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ABSTRACT
Assuming $2^{\alpha_{0}}<2^{\alpha_{1}}$ we prove that there is an endo-rigid strongly $\boldsymbol{N}_{1}$-free group of power $\boldsymbol{N}_{1}$.

Here group will mean an abelian group.

1. Defintion. A group $G$ is endo-rigid if every endomorphism $h: G \rightarrow G$ has the form $h(x)=n x$ ( $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ fixed).
2. History. Fuchs [5] with the help of Coroner proved the existence of such groups up to very large cardinalities, Shelah [7] in all cardinals ( $>\aleph_{0}$ ), Eklof and Mekler [4] prove the existence of strong $\kappa$-free, indecomposable groups of power $\kappa$, $\kappa$ regular, under the hypothesis $V=L$, and Dugas [3] replaces indecomposable by endo-rigid.
3. Theorem. ( $\left.2^{\alpha_{0}}<2^{\aleph_{1}}\right)$ There is an endo-rigid, strongly $\aleph_{1}$-free group of power $\boldsymbol{K}_{1}$.

Remark. We can get $2^{\alpha_{i}}$ such groups with no non-zero homomorphism from one to another (see [1]).
4. CLaim. Let $G$ be a countable free abelian group, $c, b \in G, c \neq 0, b \neq 0, b, c$ have no common multiple (by integers).
Let $G=\bigcup_{n<\omega} G_{n}, G_{n} \subseteq G_{n+1}, G_{n+1} / G_{n}$ free (hence $G / G_{n}$ is free). Let $a_{n} \in G_{n+1}$ be such that $a_{n}+G_{n} \in G_{n+1} / G_{n}$ is not divisible by any natural number, and for $l=0,1$ and $i<\omega, k_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that for infinitely many $i ' s, k_{i}^{0}=k_{i}^{1}=0$, and for infinitely many $i$ 's $k_{i}^{0}-k_{i}^{1}=1$.

[^0]Let $G^{l}(l=0,1)$ be the group freely generated by $G, x^{\prime}, y_{i}^{\prime}(i<\omega)$ except the relations $p_{i} y_{i}^{\prime}=x^{\prime}-a_{i}-k_{i}^{\prime} b$ where $\left\langle p_{i}: i<\omega\right\rangle$ is a list of the primes.

Then
(1) $G^{\prime}$ is countable and free; moreover, it is a pure extension of $G$, i.e., $n x \in G \wedge x \in G^{\prime} \wedge n \neq 0$ implies $x \in G$.
(2) There are no homomorphisms $\left.\left.h_{1}: G^{\prime} \rightarrow G^{\prime}, h_{0}\right\rceil G=h_{1}\right\rceil G, h_{0}(b)=c$.
(3) If $G^{\prime} \subseteq G^{\prime}, G^{\prime} / G^{\prime}$ is $\aleph_{1}$-free, $f$ an endomorphism of $G^{\prime}$ mapping $G$ into itself, then $f$ maps $G^{\prime}$ into itself.
5. Proof of 4. Part (1) of the claim is trivial, and so is part (3) (as in $G^{\prime} / G$, $G^{\prime} / G$ is the set of elements of $G^{\prime} / G$ divisible by infinitely many primes and $f$ induces an endomorphism of $\left.G^{\prime} / G\right)$.

So we concentrate on (2), and let $h=h_{l} \mid G$. For some $m, k_{l} \in \mathbf{Z}, d_{l} \in G$, $m \neq 0, m h_{1}\left(x^{l}\right)=k_{l} x^{\prime}+d_{l}$ (there are such $m, k_{l}, d_{l}$ as $h_{1}\left(x^{l}\right) \in G^{l}$ ). (Why $m$ and not $m_{l}$ ? Use the least common multiple.)

So for every $i<\omega, l \in\{0,1\}$, as $p_{i} y_{i}^{\prime}=x^{\prime}-a_{i}-k_{i}^{\prime} b$, clearly (remember that $h_{i} \mid G=h$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
m p_{i} h_{l}\left(y_{i}^{l}\right) & =m\left(h_{l}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-h\left(a_{i}\right)-k_{i}^{l} h(b)\right) \\
& =k_{l} x^{\prime}+d_{l}-m h\left(a_{i}\right)-m_{i} k_{i}^{\prime} c .
\end{aligned}
$$

So in $G^{\prime},\left(k_{l} x^{\prime}+d_{l}-m h\left(a_{i}\right)-m k_{i}^{\prime} c\right)$ is divisible by $p_{i}$. But also $\left(x^{\prime}-a_{i}-k_{i}^{\prime} b\right)$ is divisible by $p_{i}$ in $G^{\prime}$. Hence in $G^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{i}^{\prime} & =\left(k_{l} x^{\prime}+d_{l}-m h\left(a_{i}\right)-m k_{i}^{\prime} c\right)-k_{l}\left(x^{\prime}-a_{i}-k_{i}^{\prime} b\right) \\
& =d_{l}-m h\left(a_{i}\right)+k_{l} a_{i}+k_{i}^{\prime}\left(k_{l} b-m c\right) \in G
\end{aligned}
$$

is divisible by $p_{i}$ in $G^{l}$, but $G$ is a pure subgroup of $G^{\prime}$, hence $z_{i}^{\prime}$ is divisible by $p_{i}$ in $G$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{i}^{0}-z_{i}^{1} & =\left(d_{0}-d_{1}\right)+k_{i}^{0}\left(k_{0} b-m c\right)-k_{i}^{1}\left(k_{1} b-m c\right)+\left(k_{0}-k_{1}\right) a_{i} \\
& =\left(d_{0}-d_{1}\right)+\left(k_{0}-k_{1}\right) a_{i}+\left(k_{i}^{0} k_{0}-k_{i}^{1} k_{1}\right) b-m\left(k_{i}^{0}-k_{i}^{1}\right) c
\end{aligned}
$$

is divisible by $p_{i}$ in $G$.
For large enough $i, d_{0}, d_{1}, b, c \in G_{i}$, hence $\left(k_{0}-k_{1}\right) a_{i}+G_{i}$ is divisible by $p_{i}$ (in $G / G_{i}$ ), but by the choice of $a_{i}$ this implies $k_{0}-k_{1}=0$, i.e., $\boldsymbol{k}_{0}=k_{1}$ (as otherwise we can choose $i$ such that $p_{i}$ does not divide $k_{0}-k_{1}$ ).

So for every $i,\left(d_{0}-d_{1}\right)+k_{0}\left(k_{i}^{0}-k_{i}^{1}\right) b-m\left(k_{i}^{0}-k_{i}^{1}\right) c$ is divisible by $p_{i}$. As for infinitely many $i$ 's, $k_{i}^{0}=k_{i}^{1}$, for infinitely many primes $p, d_{0}-d_{1}$ is divisible by $p$.

As $G$ is free, $d_{0}-d_{1}=0$. Similarly as for infinitely many $i$ 's, $k_{i}^{0}-k_{i}^{1}=1$, $k_{0} b-m c=0$ hence $k_{0} b=m c$. Clearly $m \neq 0$, thus we contradict a hypothesis.
6. FAct. If $h$ is an endomorphism of an $\aleph_{1}$-free (abelian) group $G$, and there is no $n \in Z$ such that for every $x, h(x)=n x$, then there are $b, c \in G, h(b)=c$, and $n b \neq m c$ for $n, m \neq 0$, and $b \neq 0, c \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose $h$ is a counterexample. Then for every $x \in G$ not divisible by any $n \in \mathbf{Z}, n \neq 0,1$, there is $n_{x}$ such that $h(x)=n_{x} x$, hence for every $x \in G$ there is such $n_{x}$. Clearly if $k_{0} x=k_{1} y\left(k_{0} k_{1} \neq 0\right)$ then $n_{x}=n_{y}$. If the rank of $G$ is $1, h$ is $n x$ for some $n$, so there are $x, y \in G$ which are a basis of a free pure subgroup of $G$, and $n_{x} \neq n_{y}$. Trivially $b=x+y, c=h(b)=n_{x} x+n_{y} y$ are as required.
7. Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\left\langle S_{\alpha}: \alpha<\omega_{1}\right\rangle$ be a list of $\boldsymbol{N}_{1}$ pairwise disjoint non-small stationary subsets of $\boldsymbol{K}_{1}$ (see [2], or e.g. [1]) such that $y \in S_{\alpha} \Rightarrow \alpha<y$.
Let $\left\{\left\langle b_{\alpha}, c_{\alpha}\right\rangle: \alpha<\omega_{1}\right\}$ be a list of the pairs of ordinals smaller than $\omega_{1}$, such that $b_{\alpha}, c_{\alpha} \leqq 1+\alpha$.
Now we define by induction on $\alpha<\omega_{1}$, for every $\eta \in{ }^{\alpha} 2$, a group $G_{\eta}$ such that:
(1) $G_{\eta}$ is a free (abelian) group with universe $\omega(1+\alpha)=\omega(1+l(\eta))$,
(2) if. $\nu=\eta \upharpoonright \beta$ then $G_{\nu}$ is a pure subgroup of $G_{\eta}$,
(3) if $\nu=\eta \upharpoonright(\beta+1)$ then $G_{\eta} / G_{\nu}$ is free, also $G_{\eta} / G_{\eta \mid 0}$ is free,
(4) if $\alpha \in S_{i}, \alpha$ limit, $\eta \in^{\alpha} 2$,
then there are no homomorphisms $h_{i}: G_{\eta^{\wedge}\langle( \rangle)} \rightarrow G_{\eta^{\wedge}\langle(l)}, h_{0}\left|G_{\eta}=h_{1}\right| G_{\eta}, h_{l}\left(b_{i}\right)=$ $c_{i}$, except when $m c_{i}=n b_{i}$ for some $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}-\{0\}$, also if $G_{\eta^{\wedge}(l)} \subseteq G^{\prime}, G^{\prime} / G_{\eta^{\wedge}(1)}$ $\boldsymbol{N}_{1}$-free, $h$ an endomorphism of $G^{\prime}, h$ maps $G_{\eta}$ to $G_{\eta}$ then $h$ maps $G_{\eta \wedge(l)}$ into $G_{\eta^{\wedge}(1)}$.
There is no problem in the definition; for (4) use the claim.
For each $\alpha$, let $F_{\alpha}$ be the following function: If $\delta<\omega_{1}, \omega \delta=\delta, \eta \in^{\delta} 2$, $h: \delta \rightarrow \delta, h$ an endomorphism of $G_{\eta}$ into $G_{n}, h\left(b_{\alpha}\right)=c_{\alpha}$ and $h$ can be extended to an endomorphism of $G_{\eta^{\wedge}(0)}$, then $F_{\alpha}(\eta, h)=1$, otherwise $F_{\alpha}(\eta, h)=0$.

By [2] there are $\nu_{\alpha} \in{ }^{\omega_{1}} 2$, such that for every $h: \omega_{1} \rightarrow \omega_{1}, \eta \in{ }^{\omega_{1}} 2$, the set $\left\{\delta \in S_{\alpha}: F_{\alpha}(\eta|\delta, h| \delta)=\nu_{\alpha}(\delta)\right\}$ is stationary (because $S_{\alpha}$ is not small).

Let $\nu \in{ }^{\omega / 2}$ be defined such that $i \in S_{\alpha} \Rightarrow \nu(i)=\nu_{\alpha}(i)$. Suppose $h$ is an endomorphism of $G_{v}$, such that for no $n$ is $h(x)=n x$ for every $x \in G_{v}$. By Fact $6, h(b)=c, b, c$ with no common multiple $\neq 0$, for some $b, c \in G_{\nu}$. For some $\alpha$, $\left\langle b_{\alpha}, c_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\langle b, c\rangle$ (as $\left\{\left\langle b_{\alpha}, c_{\alpha}\right\rangle: \alpha<\omega_{1}\right\}$ list all pairs of ordinals $<\omega_{1}$ ). Also $S^{*}=$
$\{\delta: h$ maps $\delta$ into $\delta, \omega \delta=\delta\}$ is a closed unbounded set of $\omega_{1}$. On the other hand, $S_{\alpha}^{*}=\left\{\delta \in S_{a}: F_{\alpha}(\nu|\delta, h| \delta)=\nu_{\alpha}(\delta)\right\}$ is stationary. So there is $\delta \in S^{*} \cap S_{a}^{*}$. Now $h \mid \delta$ is an endomorphism of $G_{\nu \gamma \delta}$; it can be extended to an endomorphism of $G_{\nu((\delta+1)}=G_{\nu \mid \delta^{\wedge}(\nu(\delta))}$. What is $\nu(\delta)$ ? If it is zero, then $F_{\alpha}(\nu|\delta, h| \delta)=1$ (by its definition) hence $\nu_{\alpha}(\delta)=1$ (as $\delta \in S_{\alpha}^{*} \cap S^{*}$ ), but $\nu(\delta)=\nu_{\alpha}(\delta)$ as $\delta \in S_{a}$, contradiction. If, on the other hand, $\nu(\delta)=1$ then $h \mid G_{\nu \mid \delta}$ can be extended to an endomorphism of some $G^{\prime} \supseteq G_{\nu(18+1)}=G_{\nu / 8^{\wedge}(1)}$ (use $G_{\nu}$ ), and also of some $G^{\prime} \supseteq G_{\nu \mid \delta \wedge(0)}\left(\right.$ as $1=\nu(\delta)=\nu_{\alpha}(\delta)=F_{\alpha}(\nu|\delta, h| \delta)$ and the definition of $\left.F_{\alpha}\right)$. This contradicts (4) in the requirements on the $G_{n}$ 's.

We can now ask: when does this proof generalize to cardinals $\lambda>\boldsymbol{N}_{1}$ ? For example:
8. Theorem. Suppose
(i) $\lambda$ is a regular cardinal $>\boldsymbol{N}_{0}$,
(ii) $S \subseteq\left\{\delta<\lambda:\right.$ cf $\left.\delta=\boldsymbol{N}_{0}\right\}$,
(iii) $S$ is not small (hence stationary, see [2]),
(iv) $S$ has no initial segment stationary (but is stationary).

Then there is a strongly $\lambda$-free abelian group of power $\lambda$ which is endo-rigid.
9. Remark. (A) So in the proof $G=\bigcup_{i<\lambda} G_{i}, G_{i}$ increasing continuous, each $G_{i}$ free and $i<j \wedge i \notin S \Rightarrow G_{j} / G_{i}$ is free.
(B) In the proof of 8 we need $\lambda$ disjoint non-small subsets of $S$. Let $\delta=\bigcup_{n} \alpha(\delta, n), \alpha(\delta, n)<\alpha(\delta, n+1)$ for $\delta \in S$, then for some $n$ for $\lambda \alpha_{0}$ 's, $\left\{\delta: \alpha(\delta, n)=\alpha_{0}\right\}$ is not small; otherwise use the normality of the ideal of non-small subsets of $\lambda$. (This proof is well known and appears in Solovay [9].)
(C) If G.C.H., $\lambda=\mu^{+}$, cf $\mu \neq \boldsymbol{N}_{0}$, we can omit (iii) ( $=$ non-smallness) as by Gregory [6] and Shelah [8] $\diamond^{*}\{\delta<\lambda$ :cf $\delta \neq$ cf $\mu\}$ holds, hence for every stationary $S \subseteq \lambda,(\forall \delta \in S) \operatorname{cf} \delta \neq \operatorname{cf} \mu, \diamond_{s}$ holds, hence $S$ is not small (see [2]).
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