Sh:615

PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 131, Number 10, Pages 2969–2976
S 0002-9939(03)06830-8
Article electronically published on January 2, 2003

FUNCTORIAL EQUATIONS FOR LEXICOGRAPHIC PRODUCTS

FRANZ-VIKTOR KUHLMANN, SALMA KUHLMANN, AND SAHARON SHELAH

(Communicated by Carl G. Jockusch, Jr.)

ABSTRACT. We generalize the main result of an earlier paper by the authors (*Exponentiation in power series fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 3177–3183) concerning the convex embeddings of a chain Γ in a lexicographic power Δ^Γ. For a fixed non-empty chain Δ, we derive necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of non-empty solutions Γ to each of the lexicographic functorial equations

$$(\Delta^\Gamma)^{\leq 0} \simeq \Gamma\,, \quad (\Delta^\Gamma) \simeq \Gamma \quad \text{and} \quad (\Delta^\Gamma)^{<0} \simeq \Gamma\,.$$

1. Introduction

Let us recall the definition of lexicographic products of ordered sets. Let Γ and Δ_γ , $\gamma \in \Gamma$, be non-empty totally ordered sets. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we fix a distinguished element $0_\gamma \in \Delta_\gamma$. The **support** of a family $a = (\delta_\gamma)_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \in \prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \Delta_\gamma$ is the set of all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ for which $\delta_\gamma \neq 0_\gamma$. We denote it by supp$(a)$. As a set, we define $\mathbf{H}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}(\Delta_\gamma, 0_\gamma)$ to be the set of all families $(\delta_\gamma)_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ with well-ordered support (with respect to fixed distinguished elements 0_γ). To relax the notation, we shall write $\mathbf{H}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\Delta_\gamma$ instead of $\mathbf{H}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}(\Delta_\gamma, 0_\gamma)$ once the distinguished elements 0_γ have been fixed. Then the **lexicographic order** on $\mathbf{H}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\Delta_\gamma$ is defined as follows. Given $a = (\delta_\gamma)_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ and $b = (\delta'_\gamma)_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \in \mathbf{H}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\Delta_\gamma$, observe that supp$(a) \cup$ supp(b) is well ordered. Let γ_0 be the least of all elements $\gamma \in$ supp$(a) \cup$ supp(b) for which $\delta_\gamma \neq \delta'_\gamma$. We set $a < b \;:\Leftrightarrow\; \delta_{\gamma_0} < \delta'_{\gamma_0}$. Then $(\mathbf{H}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\Delta_\gamma, <)$ is a totally ordered set, the **lexicographic product** (or **Hahn product**) of the ordered sets Δ_γ . We shall always denote by 0 the sequence with empty support in $\mathbf{H}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\Delta_\gamma$.

Note that if all Δ_γ are totally ordered abelian groups, then we can take the distinguished elements 0_γ to be the neutral elements of the groups Δ_γ . Defining addition on $\mathbf{H}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\Delta_\gamma$ componentwise, we obtain a totally ordered abelian group $(\mathbf{H}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\Delta_\gamma, +, 0 <)$.

Lexicographic exponentiation of chains. If $\Delta = \Delta_\gamma$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we fix a distinguished element in Δ (the same distinguished element for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$), and denote it by 0_Δ. In this case we denote $\mathbf{H}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\Delta_\gamma$ by Δ^Γ, and call it the

Received by the editors June 28, 2001 and, in revised form, April 16, 2002.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 06A05; Secondary 03C60.
The first and second authors were partially supported by an NSERC research grant. The third author was partially supported by the Edmund Landau Center for Research in Mathematical Analysis, supported by the Minerva Foundation (Germany); publication number 615.

lexicographic power Δ^Γ (with respect to 0_Δ). In other words, Δ^Γ is the set

$$\{s; s : \Gamma \to \Delta \text{ such that } \mathrm{supp}(s) \text{ is well ordered in } \Gamma\},$$

ordered lexicographically.

This exponentiation of chains has its own arithmetic. In this paper we study some of its aspects (cf. also [K] and [H-K-M]). Note that if Γ and Δ are infinite ordinals, then lexicographic exponentiation does *not* coincide with ordinal exponentiation (cf. [H]).

Lexicographic powers appear naturally in many contexts. For example, $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ is the order type of the nonnegative reals, and $\mathbb{Z}^\mathbb{N}$ that of the irrationals (cf. [R]). Also, 2^Γ is (isomorphic to) the chain of all well-ordered subsets of Γ, ordered by inclusion. The chain $2^\mathbb{N}$ has been studied in [H].

However, the main motivating example for us was that of generalized power series fields. If k is a real closed field and G a totally ordered divisible abelian group, then the field $k((G))$ of power series with exponents in G and coefficients in k is again real closed. The unique order of $k((G))$ is precisely the chain k^G. It was while studying such fields that our interest in the present problems arose. In [K-K-S], we considered the problem of defining an exponential function on $K = k((G))$, that is, an isomorphism f of ordered groups $f : (K, +, 0, <) \to (K^{>0}, \cdot, 1, <)$. We showed that the existence of f would imply that of a **convex embedding** (that is, an embedding with convex image) of the chain $G^{<0}$ into the chain $k^{G^{<0}}$. On the other hand, we proved:

Theorem 1. *Let Γ and Δ be non-empty totally ordered sets without greatest element, and fix an element $0_\Delta \in \Delta$. Suppose that Γ' is a cofinal subset of Γ and that $\iota\colon \Gamma' \to \Delta^\Gamma$ is an order preserving embedding. Then the image $\iota\Gamma'$ is not convex in Δ^Γ.*

Now for any ordered field k, the chain k has no last element. Similarly, $G^{<0}$ has no last element if G is nontrivial and divisible. So, using Theorem 1 one establishes that no exponentiation is possible on generalized power series fields.

If we omit the conditions on Γ and Δ in Theorem 1, the situation changes drastically. In this paper, we study conditions on the chains Γ and Δ under which a convex embedding of Γ in Δ^Γ exists. In particular, we seek non-empty solutions Γ to the functorial equations:

$$(\Delta^\Gamma)^{\leq 0} \simeq \Gamma\,,\ (\Delta^\Gamma) \simeq \Gamma\,,\quad \text{and}\quad (\Delta^\Gamma)^{<0} \simeq \Gamma$$

(if T is any totally ordered set and $0 \in T$ is any element, we denote by $T^{\leq 0}$ the initial segment (including 0), and by $T^{<0}$ the strict initial segment (excluding 0) determined by 0 in T). None of the three equations hold if both Δ and Γ have no last element (for the first, this is trivial, and for the second and third it follows from Theorem 1). In Section 2 we start by proving a strong generalization of Theorem 1 (cf. Theorem 2). In Section 3, for each of the three functorial equations, we give simple characterizations of those chains Δ for which non-empty solutions Γ exist. In Section 4 we study simultaneous solutions to all three equations.

2. Nonexistence of convex embeddings

In this section, we shall prove that Theorem 1 remains true in the case where Δ is arbitrary, but 0_Δ is not the last element of Δ. This will follow from the following more general result.

Sh:615

Theorem 2. *Let Γ and Δ_γ , $\gamma \in \Gamma$, be non-empty totally ordered sets. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, fix an element 0_γ which is not the last element in Δ_γ . Suppose that Γ has no last element and that Γ' is a cofinal subset of Γ. Then there is no convex embedding*

$$\iota : \Gamma' \to \mathbf{H}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\Delta_\gamma \, .$$

Proof. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma'$, we choose an element $1_\gamma \in \Delta_\gamma$ such that $1_\gamma > 0_\gamma$. Take $d = (d_\gamma)_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$. If S is a well-ordered subset of Γ' such that $d_\gamma = 0_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in S$, then we set

$$d \oplus S \;:=\; (d'_\gamma)_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \quad \text{with} \quad d'_\gamma = \begin{cases} d_\gamma & \text{for } \gamma \notin S, \\ 1_\gamma & \text{for } \gamma \in S \, . \end{cases}$$

Observe that the support of $d \oplus S$ is contained in $\mathrm{supp}(d) \cup S$ and thus, it is again well ordered. Note also that

$$S' \subsetneq S \;\Rightarrow\; d \oplus S' < d \oplus S \, . \tag{1}$$

Indeed, let γ_0 be the least element in $S \setminus S'$. Then $(d\oplus S')_{\gamma_0} = 0_\gamma < 1_\gamma = (d\oplus S)_{\gamma_0}$. On the other hand, if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\gamma < \gamma_0$, then $(d \oplus S')_\gamma = (d \oplus S)_\gamma$: if $\gamma \in S$, then $\gamma \in S'$ (by minimality of γ_0) and $(d \oplus S')_\gamma = 1_\gamma = (d \oplus S)_\gamma$; if $\gamma \notin S$, then $\gamma \notin S'$ and $(d \oplus S')_\gamma = d_\gamma = (d \oplus S)_\gamma$.

Now suppose that $\iota : \Gamma' \to \mathbf{H}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\Delta_\gamma$ is an order preserving embedding such that the image $\iota\Gamma'$ is convex in $\mathbf{H}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\Delta_\gamma$. We wish to deduce a contradiction. The idea of the proof is the following. Let ON denote the class of ordinal numbers. We shall define an infinite $\mathrm{ON} \times \mathbb{N}$ matrix with coefficients in Γ', such that each column $(\gamma_\nu^{(n)})_{\nu\in\mathrm{ON}}$ is a strictly increasing sequence in Γ'. Since Γ' is a *set*, every column of this matrix will provide a contradiction at the end of the construction (cf. the figure).



To get started, we have to define the first row of the matrix. We construct sequences $\beta^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $\gamma_0^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in Γ'. We take an arbitrary $\beta^{(0)} \in \Gamma'$. Having constructed $\beta^{(n)}$, we choose $\gamma_0^{(n+1)}$ and $\beta^{(n+1)}$ as follows. Since Γ' has no last element, we can choose $\mu^{(n)}, \nu^{(n)} \in \Gamma'$ such that $\beta^{(n)} < \mu^{(n)} < \nu^{(n)}$. Hence,

$$\iota\beta^{(n)} < \iota\mu^{(n)} < \iota\nu^{(n)} \, .$$

Let $\sigma^{(n)} \in \Gamma$ be the least element in $\mathrm{supp}\,\iota\beta^{(n)} \cup \mathrm{supp}\,\iota\mu^{(n)}$ for which

$$(\iota\beta^{(n)})_{\sigma^{(n)}} < (\iota\mu^{(n)})_{\sigma^{(n)}} \, , \tag{2}$$

and $\tau^{(n)} \in \Gamma$ the least element in supp $\iota\mu^{(n)} \cup$ supp $\iota\nu^{(n)}$ for which

$$(\iota\mu^{(n)})_{\tau^{(n)}} < (\iota\nu^{(n)})_{\tau^{(n)}} \,. \tag{3}$$

Since Γ' is cofinal in Γ, we can choose $\beta^{(n+1)} \in \Gamma'$ such that

$$\beta^{(n+1)} \geq \max\{\sigma^{(n)}, \tau^{(n)}\} \,.$$

Further, we set

$$d^{(n+1)} := (d_\gamma^{(n+1)})_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \quad \text{with} \quad d_\gamma^{(n+1)} = \begin{cases} (\iota\mu^{(n)})_\gamma & \text{for } \gamma \leq \beta^{(n+1)}, \\ 0_\gamma & \text{for } \gamma > \beta^{(n+1)} \,. \end{cases}$$

Then by (2) and (3),

$$\iota\beta^{(n)} < d^{(n+1)} < \iota\nu^{(n)} \,.$$

Thus, $d^{(n+1)} \in \iota\Gamma'$ by convexity, and we can set

$$\gamma_0^{(n+1)} := \iota^{-1} d^{(n+1)} \,.$$

Now for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\beta^{(n)} < \gamma_0^{(n+1)}$, hence every well-ordered set $S \subset \Gamma'$ with smallest element $\gamma_0^{(n+1)}$ has the property that $(\iota\gamma_0^{(n)})_\gamma = d_\gamma^{(n)} = 0_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in S$; and moreover,

$$\iota\gamma_0^{(n)} < \iota\gamma_0^{(n)} \oplus S < \iota\nu^{(n-1)} \,.$$

Thus, $\iota\gamma_0^{(n)} \oplus S \in \iota\Gamma'$ by convexity. Suppose now that for some ordinal number $\mu \geq 1$ we have chosen elements $\gamma_\nu^{(n)} \in \Gamma'$, $\nu < \mu$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for every fixed n, the sequence $(\gamma_\nu^{(n)})_{\nu<\mu}$ is strictly increasing. Then we set

$$\gamma_\mu^{(n)} := \iota^{-1}(\iota\gamma_0^{(n)} \oplus \{\gamma_\nu^{(n+1)} \mid \nu < \mu\}) \in \Gamma'$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\lambda < \mu$, then $\{\gamma_\nu^{(n+1)} \mid \nu < \lambda\} \subsetneq \{\gamma_\nu^{(n+1)} \mid \nu < \mu\}$ and thus, $\gamma_\lambda^{(n)} < \gamma_\mu^{(n)}$ by (1). So for every ordinal number μ, the sequences $(\gamma_\nu^{(n)})_{\nu<\mu}$ can be extended. We obtain strictly increasing sequences of arbitrary length, contradicting the fact that their length is bounded by the cardinality of Γ. □

Corollary 3. *Assume that 0_Δ is not the last element of Δ. If there is an embedding of Γ in Δ^Γ with convex image, then Γ has a last element.*

3. Solutions to the functorial equations

We start with a few easy remarks and lemmas. Throughout, fix a chain Δ with distinguished element 0_Δ.

Remark 4. 1) If 0_Δ is last in Δ (respectively, least), then 0 is last in Δ^Γ (respectively, least), for any non-empty chain Γ.

2) Let I be any chain, and C a non-empty convex subset of I. Let $c \in C$. Then the initial segment determined by c in C is a final segment of the initial segment determined by c in I.

Remark 5. If $\Delta^{<0_\Delta}$ has no last element, then also $(\Delta^\Gamma)^{<0}$ has no last element, for any chain Γ: If not, let s be last in $(\Delta^\Gamma)^{<0}$ and set $\gamma = \min \operatorname{supp}(s)$. Then $s(\gamma) = \delta < 0_\Delta$. Take $\delta < \delta' < 0_\Delta$. Consider s' defined by $s'(\gamma) = \delta'$ and $s'(\gamma') = 0_\Delta$ if $\gamma' \neq \gamma$. Then $s' \in (\Delta^\Gamma)^{<0}$, but $s' > s$, a contradiction.

Lemma 6. *Let* Γ *and* Γ' *be chains, and suppose that* $\phi : \Gamma \to \Gamma'$ *is a chain embedding. Then* ϕ *lifts to a chain embedding*

$$\hat{\phi} : \Delta^{\Gamma} \to \Delta^{\Gamma'} .$$

Proof. For $s \in \Delta^{\Gamma}$ and $x \in \Gamma'$, set

$$\hat{\phi}(s)(x) = \begin{cases} 0_{\Delta} & \text{if } x \notin \operatorname{Im}\phi, \\ s(\phi^{-1}(x)) & \text{if } x \in \operatorname{Im}\phi \end{cases}$$

(here, $\operatorname{Im}\phi$ denotes the image of ϕ). Now, it is straightforward to check the assertion of the lemma. $\square$

In view of this lemma, if F is a subchain of a chain Γ, then there is a natural identification of Δ^F as a subchain of Δ^{Γ}.

Lemma 7. *Let* Γ *be a chain and* F *a non-empty final segment of* Γ*. Then* Δ^F *is convex in* Δ^{Γ} *(and* $0 \in \Delta^F$*).*

Proof. Let $s_i \in \Delta^F$, and set $\gamma_i = \min \operatorname{supp}(s_i) \in F$, for $i = 1, 2$. Let $s \in \Delta^{\Gamma}$ be such that $s_1 < s < s_2$. If $s = 0$, then $s \in \Delta^F$. So assume $s \neq 0$ and set $\gamma = \min \operatorname{supp}(s)$. Suppose that $\gamma \notin F$. If $s > 0$, then $s(\gamma) > 0_{\Delta}$. On the other hand, $\gamma < \gamma_2$ (otherwise, $\gamma \in F$). Thus, $s > s_2$, a contradiction. Similarly, we argue that if $s < 0$, then $s < s_1$, a contradiction. Hence, $\min \operatorname{supp}(s) \in F$. Since F is a final segment of Γ, this implies that $s \in \Delta^F$, which proves our assertion. $\square$

Corollary 8. *Assume that* Γ *has a last element. Then* Δ *embeds convexly in* Δ^{Γ}*, so that* 0_{Δ} *is mapped to* $0 \in \Delta^{\Gamma}$*. If moreover* 0_{Δ} *is last in* Δ*, then* Δ^F *embeds as a final segment in* Δ^{Γ}*, for any non-empty final segment* F *of* Γ*. Consequently, if* Γ *has a last element, and* 0_{Δ} *is last in* Δ*, then* Δ *embeds as a final segment in* Δ^{Γ}*.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from Lemma 7, applied to the final segment consisting of the single last element of Γ. For the second assertion use Remark 4, parts 1) and 2). $\square$

We now give a complete solution to the **first functorial equation**, and a sufficient condition for the existence of solutions Γ to the third functorial equation:

Theorem 9. *There is always a non-empty solution* Γ *for the functorial equation* $(\Delta^{\Gamma})^{\leq 0} \simeq \Gamma$*. If* $\Delta^{<0_{\Delta}}$ *has a last element, then there is also a non-empty solution* Γ *for* $(\Delta^{\Gamma})^{<0} \simeq \Gamma$*.*

Proof. Set $\Gamma_0 := \Delta^{\leq 0_{\Delta}}$. Since Γ_0 has a last element, Δ embeds convexly in Δ^{Γ_0}. Consequently, Γ_0 embeds as a final segment in $\Gamma_1 := (\Delta^{\Gamma_0})^{\leq 0}$. By induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $\Gamma_n := (\Delta^{\Gamma_{n-1}})^{\leq 0}$, and obtain an embedding of Γ_{n-1} as a final segment in Γ_n. We set $\Gamma := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n$.

Since every Γ_n is a final segment of Γ, every well-ordered subset S of Γ is already contained in some Γ_n (just take n such that the first element of S lies in Γ_n). Hence, an element of $(\Delta^{\Gamma})^{\leq 0}$ with support S is actually an element of $\Gamma_{n+1} = (\Delta^{\Gamma_n})^{\leq 0}$, for some n. This fact gives rise to an order isomorphism of $(\Delta^{\Gamma})^{\leq 0}$ onto Γ.

To prove the second assertion, we set $\Gamma_0 := \Delta^{<0_{\Delta}}$. Since Γ_0 has a last element by assumption, Δ embeds convexly in Δ^{Γ_0}, and the same arguments as above work if we define $\Gamma_n := (\Delta^{\Gamma_{n-1}})^{<0}$. $\square$

Remark 10. Note that Γ_0 has a last element and embeds as a final segment in the constructed solution Γ (in both cases considered in the proof). Thus, Γ has a last element, and there is no contradiction to Theorem 2.

Note that if 0_Δ is least in Δ, then the first equation has the trivial solution $\Gamma = \{0_\Delta\}$.

We next turn to the **second functorial equation**.

Remark 11. Suppose that 0_Δ is last in Δ. Then the solution to the first equation given in Theorem 9 also solves the second equation. Indeed, in this case, 0 is last in Δ^Γ, so $(\Delta^\Gamma)^{\leq 0} = \Delta^\Gamma$.

We also have the converse:

Corollary 12. *Assume Δ is a chain such that the functorial equation $\Delta^\Gamma \simeq \Gamma$ has a non-empty solution Γ. Then 0_Δ is last in Δ. Thus, the functorial equation $\Delta^\Gamma \simeq \Gamma$ has a non-empty solution if and only if 0_Δ is last in Δ.*

Proof. Assume 0_Δ is not last, and choose some element $1_\Delta > 0_\Delta$. This provides us with characteristic functions. If $S \subset \Gamma$ is well ordered, then let $\chi_S \in \Delta^\Gamma$ denote the characteristic function on S defined by:

$$\chi_S(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1_\Delta & \text{if } \gamma \in S, \\ 0_\Delta & \text{if } \gamma \notin S\ . \end{cases}$$

Note that these characteristic functions reflect inclusion: if S is a proper well-ordered subset of S', then $\chi_S < \chi_{S'}$. Now assume for a contradiction that $i : \Gamma \simeq \Delta^\Gamma$, and let $\kappa = \mathrm{card}(\Gamma)$. We shall construct a strictly increasing sequence $\{\gamma_\mu; \mu < \kappa^+\}$ in Γ.

Set $\gamma_0 = i^{-1}(0)$, and assume by induction that $\{\gamma_\nu; \nu < \mu\}$ is defined, and strictly increasing in Γ. Then define

$$\gamma_\mu = i^{-1}(\chi_{\{\gamma_\nu;\nu<\mu\}}).$$

It follows that $\chi_{\{\gamma_\lambda;\lambda<\nu\}} < \chi_{\{\gamma_\lambda;\lambda<\mu\}}$, whenever $\nu < \mu$. Since i^{-1} is order preserving, it follows that $\gamma_\nu < \gamma_\mu$ as required. □

We now turn to the **third functorial equation**. We deduce a simple criterion for the existence of solutions:

Corollary 13. *Assume that 0_Δ is not the last element of Δ. Then the functorial equation $(\Delta^\Gamma)^{<0} \simeq \Gamma$ has a non-empty solution Γ if and only if $\Delta^{<0_\Delta}$ has a last element.*

Proof. The "if" direction is just the second assertion of Theorem 9. So assume now that Γ is a non-empty solution. Assume for a contradiction that $\Delta^{<0_\Delta}$ has no last element. Then by Remark 5 $(\Delta^\Gamma)^{<0}$ has no last element as well. Thus, the same holds for the solution Γ. This contradicts Theorem 2. □

4. Simultaneous solutions

Recall that by Remark 11, the chain Γ given in Theorem 9 solves the first *and* the second functorial equations, if 0_Δ is last in Δ. By ω^* we denote the ordinal ω with the reverse ordering.

Theorem 14. *Assume that 0_Δ is last in Δ and that ω^* embeds as a final segment in Δ. Then the solution Γ given in Theorem 9 to the first and second functorial equations solves $(\Delta^\Gamma)^{<0} \simeq \Gamma$ as well.*

Proof. Recall that Δ embeds as a final segment in the given solution Γ. Thus, ω^* embeds as a final segment in Γ as well. In particular, Γ has a last element 0. Since $\Delta^\Gamma = (\Delta^\Gamma)^{<0} \cup \{0\}$ and $\Delta^\Gamma \simeq \Gamma$, we find that $(\Delta^\Gamma)^{<0} \simeq \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$. But $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$, since ω^* is a final segment of Γ. □

We now turn to the question of whether the sufficient conditions given in this last theorem are also necessary. We need to introduce a definition: Say that a solution Γ (to any of the three equations) is **special** if Δ embeds as a final segment in Γ. Note that special solutions are necessarily non-empty.

Proposition 15. *Every non-empty solution to $\Gamma \simeq \Delta^\Gamma$ is special.*

Proof. Necessarily, 0_Δ is last in Δ (by Corollary 12). Thus, Γ has a last element, so by Corollary 8, Δ embeds as a final segment in Δ^Γ, and thus in Γ. □

Corollary 16. *Assume that Δ is infinite and Γ is any non-empty chain which solves simultaneously*

$$(\Delta^\Gamma)^{<0} \simeq \Gamma \simeq \Delta^\Gamma.$$

Then 0_Δ is last in Δ and ω^* embeds as a final segment in Δ.

Proof. Since $\Gamma \simeq \Delta^\Gamma$, 0_Δ is last in Δ (Corollary 12). Therefore, 0 is last in Δ^Γ by Remark 4, and so also Γ has a last element 0. The assumptions imply that $\Gamma \setminus \{0\} \simeq \Gamma$. This is equivalent to the assertion that ω^* embeds as a final segment in Γ. Now note that Γ is a special solution by Proposition 15, i.e., Δ embeds as a final segment of Γ. Since Δ is infinite this implies that ω^* embeds as a final segment in Δ, as required. □

Corollary 17. *Assume that Δ is infinite. Then the following are equivalent:*
(a) 0_Δ is last in Δ and ω^* embeds as a final segment in Δ.
(b) There exists a (special) simultaneous solution to all three equations.
(c) There exists a (special) simultaneous solution to the second and third equations.

Proof. (a) implies (b) by Theorem 14. (b) implies (c) trivially. Finally, (c) implies (a) by Corollary 16. □

We conclude with the following question: *Are special solutions unique up to isomorphism?* We can give a partial answer to this last question:

Proposition 18. *Assume that 0_Δ is last in Δ. Let $\Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma_n$ be the solution to the second equation given in Theorem 9. Then Γ embeds as a final segment in any other solution.*

Proof. Let Γ' be another solution. Then it is a special solution, by Proposition 15. So $\Delta = \Gamma_0$ embeds as a final segment in Γ'. Since 0_Δ is last in Δ, $\Gamma_1 = \Delta^{\Gamma_0}$ embeds as a final segment in $\Delta^{\Gamma'}$. By induction, Γ_n is a final segment of Γ' for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, Γ embeds as a final segment in Γ' as well. □

References

[H] Hausdorff, F.: Grundzüge der Mengenlehre, Verlag von Veit, Leipzig (1914).

[H-K-M] Holland, W. C. – Kuhlmann, S. – McCleary, S.: The Arithmetic of Lexicographic Exponentiation, preprint.

[K] Kuhlmann, S.: Isomorphisms of Lexicographic Powers of the Reals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 2657-2662. MR **95k:**06001

[K-K-S] Kuhlmann, F.-V. – Kuhlmann, S. – Shelah, S.: Exponentiation in power series fields, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 3177-3183. MR **97m:**12007

[R] Rosenstein, J. G.: Linear orderings, Academic Press, New York - London (1982). MR **84m:**06001

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Saskatchewan, 106 Wiggins Road, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada S7N 5E6
E-mail address: fvk@math.usask.ca

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Saskatchewan, 106 Wiggins Road, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada S7N 5E6
E-mail address: skuhlman@math.usask.ca

Department of Mathematics, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel
E-mail address: shelah@math.huji.ac.il