

## Kulikov's problem on universal torsion-free abelian groups revisited

Saharon Shelah and Lutz Strümgmann

ABSTRACT. Let  $T$  be a torsion abelian group. We consider the class of all torsion-free abelian groups  $G$  satisfying  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$  and search for  $\lambda$ -universal objects in this class. We show that for certain  $T$  there is no  $\omega$ -universal group. However, for uncountable cardinals  $\lambda$  there is always a  $\lambda$ -universal group if we assume  $(V = L)$ . Together with results by the second author this solves completely a problem by Kulikov.

### Introduction

We consider Kulikov's problem on the existence of  $\lambda$ -universal groups. As defined in the abstract a torsion-free abelian group  $G$  is  $\lambda$ -universal for a torsion abelian group  $T$  and a cardinal  $\lambda$  if  $G$  is of rank less than or equal to  $\lambda$ ,  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$  and every torsion-free abelian group  $H$  of rank less than or equal to  $\lambda$  satisfying  $\text{Ext}(H, T) = 0$  embeds into  $G$ . Kulikov [KN] asked if for any (uncountable)  $\lambda$  and arbitrary  $T$  there is always a  $\lambda$ -universal group for  $T$ . The first attempt for a solution was made by the second author in [St] where it was proved that the answer to Kulikov's question is yes whenever the torsion group  $T$  has only finitely many non-trivial bounded primary components and either  $\lambda$  is countable or we are working in Gödel's universe  $L$ . It was then later shown in [ShSt] that in deed the answer can consistently be no in other models of ZFC.

Here we treat the remaining cases and prove that for torsion groups  $T$  with infinitely many non-trivial bounded primary components there is no  $\omega$ -universal group for  $T$  but always a  $\lambda$ -universal group if  $\lambda$  is uncountable and  $V = L$  holds.

---

This is number 976 in the first author's list of publication. The authors would like to thank the German Israeli Foundation for their support project No. I-963-98.6/2007 ; the second author was also supported by project STR 627/1-6 of the German Research Foundation DFG

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20K15, 20K20, 20K35, 20K40; Secondary 18E99, 20J05.

Our notations are standard and for unexplained notions we refer to [Fu] or [EkMe]. All groups under consideration are abelian, the set of primes is denoted by  $\Pi$  and all rational groups  $R \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  are assumed to contain the 1. By  $\text{Ext}$  we denote the first derived functor  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$  of the Hom functor and by  $\text{rk}(G)$  we mean the rank  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(G \otimes \mathbb{Q})$  of a torsion-free group  $G$ .

### 1. $\lambda$ -universal groups

In this section we recall the notion of  $\lambda$ -universal group for a given torsion group  $T$  and state some results from previous papers.

DEFINITION 1.1. *If  $T$  is a torsion group and  $\lambda$  a cardinal, then a group  $G$  is called  **$\lambda$ -universal for  $T$**  if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$
- $\text{rk}(G) \leq \lambda$
- *If  $H$  is torsion-free with  $\text{rk}(H) \leq \lambda$  and  $\text{Ext}(H, T) = 0$ , then  $H$  embeds into  $G$ .*

Kulikov [KN, Question 1.66] asked the following problem.

QUESTION 1.2. *Do  $\lambda$ -universal groups exist for all uncountable cardinals  $\lambda$  and for all torsion groups ?*

Obviously, if  $T$  is a cotorsion group, i.e.  $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Q}, T) = 0$ , then there is a  $\lambda$ -universal group  $G_{\lambda} = \mathbb{Q}^{(\lambda)}$  for  $T$  for any cardinal  $\lambda$ . However, in general the answer was not known until the following was proved by the second author in [St].

PROPOSITION 1.3. *Let  $T$  be a torsion abelian group. Then*

- *For  $n \in \omega$  there is an  $n$ -universal group for  $T$  if and only if  $T$  has only finitely many non-trivial bounded  $p$ -components.*
- *If  $T$  has only finitely many non-trivial bounded  $p$ -components, then there is a  $\omega$ -universal group for  $T$ .*
- *Assuming  $(V = L)$  and  $T$  has only finitely many non-trivial bounded  $p$ -components, then there is a  $\lambda$ -universal group for  $T$  for any uncountable cardinal  $\lambda$ .*

It thus seems reasonable that the structure of  $T$  and also some additional set-theory plays a role in giving the answer to Kulikov's question. In fact it was shown in [ShSt] that the non-existence of  $\lambda$ -universal groups (for any  $\lambda$  and any  $T$  not cotorsion) is consistent with ZFC.

### 2. $\omega$ -universal groups

For our investigations we will need the following lemma which is well known. However, we include the proof for the convenience of the reader.

First recall that the basic subgroup  $B$  of a torsion group  $T$  is the direct sum  $B = \bigoplus_{p \in \Pi} B_p$  of the basic subgroups  $B_p$  of the  $p$ -components  $T_p$ ; for each

prime  $p$ ,  $B_p$  is a direct sum of cyclic  $p$ -groups,  $B_p$  is a pure subgroup of  $T_p$  and the quotient  $T_p/B_p$  is divisible (see [Fu]).

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $T$  be a torsion group and  $B \subseteq T$  a basic subgroup of  $T$ . Then, for any group  $G$  we have  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Ext}(G, B) = 0$ .*

PROOF. The short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow T \rightarrow T/B \rightarrow 0$  induces the exact sequence  $\text{Ext}(G, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(G, T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(G, T/B) = 0$  where the last term is zero since  $T/B$  is divisible. Thus  $\text{Ext}(G, B) = 0$  implies  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$ .

Conversely, assume  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$ . By [Fu, Theorem 36.1]  $B$  is an epimorphic image of  $T$  and thus  $\text{Ext}(G, B) = 0$  by the closure properties of the Ext-functor.  $\square$

The main ingredient of the proofs in [St] is the following useful result due to Baer [Ba].

LEMMA 2.2 (Baer). *Let  $T$  be a torsion and  $G$  a torsion-free group such that  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$ . Then the following hold:*

- (B-i) *if  $Q = \{p_1, \dots, p_i, \dots\}$  is an infinite set of different primes for which  $p_i T < T$ , then  $G$  contains no pure subgroup  $S$  of finite rank such that  $G/S$  has elements  $\neq 0$  divisible by all  $p \in Q$ ;*
- (B-ii) *if, for some prime  $p$ , the reduced part of the  $p$ -component of  $T$  is unbounded, then  $G$  contains no pure subgroup  $S$  of finite rank such that  $G/S$  has elements  $\neq 0$  divisible by all powers of  $p$ .*

Moreover, if  $G$  is countable, then (B-i) and (B-ii) suffice for  $\text{Ext}(G, T)$  to be zero.

We first show that the above conditions are equivalent to the following two conditions which will become more handy in our situation.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $T$  be a torsion and  $G$  a torsion-free group such that  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$ . Then the following hold:*

- (S-i) *if  $Q = \{p_1, \dots, p_i, \dots\}$  is an infinite set of different primes for which  $p_i T < T$ , then  $G$  contains no finite rank free subgroup  $L$  such that  $t_p(L_*/L) \neq 0$  for all  $p \in Q$ ;*
- (S-ii) *if, for some prime  $p$ , the reduced part of the  $p$ -component of  $T$  is unbounded, then  $G$  contains no pure subgroup  $S$  of finite rank such that  $G/S$  has elements  $\neq 0$  divisible by all powers of  $p$ .*

Moreover, if  $G$  is countable, then (S-i) and (S-ii) suffice for  $\text{Ext}(G, T)$  to be zero.

PROOF. In order to prove the statement it suffices to show that conditions (i) and (ii) from Lemma 2.2 and conditions (i) and (ii) from Lemma 2.3 are equivalent. Obviously conditions (B-ii) and (S-ii) are the same and without loss of generality we may assume that  $T$  is reduced.

Assume first that condition (B-i) holds and let  $L$  be a finite rank free subgroup of  $G$  such that  $t_p(L_*/L) \neq 0$  for all  $p \in Q$  for some infinite set of primes  $Q$  with  $pT < T$  for  $p \in Q$ . We have to obtain a contradiction. Suppose  $L$  has rank  $n > 0$  and let  $L = \bigoplus_{l < n} \mathbb{Z}x_l$ . For  $k < n$  put  $L^k = \bigoplus_{l < k} \mathbb{Z}x_l$ , hence  $L^0 = \{0\}$  and  $L^n = L$ . By assumption for each  $p \in Q$  there is  $y_p \in L_* \setminus L$  such that  $py_p \in L$ . Let  $k_p$  be minimal such that  $y_p \in L_*^{k_p+1}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that there is no  $y' \in L_* \setminus L$  such that  $py' \in L$  and  $y' \in L_*^{k'_p+1}$  for some  $k'_p < k_p$  (possibly by changing the choice of  $y_p$ .) Now  $L_*^{k_p+1}/L_*^{k_p}$  is a torsion-free group of rank 1 and  $x_{k_p} + L_*^{k_p}$  and  $y_p + L_*^{k_p}$  are non-zero elements of  $L_*^{k_p+1}/L_*^{k_p}$ . By the choice of  $k_p$  we conclude that  $py_p \in \mathbb{Z}x_{k_p} + L_*^{k_p}$  and let

$$(+) \quad py_p = a_p x_{k_p} + z_p$$

for some  $z_p \in L_*^{k_p}$ . We distinguish two cases:

Case 1: If  $p$  does not divide  $a_p$  for infinitely many  $p \in Q$  (and without loss of generality for all  $p \in Q$ ), then by a pigeon hole argument we may assume that  $k_p = k$  for a fixed  $k$  and all  $p \in Q$ . Hence the above equation (+) reduces to  $py_p = a_p x_k + z_p$  and shows that  $x_k + L_*^k \in L_*^{k+1}/L_*^k$  is divisible by all  $p \in Q$  contradicting condition (B-ii).

Case 2:  $p$  divides  $a_p$  for (almost) all primes  $p \in Q$ . Then the above equation (+) shows that  $z_p$  is divisible by  $p$  and we let  $z_p = pw_p$  for some  $w_p \in L_*^{k_p}$ . Now, on the one hand,  $py_p \in L^{k_p+1}$  and  $a_p x_{k_p} \in L^{k_p+1}$ , so  $z_p = py_p - a_p x_{k_p} \in L^{k_p+1}$ . But  $z_p \in L_*^{k_p}$ , hence  $z_p \in L^{k_p}$ .

On the other hand, dividing equation (+) by  $p$  shows that  $y_p = \frac{a_p}{p} x_{k_p} + w_p$ . Hence, if  $w_p \in L^{k_p}$ , then  $y_p = \frac{a_p}{p} x_{k_p} + w_p \in L^{k_p+1} \subseteq L$  contradicting the fact that  $y_p \notin L$ . Thus  $w_p \notin L^{k_p}$  but  $w_p \in L_*^{k_p}$  and  $pw_p \in L^{k_p}$  - a contradiction to the choice of  $y_p$  and  $k_p$ .

Assume now that condition (S-ii) holds and let  $S$  be a finite rank pure subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/S$  contains an element  $g + S \neq 0$  that is divisible for all  $p \in Q$  for some infinite set of primes  $Q$  with  $pT < T$  for  $p \in Q$ . We must show a contradiction to condition (S-ii). Choose a maximal free subgroup  $L' = \bigoplus_{l < n} \mathbb{Z}x_l$  of  $S$  and put  $L = L' \oplus \mathbb{Z}g$ . Moreover, let  $g + S = px_p + S$  for some  $x_p \in G$  and all  $p \in Q$ . Using the fact that  $x_p \in L_*$  for all  $p \in Q$  it is now easy to check that  $t_p(L_*/L) \neq \{0\}$  for all  $p \in Q$  contradicting condition (S-ii). This finishes the proof.  $\square$

It will be helpful to see what conditions (S-i) and (S-ii) mean for subgroups  $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  of the rational numbers. It is easy to see that in this case (S-i) and (S-ii) reduce to the following conditions

- If  $\frac{1}{p} \in G$  for some infinite set of primes  $Q$ , then  $T_p = 0$  for almost all primes  $p \in Q$ .
- If  $\frac{1}{p^n} \in G$  for some prime  $p$  and all  $n \in \omega$ , then  $T_0$  must be bounded.

Now let  $T$  be any torsion group and put  $R_T = \left\langle \frac{1}{p^n} : n \in \omega, T_p \text{ bounded} \right\rangle$ . Then the above implies that any rank one group  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\text{Ext}(S, T) = 0$  embeds into  $R_T$ . However,  $\text{Ext}(R_T, T) = 0$  if and only if  $T$  has only finitely many non-trivial bounded  $p$ -components. This motivates in some way the results from Proposition 1.3.

We now use the above characterization to show that in case the torsion group  $T$  has infinitely many non-trivial bounded  $p$ -components there is no  $\omega$ -universal group for  $T$ . This complements the results in [St] and solves Kulikov's problem completely in the countable case.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $T$  be a torsion abelian group that has infinitely many bounded non-trivial  $p$ -components. Then there is no  $\lambda$ -universal group for  $T$  for any cardinal  $\aleph_0 \leq \lambda < 2^{\aleph_0}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $T$  be a (reduced) torsion group and assume that  $P = \{p : T_p \neq 0 \text{ but } T_p \text{ is bounded}\}$  is infinite. Suppose  $\aleph_0 \leq \lambda < 2^{\aleph_0}$  is a cardinal and there is a  $\lambda$ -universal group  $G$  for  $T$ . We list the elements from  $P$  by  $P = \{p_n : n \in \omega\}$  and let

$$D = \bigoplus_{m \in \omega} \mathbb{Q}x_m \oplus \mathbb{Q}x_*$$

be a vector space over the field of rational numbers of countably infinite dimension. For each sequence  $\eta \in {}^\omega 2$  we now define a countable torsion-free group  $G_\eta$  such that  $\text{Ext}(G_\eta, T) = 0$ . Let  $G_\eta$  be the subgroup of  $D$  generated by

$$G_\eta := \left\langle \{x_*, x_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{y_{n,k}^\eta : n, k \in \omega\} \right\rangle$$

where

- $y_{n,0}^\eta = x_n$
- $y_{n,k+1}^\eta = \frac{1}{p_n}(y_{n,k}^\eta - \eta(k)x_*)$

for  $n \in \omega$ . It is now a straight forward calculation that  $\text{Ext}(G_\eta, T) = 0$  by Lemma 2.3 since the involved primes come from  $P$ . It now suffices to prove that at most  $\lambda$  of the groups  $G_\eta$  can be embedded into  $G$  which contradicts the universality of  $G$  since there are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  many groups  $G_\eta$ . If not then there is a subset  $\Delta \subseteq {}^\omega 2$  of cardinality bigger than  $\lambda$  and embeddings

$$h_\eta : G_\eta \rightarrow G$$

from  $G_\eta$  into  $G$  for every  $\eta \in \Delta$ . Clearly there are only  $\lambda$  possibilities for the image  $h_\eta(x_*) \in G$ , hence without loss of generality we may assume that  $h_\eta(x_*) = y \in G$  for some fixed  $y \in G$  and all  $\eta \in \Delta$ . Let

$$Q = \{p \in P : t_p((\mathbb{Z}y)_*/\mathbb{Z}y) \neq 0\}.$$

By Lemma 2.3 condition (S-i) and  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$  we conclude that  $Q$  must be finite. Choose  $q = p_{n_*}$  such that  $qP \setminus Q$ . Again there are at most  $\lambda$  choices for the image  $h_\eta(x_{n_*}) \in G$ , so without loss of generality we have

$$h_\eta(x_{n_*}) = w \in G$$

for all  $\eta \in \Delta$  and some fixed  $w \in G$ . Let  $\eta \neq \nu \in \Delta$  and let  $k = \min\{l \in \omega : \eta(l) \neq \nu(l)\}$ . We claim that

$$h_\eta(y_{n_*,l}^\eta) = h_\nu(y_{n_*,l}^\nu)$$

for all  $l \leq k$ . For  $l = 0$  this is trivial since

$$h_\eta(y_{n_*,0}^\eta) = h_\eta(x_{n_*}) = w = h_\nu(x_{n_*}) = h_\nu(y_{n_*,0}^\nu).$$

If  $l < k$  and  $h_\eta(y_{n_*,l}^\eta) = h_\nu(y_{n_*,l}^\nu)$  by induction, then

$$\begin{aligned} h_\eta(y_{n_*,l+1}^\eta) &= h_\eta\left(\frac{1}{p_{n_*}}(y_{n_*,l}^\eta - \eta(l)x_*)\right) = \frac{1}{p_{n_*}}(h_\eta(y_{n_*,l}^\eta) - \eta(l)y) = \\ &= \frac{1}{p_{n_*}}(h_\nu(y_{n_*,l}^\nu) - \eta(l)y) = h_\nu(y_{n_*,l+1}^\nu). \end{aligned}$$

We now compute in  $G$  the element

$$\begin{aligned} h_\eta(y_{n_*,k+1}^\eta) - h_\nu(y_{n_*,k+1}^\nu) &= \frac{1}{p_{n_*}}(h_\eta(y_{n_*,k}^\eta - \eta(k)y) - \frac{1}{p_{n_*}}(h_\nu(y_{n_*,k}^\nu - \nu(k)y)) = \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{p_{n_*}}y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $y$  is divisible by  $p_{n_*}$  in  $G$  but this contradicts the choice of  $y$ .  $\square$

### 3. $\lambda$ -universal groups in $L$

In contrast to Theorem 2.4 and completing the results from [St] we now show that for uncountable  $\lambda$  there are always  $\lambda$ -universal groups for any torsion group  $T$  if we are assuming  $(V = L)$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Assume  $(V = L)$  and let  $T$  be an abelian torsion group and  $\lambda$  be an uncountable cardinal. Then there is a  $\lambda$ -universal group for  $T$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume that  $T$  is a torsion group and  $\lambda$  is uncountable. The following is well-known (see [EkMe])

**PROPOSITION 3.2**  $(V = L)$ . *Let  $G$  be a torsion-free group of infinite rank and  $T$  a torsion group. Then  $\text{Ext}(G, T) = 0$  if and only if  $G$  is the union of a continuous well-ordered ascending chain  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$  of subgroups ( $G_0 = 0$ ) such that  $G_{\alpha+1}/G_\alpha$  is countable,  $|G_\alpha| < |G|$  and  $\text{Ext}(G_{\alpha+1}/G_\alpha, T) = 0$  for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ .*

We now proceed by constructing a tree of torsion-free groups  $\{G_\eta : \eta \in {}^{\lambda>}\lambda\}$  such that the following hold for any  $\eta \in {}^{\lambda>}\lambda$

- (i)  $G_\emptyset = 0$  where  $\emptyset$  denotes the empty function
- (ii)  $|G_\eta| \leq |lg(\eta)| + \aleph_0$  where  $lg(\eta)$  denotes the length of  $\eta$
- (iii)  $\text{Ext}(G_\eta, T) = 0$
- (iv)  $\langle G_{\eta \upharpoonright \alpha} : \alpha \leq lg(\eta) \rangle$  is purely continuously increasing
- (v) if  $\eta \in {}^{\alpha+1}\lambda$  for some  $\alpha < \lambda$ , then  $G_\eta/G_{\eta \upharpoonright \alpha}$  is countable and satisfies  $\text{Ext}(G_\eta/G_{\eta \upharpoonright \alpha}, T) = 0$
- (vi) if  $\eta \in {}^{\lambda>}\lambda$  and  $G_\eta$  is a pure subgroup of some torsion-free group  $H$  with  $\text{Ext}(H/G_\eta, T) = 0$  and  $H/G_\eta$  countable then there is some  $\epsilon \in \lambda$  such that there is an isomorphism from  $H$  onto  $G_{\eta^\wedge \epsilon}$  extending the identity on  $G_\eta$

It is easy to see that this construction can be carried out since the number of countable torsion-free groups is  $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1 \leq \lambda$ . We now want to construct the universal group. Therefore let for any  $\eta \in {}^{\lambda>}\lambda$  and  $\epsilon \in \lambda$

$$G_{\eta^\wedge \epsilon}/G_\eta = \{x_{\eta^\wedge \epsilon, n} : n \in \omega\}$$

Without loss of generality we may define a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space

$$\bar{G} = \bigoplus_{\eta \in {}^{\lambda>}\lambda, \epsilon \in \lambda} \bigoplus_{n \in \omega} \mathbb{Q}x_{\eta^\wedge \epsilon, n}$$

and can assume that  $G_\eta \subseteq \bar{G}$  for all  $\eta \in {}^{\lambda>}\lambda$ . Let

$$G_{univ} = \left\langle \bigcup_{\eta \in {}^{\lambda>}\lambda} G_\eta \right\rangle_{\bar{G}} \subseteq \bar{G}.$$

We claim that  $G_{univ}$  is  $\lambda$ -universal for  $T$ . Certainly we have  $\text{rk}(G_{univ}) \leq \lambda$  since  $2^{<\lambda} = \lambda$  in  $L$  and thus it remains to prove that  $\text{Ext}(G_{univ}, T) = 0$  and that any other torsion-free group  $H$  with  $\text{rk}(H) \leq \lambda$  and  $\text{Ext}(H, T) = 0$  embeds into  $G_{univ}$ .

We first prove that  $G_{univ}$  satisfies  $\text{Ext}(G_{univ}, T) = 0$ . Therefore let

$${}^{\lambda>}\lambda = \langle \eta_\alpha : \alpha \leq \alpha_* \rangle$$

be an enumeration of  ${}^{\lambda>}\lambda$  such that  $\eta_\alpha \triangleleft \eta_\beta$  implies  $\alpha < \beta$ . For  $\alpha \leq \alpha_*$  we then choose

$$H_\alpha = \left\langle x_{\eta^\wedge \epsilon, n} : n \in \omega, \eta \in {}^{\lambda>}\lambda, \epsilon \in \lambda, \eta^\wedge \epsilon \in \{\eta_\gamma : \gamma < \alpha\} \right\rangle_{G_{univ}}$$

Then  $H_0 = 0$  and  $G_{univ} = H_{\alpha_*} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \alpha_*} H_\alpha$  is the union of the purely continuously increasing chain of subgroups  $H_\alpha$ . Since  $\text{Ext}(H_{\alpha+1}/H_\alpha, T) = 0$  for all  $\alpha < \alpha_*$  we conclude that  $\text{Ext}(G_{univ}, T) = 0$ . Note that  $H_{\alpha+1}/H_\alpha \cong G_{\eta^\wedge \alpha}/G_\eta$

Finally we have to prove that any torsion-free group  $H$  with  $\text{Ext}(H, T) = 0$  and  $\text{rk}(H) \leq \lambda$  embeds into  $G_{univ}$ . However, if  $H$  is such a group, then  $H = \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu} H_\alpha$  with  $H_{\alpha+1}/H_\alpha$  countable and  $\text{Ext}(H_{\alpha+1}/H_\alpha, T) = 0$  for all  $\alpha < \mu$ . Now by construction there is a branch  $\eta \in {}^{\lambda>}\lambda$  such that  $\eta$  resembles

this filtration and hence  $G_\eta \cong H$ . So  $H$  embeds into  $G_{univ}$  as claimed and  $G_{univ}$  is indeed universal. □

### References

- [Ba] R. Baer, *The subgroup of the elements of finite order of an abelian group*, Ann. of Math. 37 (1936), 766–781.
- [EkMe] P.C. Eklof and A. Mekler, *Almost Free Modules, Set-Theoretic Methods (revised edition)*, Amsterdam, New York, North-Holland, Math. Library (2002).
- [Fu] L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian Groups I, II*, Academic Press (1970, 1973).
- [KN] The Kourovka Notebook - unsolved questions in group theory, Russian Academy of Science, 14th edition(1999).
- [ShSt] S. Shelah and L. Strüingmann, *On Kulikov's problem and universal torsion-free abelian groups*, Journal of London Mathematical Society 67 (2003), 626-642.
- [St] L. Strüingmann, *On problems by Baer and Kulikov using  $V = L$* , Illinois Journal of Mathematics 46 (2002), 477-490.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, ISRAEL AND  
RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ U.S.A.

*Email address:* `shelah@math.huji.ac.il`

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DUISBURG–ESSEN, 45117 ESSEN, GERMANY

*Email address:* `lutz.struengmann@uni-due.de`