_{\delta}=\operatorname{otp}\left(u_{\delta} \backslash \delta_{*}\right), \mathbf{h}_{\delta}$ be the order preserving function from $\alpha_{\delta}$ onto $u_{\delta} \backslash \delta$ and $\left(p_{\delta}^{\prime}, h_{\delta}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha_{\delta}}$ be such that $\mathbf{h}_{\delta} \operatorname{maps}\left(p_{\delta}^{\prime}, h_{\delta}^{\prime}\right)$ to $\left(p_{\delta}, h_{\delta}\right)$. Clearly $\alpha_{\delta}, p_{\delta}^{\prime}, h_{\delta}^{\prime}$ are the same for all $\delta \in S$ so call them $\alpha(*), p^{\prime}, h^{\prime}$ and applying 0.8 with $p^{\prime},(\{\alpha, \underset{\sim}{A})$ : for some $u$ the tuple $(\alpha, u, \underset{\sim}{A})$ belongs to $\operatorname{Dom}(h)\}$ here stands for $p,\left\{\left(\alpha_{k},{\underset{\sim}{k}}_{k}\right): k<k(*)\right\}$ there and get $p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}$ as there.

Let $\tilde{\delta_{1}}<\delta_{2}$ be from $S$, let $q_{\delta_{1}}$ be $\mathbf{h}_{\delta_{1}}\left(p_{1}^{\prime}\right), q_{\delta_{2}}$ be $\mathbf{h}_{\delta_{2}}\left(p_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Easily $p_{\delta_{\ell}} \leq q_{\delta_{\ell}}$ and $q_{\delta_{1}} \cup q_{\delta_{2}}$ is a common upper bound of $p_{\delta_{1}}, p_{\delta_{2}}$ in $\mathbb{P}_{w_{2}}^{+} / \mathbf{G}_{\delta(*)}^{1}$.]
$(*)_{2} \mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}^{+}$collapses $\omega_{1}$ to $\aleph_{0}$.
[Why? Easy but also we can use $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}^{+} \times \operatorname{Levy}\left(\aleph_{0}, \aleph_{1}\right)$ instead $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}^{+}$.]
$(*)_{3}$ the function $p \mapsto(p, \emptyset)$ is a complete embedding of $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}$ into $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}^{+}$.
[Why? Should be clear.]
Stage D: Let $\mathbf{G}_{2}=\mathbf{G}_{1}^{+} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\omega_{2}}^{+}$be generic over $\mathbf{V}_{1}, \mathbf{V}_{3}=\mathbf{V}_{1}\left[\mathbf{G}_{2}\right]$ and by $(*)_{3}$ without loss of generality $\mathbf{G}_{1}=\left\{p:(p, h) \in \mathbf{G}_{2}\right\}$. So $\mathbf{V}_{3}=\mathbf{V}_{1}\left[\mathbf{G}_{2}\right]$ is a generic extension of $\mathbf{V}_{2}$ and let $f_{2}=\cup\left\{h:(p, h) \in \mathbf{G}_{2}\right\}$.

So
$(*)_{4}$ in $\mathbf{V}_{3}$ if $f_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}, u_{1}, \underset{\sim}{A}\right)=f_{2}\left(\alpha_{2}, u_{2}, A_{2}\right)$ and $u_{1} \subseteq \alpha_{2}\left(\right.$ hence $\left.\alpha_{1} \neq \alpha_{2}\right)$, then $\underset{\sim}{A_{1}}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}\right] \cap \underset{\sim}{A}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}\right]$ is finite.

In $\mathbf{V}_{3}$ let $M_{2}$ be an elementary submodel of $\left(\mathcal{H}\left(\beth_{\omega}\right), \in, \ldots, \mathbf{V}_{\ell} \cap \mathcal{H}\left(\beth_{\omega}\right), \ldots\right)_{\ell=0,1,2}$ of cardinality $\lambda=\aleph_{1}^{\mathbf{V}_{3}}$ which includes $\{\alpha: \alpha \leq \lambda\}=\left\{\alpha: \alpha \leq \omega_{1}^{\mathbf{V}_{3}}\right\},\left\{M_{1}, f_{1}, f_{2}, \mathbf{G}_{0}, \mathbf{G}_{1}, \mathbf{G}_{2}\right\}$ and (the universe of) $M_{1}$, see $\boxplus_{1}$ end of stage B, note that $\left\|M_{2}\right\| \subseteq\left|M_{2}\right|$.

Let $f_{0}$ be a one-to-one function from $M_{1}$ onto $M_{2}$, let $M_{3}$ be a model such that $f_{0}$ is an isomorphism from $M_{1}$ onto $M_{3}$. Lastly, let $M_{4}$ be $M_{3}$ expanded by $c_{0}=\lambda=$ $\omega_{2}^{\mathbf{V}_{1}}=\omega_{1}^{\mathbf{V}_{3}}, c_{1}^{M_{4}}=\omega_{1}^{\mathbf{V}}, c_{2}^{M_{4}}=M_{1}, d_{0, \ell}^{M_{4}}=\mathbf{G}_{\ell}, d_{1, \ell}=\mathbb{R}_{\ell}, d^{M_{4}}=\mathbb{N}_{*},\left\langle d_{2, n}^{M_{4}}: n<\omega\right\rangle$ list the members of $\mathbb{N}_{*}, Q_{0}^{M_{4}}=\left|\mathbb{N}_{*}\right|, \in^{M_{2}}=\in \mathbf{V}_{3} \upharpoonright\left|M_{2}\right|, F_{0}^{M}=f_{0}, F_{1}^{M_{4}}=f_{0} \circ f_{1}$, see end of Stage B, $F_{2}^{M_{4}}=f_{2}, P_{\ell}^{M}=\mathbf{V}_{\ell} \cap M_{2}$ for $\ell=0,1,2$ (so $F_{\ell}$ is a unary function symbol, $P_{\ell}$ is a unary predicate) and lastly $<_{*}^{M}$, a linear order of $\left|M_{2}\right|=\left|M_{4}\right|$ of order type $\omega_{1} \mathbf{V}_{3}$.

We define the sentence $\psi$ : it is the conjunction of the following countable sets and singletons of sentences of $\mathbb{L}_{\aleph_{1}, \aleph_{0}}(\mathbf{Q})$ in the vocabulary $\tau\left(M_{4}\right)$ such that $M^{+} \models \psi$ iff:
(A) $M^{+} \upharpoonright \tau\left(\mathbb{N}_{*}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}_{*}$, of cousre, $M^{+} \upharpoonright \tau\left(\mathbb{N}_{*}\right)$ has universe $Q_{0}^{M^{+}}$
(B) $M^{+}$is uncountable, moreover $M^{+} \models(\mathbf{Q} x)\left(x\right.$ an ordinal $\left.<c_{0}\right)$
$(C)<_{*}^{M^{+}}$is a linear order
$(D)$ every proper initial segment by $<_{*}^{M^{+}}$is countable
(E) $\left(\left|M^{+}\right|, \in^{M^{+}}\right)$is a model $\mathrm{ZFC}^{-}\left(\right.$even a model of $\left.\operatorname{Th}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(\beth_{\omega}\right)^{\mathbf{V}_{3}}, \in\right)\right)$
$(F)$ the function $F_{1}^{M^{+}}:\left\{a: M^{+} \models " a\right.$ an ordinal $\left.<c_{0} "\right\} \rightarrow M^{+}$is one-to-one
(G) $M^{+} \models$ " $K$ is as above"
(H) $F_{2}^{M^{+}}: K^{M^{+}} \rightarrow\left\{a: M \models\right.$ " $a$ an ordinal $\left.<c_{1} "\right\}$ is as above
(I) $M^{+} \models$ "for every $B$ we have $B \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \wedge P_{2}(B)$ iff $B=A \cap \mathbb{N}$ for some definable subset of $A$ in the model $c_{2}$ ".

It is easy to check that
$(*)_{5} \quad \psi \in \mathbf{V}_{0}$
$(*)_{6} \quad M_{4} \models \psi$ in $\mathbf{V}_{3}$.
Hence as the completeness theorem for $\mathbb{L}_{\omega_{1}, \omega}(\mathbf{Q})$ gives absoluteness
$(*)_{7} \psi$ has a model in $\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{V}_{0}$ call it $M_{5}$.
By renaming without loss of generality
$(*)_{8} \quad$ (a) if $M_{5} \models$ " $a$ is the $n$-th natural number" then $a=n$
(b) if $M_{5} \models$ " $A \subseteq \omega$ " then $A=\left\{n: M_{5} \models\right.$ " $\left.n \in A "\right\}$
(c) if $M_{5} \models " b \in{ }^{\omega} \omega$ " then $b=\left\{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right): M_{5} \models f\left(n_{1}\right)=n_{2}\right\}$
$(*)_{9}$ let $N_{*}^{\prime}=M_{5} \upharpoonright \tau\left(\mathbb{N}_{*}\right)$, so isomorphic to $N_{*}$, let $N=M_{5} \upharpoonright\{\in\}$
$(*)_{10}$
(a) let $M_{1}^{\prime}$ be $c_{2}^{M_{5}}$ naturally defined
(b) so $M=M_{1}^{\prime}$ is a model of $\operatorname{Th}\left(N_{*}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Th}\left(N_{*}\right), N_{*}^{\prime} \prec M_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\left\|M_{1}^{\prime}\right\|=\aleph_{1}$
(c) let $\mathcal{A}$ be $\operatorname{SSy}(M)$, the standard system of $M$

Clearly
$(*)_{11} \quad$ (a) $N \models "$ ZC"
(b) $M$ is a model of $\operatorname{Th}\left(\mathbb{N}_{*}\right)$ and $N_{*} \prec M$
$(*)_{12}$ let $\mathbb{R}_{\ell}^{\prime}=d_{1, \ell}^{M_{5}}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\ell}^{\prime}=d_{2, \ell}^{M_{5}}$ and let $\mathbf{V}_{\ell}^{\prime}=\left(P_{\ell}^{M_{5}}, \in^{M_{5}}\right)$ for $\ell=0,1,2$.

## Stage E:

Clearly $M$ is an uncountable elementary extension of $\mathbb{N}_{*}$, by clauses (A),(B) of Stage D and without loss of generality $\|M\|=\aleph_{1}$, so $M$ satisfies clauses (a), (b) of Theorem 1.1. To prove clause (e) recall $\boxplus_{2}$ and clause (I) above hence $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is arithmetically closed; this implies $\mathcal{A}$ is a Boolean subalgebra of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$. Also clause (d) implies clause (c), anyhow to prove them, assume toward contradiction that $D$ is an ultrafilter on $\mathcal{A}$ which is minimal or just a $Q$-point. Let $X=\{a: N \models$ " $a$ is an ordinal $<\omega_{1}$ " $\}$, so $X$ is really an uncountable set. For each $a \in X$ define a sequence $\rho_{a} \in{ }^{\omega} \omega$ by $\rho_{a}(n)=k$ iff $M^{+} \models " F_{1}(a)(n)=k$ ".

Clearly $\rho_{a}$ is an increasing sequence in ${ }^{\omega} \omega$, hence by the assumption toward contradiction, there is $A_{a} \in D \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $A_{a} \cap\left[\rho_{a}(n+1), \rho_{a}(n+2)\right)$ has at most one element (or just $\leq \rho_{a}(n)$ elements) for each $n<\omega$.

So for some element $\underset{\sim}{A} A_{a}$ of $N, N \models "{ }_{\sim}^{A} A_{a}$, in $\mathbf{V}_{1}^{\prime}$, is a $\mathbb{R}_{1}$-name of a subset of $\omega$ and $\underset{\sim}{A} A_{a}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}^{\prime}\right]=A_{a}$.

Clearly $M^{+} \models$ "for some countable subset $u$ of $\omega_{2}^{\mathbf{V}_{1}^{\prime}}=\omega_{1}^{\mathbf{V}_{3}^{\prime}}$ from $\mathbf{V}_{1}^{\prime}$ and Borel function $\mathbf{B}$ from $\mathbf{V}_{1}^{\prime}$ we have $A_{a}=\mathbf{B}_{a}\left(\ldots, \rho_{b}, \ldots\right)_{b \in u_{a}}$ (so some $p \in \mathbf{G}_{2}^{+}$forces $\underset{\sim}{A} A_{a}$ satisfies this)". So using $F_{2}^{M_{5}}$ there are $a_{1} \neq a_{2}$ from $X$ such that the parallel of
clause $(\beta)(d)$ of stage C holds, see clause $(\mathrm{G})$ of stage D , so two members of $D$ are almost disjoint, contradiction.
$\square_{1.1}$
Remark 1.2.1) Note that in 1.1 we can replace $\mathbb{Q}_{0}$ by any forcing notion similar enough, see [RS99].
2) We can strengthen 1.1 by replacing " $Q$-point" by a weaker statement.

Similarly we can weaken the demands on how "thin" is $\underset{\sim}{B}$ in 0.8 and in the proof of 1.1.
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