FUNDAMENTA MATHEMATICAE 155 (1998)

## On the cardinality and weight spectra of compact spaces, II

by

I. Juhász (Budapest) and S. Shelah (New Brunswick, N.J., and Jerusalem)

Abstract. Let  $B(\kappa, \lambda)$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$  generated by  $[\kappa]^{\leq \lambda}$ . It is shown that if *B* is any homomorphic image of  $B(\kappa, \lambda)$  then either  $|B| < 2^{\lambda}$  or  $|B| = |B|^{\lambda}$ ; moreover, if *X* is the Stone space of *B* then either  $|X| \leq 2^{2^{\lambda}}$  or  $|X| = |B| = |B|^{\lambda}$ . This implies the existence of 0-dimensional compact  $T_2$  spaces whose cardinality and weight spectra omit lots of singular cardinals of "small" cofinality.

**1. Introduction.** It was shown in [J] that for every uncountable regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , if X is any compact  $T_2$  space with  $w(X) > \kappa$  (resp.  $|X| > \kappa$ ) then X has a closed subspace F such that  $\kappa \leq w(F) \leq 2^{<\kappa}$  (resp.  $\kappa \leq |F| \leq \sum \{2^{2^{\lambda}} : \lambda < \kappa\}$ ). In particular, the weight or cardinality spectrum of a compact space may never omit an inaccessible cardinal; moreover, under GCH the weight spectrum cannot omit any uncountable regular cardinal at all.

In the present note we prove a theorem which implies that for singular  $\kappa$ , on the other hand, there is always a 0-dimensional compact  $T_2$  space whose cardinality and weight spectra both omit  $\kappa$ .

We formulate our main result in a boolean-algebraic framework. The topological consequences easily follow by passing to the Stone spaces of the boolean algebras that we construct.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 06E05, 54A25.

Key words and phrases: cardinality and weight spectrum, compact space, homomorphism of Boolean algebras.

Research of the first author supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research grant no. 16391.

Research of the second author supported by "The Basic Research Foundation", administered by The Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities. Publication no. 612.

<sup>[91]</sup> 

2. The main result. We start with a general combinatorial lemma on binary relations. In order to formulate it, however, we need the following definitions.

DEFINITION 1. Let  $\prec$  be an arbitrary binary relation on a set X and  $\tau, \mu$  be cardinal numbers. We say that  $\prec$  is  $\tau$ -full if for every subset  $a \subset X$  with  $|a| = \tau$  there is some  $x \in X$  such that  $|\{y \in a : y \prec x\}| = \tau$ . Moreover,  $\prec$  is said to be  $\mu$ -local if for every  $x \in X$  we have  $|\operatorname{pred}(x, \prec)| \leq \mu$ , where  $\operatorname{pred}(x, \prec) = \{y \in X : y \prec x\}$ .

Now, our lemma is as follows.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\prec$  be a binary relation on the cardinal  $\varrho$  that is both  $\tau$ -full and  $\mu$ -local. Then for every almost disjoint family  $\mathcal{A} \subset [\varrho]^{\tau}$  we have

$$|\mathcal{A}| \le \varrho \cdot \mu^{\tau}.$$

Proof. For every set  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  there is a  $\xi_a \in \varrho$  such that  $g(a) = a \cap \operatorname{pred}(\xi_a, \prec)$  has cardinality  $\tau$  because  $\prec$  is  $\tau$ -full. This map g is clearly one-to-one for  $\mathcal{A}$  is almost disjoint. But the range of g is a subset of  $\bigcup \{ [\operatorname{pred}(\xi, \prec)]^{\tau} : \xi \in \varrho \}$  whose cardinality does not exceed  $\varrho \cdot [\mu]^{\tau}$ , and this completes the proof.

Before we formulate our main result we need some notation. Given the cardinals  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  (we may assume  $\lambda \leq \kappa$ ) we denote by  $B(\kappa, \lambda)$  the boolean subalgebra of the power set algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$  generated by all subsets of  $\kappa$  of size  $\leq \lambda$ . In other words,

$$B(\kappa,\lambda) = [\kappa]^{\leq \lambda} \cup \{x \subset \kappa : \kappa \setminus x \in [\kappa]^{\leq \lambda}\}.$$

What we can show is that the size of a homomorphic image of  $B(\kappa, \lambda)$  (as well as the size of its Stone space) has to satisfy certain restrictions, namely it is either "small" or cannot have "very small" cofinality.

THEOREM 3. Let  $h: B(\kappa, \lambda) \to B$  be a homomorphism of  $B(\kappa, \lambda)$  onto the boolean algebra B. Then

(i) either  $|B| < 2^{\lambda}$  or  $|B|^{\lambda} = |B|$ ;

(ii) if  $X = \operatorname{St}(B)$  is the Stone space of B then either  $|X| \leq 2^{2^{\lambda}}$  or  $|X| = |B| = |B|^{\lambda}$ .

Proof. Set  $|B| = \rho$  and assume that  $\rho \geq 2^{\lambda}$ . Since  $[\kappa]^{\leq \lambda}$  generates  $B(\kappa, \lambda)$  it follows that  $A = h''[\kappa]^{\leq \lambda}$  generates B and thus we have  $|A| = \rho$  as well. We claim that the relation  $\leq_B$  is

(a)  $\tau$ -full on A for each  $\tau \leq \lambda$ ;

(b)  $2^{\lambda}$ -local on A.

Indeed, if  $a \in [A]^{\tau}$  where  $\tau \leq \lambda$  then there is a set  $x \in [[\kappa]^{\leq \lambda}]^{\tau}$  such that a = h''x. But then  $b = \bigcup x \in [\kappa]^{\leq \lambda}$  as well, hence  $h(b) \in A$  and clearly

Sh:612

 $a \subset \operatorname{pred}(h(b), \leq_B)$  because h is a homomorphism. This, of course, is much more than what we need for (a).

To see (b), first note that if  $b, c \in [\kappa]^{\leq \lambda}$  and  $h(b) \leq h(c)$  then  $b \cap c \in [\kappa]^{\leq \lambda}$ as well and  $h(b \cap c) = h(b) \wedge h(c) = h(b)$  using again the fact that h is a homomorphism. But this implies  $\operatorname{pred}(h(c), \leq_B) = h'' \mathcal{P}(c)$  for any  $c \in [\kappa]^{\leq \lambda}$ , consequently  $|\operatorname{pred}(h(c), \leq_B)| \leq |\mathcal{P}(c)| \leq 2^{\lambda}$  and this completes the proof of (b).

Applying Lemma 2 we may now conclude that for every cardinal  $\tau \leq \lambda$ and for every almost disjoint family  $\mathcal{A} \subset [\varrho]^{\tau}$  we have

$$|\mathcal{A}| \le \varrho \cdot (2^{\lambda})^{\tau} = \varrho.$$

This, in turn, implies  $\varrho^{\lambda} = \varrho$ . Indeed, assume that  $\varrho^{\lambda} > \varrho$  and  $\tau$  be the smallest cardinal with  $\varrho^{\tau} > \varrho$ . Then  $\tau \leq \lambda$  and  $\varrho^{<\tau} = \varrho$ , and as is well known, there is an almost disjoint family  $\mathcal{A} \subset [{}^{<\tau}\varrho]^{\tau}$  of size  $\varrho^{\tau} > \varrho$ , namely  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_f : f \in {}^{\tau}\varrho\}$  where  $A_f = \{f | \xi : \xi < \tau\}$  for any  $f \in {}^{\tau}\varrho$ .

Now, to prove (ii) first note that if  $|B| \leq 2^{\lambda}$  then trivially  $|X| \leq 2^{2^{\lambda}}$ . So assume  $|B| > 2^{\lambda}$  and in this case we prove that actually

$$|X| = 2^{2^{\wedge}} \cdot |B|.$$

We first show that  $|X| \ge 2^{2^{\lambda}} \cdot |B|$ , which, as  $|X| \ge |B|$  is always valid, boils down to showing that  $|X| \ge 2^{2^{\lambda}}$ .

Using the fact that  $|B| = |h''[\kappa]^{\leq \lambda}| = \varrho > 2^{\lambda}$  we may select a collection  $\{a_{\alpha} : \alpha \in (2^{\lambda})^+\} \subset [\kappa]^{\leq \lambda}$  such that  $\alpha \neq \beta$  implies  $h(a_{\alpha}) \neq h(a_{\beta})$  and by a straightforward  $\Delta$ -system argument we may also assume that  $\{a_{\alpha} : \alpha \in (2^{\lambda})^+\}$  is a  $\Delta$ -system with root a. Then, as h is a homomorphism, we also have  $h(a_{\alpha}) \wedge h(a_{\beta}) = h(a)$  for distinct  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and so  $\{h(a_{\alpha}) - h(a) : \alpha \in (2^{\lambda})^+\}$  are pairwise disjoint and distinct elements of B, all but at most one of which are non-zero. However, the existence of  $2^{\lambda}$  pairwise disjoint non-zero elements in a boolean algebra clearly implies the existence of  $2^{2^{\lambda}}$ .

Next, to see  $|X| \leq 2^{2^{\lambda}} \cdot |B|$  note that, again as h is a homomorphism,  $h''[\kappa]^{\leq \lambda}$  is a (not necessarily proper) ideal in B, hence there is no more than one ultrafilter u on B such that  $u \cap h''[\kappa]^{\leq \lambda} = \emptyset$ . If, on the other hand,  $u \in X$ is such that  $b \in u \cap h''[\kappa]^{\leq \lambda}$  then u is generated by its subset  $u \cap \operatorname{pred}(b, \leq_B)$ . However,  $\leq_B$  clearly is  $2^{\lambda}$ -local on  $h''[\kappa]^{\leq \lambda}$ , and so we conclude that

$$|X| \le 1 + \left| \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}(\text{pred}(b, \le_B)) : b \in h''[\kappa]^{\le \lambda} \} \right| \le 1 + 2^{2^{\lambda}} \cdot |B| = 2^{2^{\lambda}} \cdot |B|.$$

This completes the proof of our theorem.

Now let  $X(\kappa, \lambda)$  be the Stone space of the boolean algebra  $B(\kappa, \lambda)$ . Using Stone duality and the notation of [J] the above result has the following reformulation for the weight and cardinality spectra of the 0-dimensional compact  $T_2$  space  $X(\kappa, \lambda)$ .

COROLLARY 4. (i) For every  $\mu \in \operatorname{Sp}(w, X(\kappa, \lambda))$  we have either  $\mu < 2^{\lambda}$ or  $\mu^{\lambda} = \mu$ , hence  $\operatorname{cf}(\mu) > \lambda$ ;

(ii) if  $\mu \in \text{Sp}(||, X(\kappa, \lambda))$  then either  $\mu < 2^{2^{\lambda}}$  or  $\mu^{\lambda} = \mu$ .

(Note that both  $\mu = 2^{\lambda}$  and  $\mu = 2^{2^{\lambda}}$  imply  $\mu^{\lambda} = \mu$ .) In fact, for every closed subspace Y of  $X(\kappa, \lambda)$  we have either  $w(Y) \leq 2^{\lambda}$  or  $w(Y)^{\lambda} = w(Y)$  and  $|Y| = 2^{2^{\lambda}} \cdot w(Y)$ .

It immediately follows from this that if  $2^{2^{\lambda}} < \kappa$  then the cardinality and weight spectra of the space  $X(\kappa, \lambda)$  omit every cardinal  $\mu \in (2^{2^{\lambda}}, \kappa]$ with  $cf(\mu) \leq \lambda$ . In particular, if GCH holds then  $\lambda < \kappa$  implies that both Sp $(||, X(\kappa, \lambda))$  and Sp $(w, X(\kappa, \lambda))$  omit all cardinals  $\mu \in (\lambda, \kappa]$  with  $cf(\mu) \leq \lambda$ .

Note that similar omission results were obtained by van Douwen in [vD] for the case  $\lambda = \omega$  and  $\kappa$  strong limit.

An interesting open problem arises here that we could not settle: Can one find for every cardinal  $\kappa$  a compact  $T_2$  space X such that the cardinality and/or weight spectra of X omit every singular cardinal below  $\kappa$ ?

## References

- [vD] E. K. van Douwen, Cardinal functions on compact F-spaces and on weakly countably complete Boolean algebras, Fund. Math. 114 (1981), 235–256.
- [J] I. Juhász, On the weight-spectrum of a compact space, Israel J. Math. 81 (1993), 369–379.

Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences P.O. Box 127 1364 Budapest, Hungary E-mail: juhasz@math-inst.hu Department of Mathematics Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903 U.S.A. and Institute of Mathematics The Hebrew University 91904 Jerusalem, Israel E-mail: shelah@math.huji.ac.il

Received 10 April 1997

Sh:612