



ACADEMIC  
PRESS

Journal of Algebra 252 (2002) 22–26

JOURNAL OF  
Algebra

www.academicpress.com

# The non-commutative Specker phenomenon in the uncountable case

Katsuya Eda<sup>a</sup> and Saharon Shelah<sup>b,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Science and Engineering, Waseda University, Tokyo 169-0072, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Hebrew University, Givat Ram, 91904 Jerusalem, Israel

Received 12 December 2000

Communicated by Michel Broué

---

*Keywords:* Inverse limit; Free complete product; Free  $\sigma$ -product; Specker phenomenon;  
Non-commutative; Measurable cardinal

---

An infinitary version of the notion of free products was introduced and investigated by Higman [1]. Let  $G_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) be groups and  $\ast_{i \in X} G_i$  the free product of  $G_i$  ( $i \in X$ ) for  $X \subseteq I$  and  $p_{XY} : \ast_{i \in Y} G_i \rightarrow \ast_{i \in X} G_i$  the canonical homomorphism for  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq I$ . ( $X \in I$  denotes that  $X$  is a finite subset of  $I$ .) Then, the unrestricted free product is the inverse limit  $\varprojlim(\ast_{i \in X} G_i, p_{XY} : X \subseteq Y \subseteq I)$ . We remark  $\ast_{i \in \emptyset} G_i = \{e\}$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  be a copy of the integer group  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\delta_n$  be its generator. We use a set theoretic convention  $n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  for a natural number  $n < \omega$ . Since  $\omega$  is the set of natural numbers and the sets  $n$  are cofinal in the family of finite subsets of  $\omega$ , we have

$$\varprojlim_{i \in X} \left( \ast_{i \in X} \mathbb{Z}_i, p_{XY} : X \subseteq Y \subseteq \omega \right) \simeq \varprojlim_{i < m} \left( \ast_{i < m} \mathbb{Z}_i, p_{mn} : m \leq n < \omega \right).$$

For sake of simplicity, we abbreviate limits  $\varprojlim(\ast_{i \in X} G_i, p_{XY} : X \subseteq Y \subseteq I)$  and  $\varprojlim(\ast_{i < m} \mathbb{Z}_m, p_{mn} : m \leq n < \omega)$  by  $\varprojlim \ast G_i$  and  $\varprojlim \ast \mathbb{Z}_n$ , respectively, in the sequel. Since  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  can be regarded as a subgroup of  $\varprojlim \ast \mathbb{Z}_n$ , we regard  $\delta_n$

---

*E-mail address:* eda@logic.info.waseda.ac.jp (K. Eda).

<sup>1</sup> Author is supported by The Israel Science Foundation founded by the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities Publication 751.

as an element of  $\varprojlim * \mathbb{Z}_n$ . An element  $u$  of  $\varprojlim (*_{i \in X} G_i, p_{XY}: X \subseteq Y \in I)$  is canonically presented as a map such that  $u(X) \in *_{i \in X} G_i$  and  $p_{XY}(u(Y)) = u(X)$  for  $X \subseteq Y \in I$ . For  $S \subseteq I$ , let  $p_S: \varprojlim * G_i \rightarrow \varprojlim * G_i$  be the canonical projection induced by the homomorphisms obtained by composing the map  $p_{X \cap S, X}$  and the inclusion  $*_{i \in X \cap S} G_i \hookrightarrow *_{i \in X} G_i$  for  $X \in I$ , i.e.,  $p_S(x)(X) = x(X \cap S)$  for  $X \in I$ .

An uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$  is measurable if there exists a  $\kappa$ -complete non-principal ultrafilter on  $\kappa$  [2, p. 297]. The following is well known [2, Lemma 27.1]: Let  $\kappa$  be the least cardinal on which there exists a countably complete non-principal ultrafilter. Then  $\kappa$  is a measurable cardinal.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $F$  be a free group. Then for each homomorphism  $h: \varprojlim * G_i \rightarrow F$  there exist countably complete ultrafilters  $u_0, \dots, u_m$  on  $I$  such that  $h = h \cdot p_{U_0 \cup \dots \cup U_m}$  for every  $U_0 \in u_0, \dots, U_m \in u_m$ . Consequently, if the cardinality of the index set  $I$  is less than the least measurable cardinal, then there exists a finite subset  $X_0$  of  $I$  and a homomorphism  $\bar{h}: *_{i \in X_0} G_i \rightarrow F$  such that  $h = \bar{h} \cdot p_{X_0}$ .*

Previously the second author showed the failure of the Specker phenomenon in the uncountable case in a different situation [3]. (See also [4].) We explain the difference between this result and Theorem 1.1 of the present paper. There is a canonical subgroup of the unrestricted free product, which is called the free complete product and denoted by  $\times_{i \in I} G_i$ . When an index set  $I$  is countable, according to the Higman theorem (Lemma 1.2 and its variant for  $\times_{n < \omega} \mathbb{Z}_n$  [1, p. 80]), a homomorphism from  $\varprojlim * G_i$  or  $\times_{i \in I} G_i$  to a free group factors through a finite free product  $*_{i \in F} G_i$ . On the other hand, when the index set  $I$  is uncountable and each  $G_i$  is non-trivial, there exists a free retract of  $\times_{i \in I} G_i$  of large cardinality and there are homomorphisms not factoring through any finite free product  $*_{i \in F} G_i$ , which contrasts with the case when  $I$  is countable. This also contrasts with an abelian case, which is known as the Łoś theorem [5]. Theorem 1.1 says that differing from the case of the free complete products the non-commutative Specker phenomenon holds for the unrestricted free products similarly as in the abelian case.

Since the following lemma holds for the free  $\sigma$ -product  $\times_{i \in I}^{\sigma} \mathbb{Z}_i$  instead of a free group  $F$  [6], Theorem 1.1 also holds for it. (We remark  $\times_{i \in I}^{\sigma} \mathbb{Z}_i = \times_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_i$ , when  $I$  is countable.)

**Lemma 1.2** (Higman [1]). *For each homomorphism  $h: \varprojlim * \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow F$  there exists  $m < \omega$  and a homomorphism  $\bar{h}: *_{k < m} \mathbb{Z}_k \rightarrow F$  such that  $h = \bar{h} \cdot p_m$ , where  $p_m: \varprojlim * \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow *_{n < m} \mathbb{Z}_n$  is the canonical projection.*

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $I = \bigcup \{I_n: n < \omega\}$  with  $I_n \subseteq I_{n+1}$  and  $x_n \in \varprojlim * G_i$  be such that  $p_{I_n}(x_n) = e$ . Then there exists a homomorphism  $\varphi: \varprojlim * \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \varprojlim * G_i$  such that  $\varphi(\delta_n) = x_n$  for each  $n < \omega$ .*

**Proof.** We define  $\psi_{mX} : *_{k < m} \mathbb{Z}_k \rightarrow *_{i \in X} G_i$  by  $\psi_{mX}(\delta_k) = p_X(x_k)$ . Let  $X \in I_m$ . Since  $p_X(x_k) = e$  for  $k \geq m$ , we have  $\psi_{mX} \cdot p_{mn} = \psi_{nX}$  for  $n \geq m$  and consequently  $\psi_{mX} \cdot p_m = \psi_{nX} \cdot p_n$ . Define  $\varphi_X : \varprojlim * \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow *_{i \in X} G_i$  as  $\psi_{mX} \cdot p_m$  and let  $X \subseteq Y \in I_n$ . Since  $p_{XY} \cdot \psi_{nY} = \psi_{nX}$ , we have  $p_{XY} \cdot \varphi_Y = p_{XY} \cdot \psi_{nY} \cdot p_n = \psi_{nX} \cdot p_n = \varphi_X$ . By the universal property of the inverse limit we have  $\varphi : \varprojlim * \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \varprojlim * G_i$  such that  $p_X \cdot \varphi = \varphi_X$  for  $X \in I$  and hence  $\varphi(\delta_n) = x_n$  for each  $n < \omega$ .  $\square$

For a homomorphism  $h : \varprojlim * G_i \rightarrow F$ , let

$$\text{supp}(h) = \{X \subseteq I : p_X(g) = e \text{ implies } h(g) = 0 \text{ for each } g\}.$$

In the sequel we assume that  $h$  is non-trivial. We remark the following facts:

- (1)  $p_X \cdot p_Y = p_{X \cap Y}$  for  $X, Y \subseteq I$ ;
- (2)  $\text{supp}(h) = \{X \subseteq I : h(g) = h(p_X(g)) \text{ for each } g\}$ ;
- (3)  $\text{supp}(h)$  is a filter on  $I$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1} \subseteq I$  and  $A = \bigcup \{A_n : n < \omega\}$  and  $B_{n+1} \subseteq B_n \subseteq I$  and  $B = \bigcap \{B_n : n < \omega\}$ . If  $A_n \notin \text{supp}(h)$  for each  $n$ , then  $A \notin \text{supp}(h)$  and if  $B_n \in \text{supp}(h)$  for each  $n$ , then  $B \in \text{supp}(h)$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $A \in \text{supp}(h)$ . Take  $g_n$  so that  $h(g_n) \neq 0$  and  $p_{A_n}(g_n) = e$  for each  $n$  and let  $u_n = p_A(g_n)$ . Since  $I = \bigcup \{A_n \cup (I \setminus A) : n < \omega\}$  and  $p_{A_n \cup (I \setminus A)}(u_n) = p_{A_n}(g_n) = e$ , by Lemma 1.3 we have a homomorphism  $\varphi : \varprojlim * \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \varprojlim * G_i$  such that  $\varphi(\delta_n) = u_n$  for each  $n < \omega$ . Then  $h \cdot \varphi(\delta_n) \neq 0$  for each  $n$ , which contradicts Lemma 1.2.

To show the second proposition by contradiction, suppose that  $B \notin \text{supp}(h)$ . Then we have  $g \in \varprojlim * G_i$  such that  $p_B(g) = e$  but  $h(g) \neq 0$ . Let  $v_n = p_{B_n}(g)$ . Since  $I = \bigcup \{B \cup (I \setminus B_n) : n < \omega\}$  and  $p_{B \cup (I \setminus B_n)}(v_n) = p_B \cdot p_{B_n}(g) = e$ , we apply Lemma 1.3 and have a homomorphism  $\varphi : \varprojlim * \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \varprojlim * G_i$  such that  $\varphi(\delta_n) = v_n$  for each  $n < \omega$ . Then we have a contradiction similarly as the above.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $A_0 \notin \text{supp}(h)$ . Then there exist  $A$  satisfying the following:*

- (1)  $A_0 \subseteq A \notin \text{supp}(h)$ ;
- (2) for  $X \subseteq I$ ,  $A \cup X \notin \text{supp}(h)$  imply  $(I \setminus X) \cup A \in \text{supp}(h)$ .

**Proof.** We construct  $A_n \notin \text{supp}(h)$  by induction as follows. Suppose that we have constructed  $A_n \notin \text{supp}(h)$ . If  $A_n$  satisfies the required properties of  $A$ , we have finished the proof. Otherwise, there exist  $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1} \subseteq I$  such that  $A_{n+1} \notin \text{supp}(h)$  and  $(I \setminus A_{n+1}) \cup A_n \notin \text{supp}(h)$ . We claim that this process finishes in a finite step. Suppose that the process does not stop in a finite step.

Then we have  $A_n$ 's and so let  $A = \bigcup\{A_n: n < \omega\}$ . Then  $A \notin \text{supp}(h)$  by Lemma 1.4. Since  $I \setminus A \subseteq I \setminus A_{n+1}$ ,  $(I \setminus A) \cup A_n \notin \text{supp}(h)$  for each  $n < \omega$ . Now  $I = \bigcup\{(I \setminus A) \cup A_n: n < \omega\}$  and hence  $I \notin \text{supp}(h)$  by Lemma 1.4, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $h: \varprojlim * G_i \rightarrow F$  be a non-trivial homomorphism. Apply Lemma 1.5 to  $A_0 = \emptyset$ , then we have  $A$ . We define  $u_0$  as follows.

$X \in u_0$  if and only if  $A \cup X \in \text{supp}(h)$  for  $X \subseteq I$ . Then  $u_0$  is a countably complete ultrafilter on  $I$  by Lemma 1.4. We let  $I_0 = I \setminus A$ , then obviously  $I \setminus I_0 \notin \text{supp}(h)$ .

When  $I_0 \in \text{supp}(h)$ , then  $h = h \cdot p_{U_0}$  for every  $U_0 \in u_0$  and we have finished the proof. Otherwise, we construct  $I_n \notin \text{supp}(h)$  and countably complete ultrafilters  $u_n$  on  $I$  with  $I_n \in u_n$  by induction as follows. Suppose that  $\bigcup_{i=0}^n I_i \notin \text{supp}(h)$ , we apply Lemma 1.5 to  $A_0 = \bigcup_{i=0}^n I_i \notin \text{supp}(h)$  and get a countably complete ultrafilter  $u_{n+1}$  on  $I$  with  $I_{n+1} \in u_{n+1}$  so that  $I \setminus I_{n+1} \notin \text{supp}(h)$ .

To show that this procedure stops in a finite step, suppose the negation. Since  $(I \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} I_k) \cup \bigcup_{k=0}^n I_k$  is disjoint from  $I_{n+1}$ ,  $(I \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} I_k) \cup \bigcup_{k=0}^n I_k \notin \text{supp}(h)$  for each  $n$ . Then we have  $I \notin \text{supp}(h)$  by Lemma 1.4, which is a contradiction.

Now we have pair-wise disjoint subsets  $I_0, \dots, I_n$  of  $I$  such that  $I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_n \in \text{supp}(h)$ . By the construction,  $X \in u_k$  if and only if  $\bigcup_{i \neq k} I_i \cup X \in \text{supp}(h)$  and hence  $\bigcup_{i \neq k} I_i \cup U_k \in \text{supp}(h)$  for  $U_k \in u_k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ). Since  $\text{supp}(h)$  is a filter,  $\bigcup_{k=0}^n U_k \in \text{supp}(h)$  and we have the first proposition.

If each  $u_k$  contains a singleton  $\{i_k\}$ , we have  $X_0 = \{i_0, \dots, i_n\} \in \text{supp}(h)$ . Then we have a homomorphism  $\bar{h}: *_{i \in X_0} G_i \rightarrow F$  such that  $h = \bar{h} \cdot p_{X_0}$ . When the cardinality of  $I$  is less than the least measurable cardinal, every countably complete ultrafilter  $u$  on  $I$  is principal by the well-known fact mentioned just before Theorem 1.1 and, hence,  $u$  contains a singleton  $\{i\}$  for some  $i \in I$ . Consequently we have the second proposition.  $\square$

**Remark 1.6.** (1) As explained before, when index sets are uncountable, the unrestricted free products and the free complete products behave differently with respect to the Specker phenomenon. The parts of the proof of Theorem 1.1 that do not generalize are applications of Lemma 1.3. Proposition 1.9 of [7] is an analogue of Lemma 1.3 for the free complete products, but has some restricting hypotheses, which prevent its use.

(2) In [6, Theorem 1.2] we showed the Specker phenomenon holds for general inverse limits over a countable index set  $I$  and in [6, Remark 2, p. 102] we demonstrated by an example that this is not true if  $I$  is uncountable.

(3) In the abelian case  $\varprojlim (\bigoplus_{i \in X} A_i, p_{XY}: X \subseteq Y \subseteq I)$  is isomorphic to the direct product  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  and we can analyze homomorphisms from  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$  using ultraproducts when the cardinality of the index set  $I$  is greater than the least measurable cardinal [5]. We have not found a way to analyze homomorphisms in Theorem 1.1 so far.

## References

- [1] G. Higman, Unrestricted free products, and variety of topological groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* 27 (1952) 73–81.
- [2] T. Jech, *Set Theory*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1978.
- [3] S. Shelah, L. Struengmann, The failure of the uncountable non-commutative Specker phenomenon, preprint.
- [4] G.R. Conner, K. Eda, Free subgroups of free complete products, preprint.
- [5] P. Eklof, A. Mekler, *Almost Free Modules: Set-Theoretic Methods*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [6] K. Eda, The non-commutative Specker phenomenon, *J. Algebra* 204 (1998) 95–107.
- [7] K. Eda, Free  $\sigma$ -products and noncommutatively slender groups, *J. Algebra* 148 (1992) 243–263.