

APPENDIX

On a Question of Grinblat

Saharon Shelah^{1,2}

We prove the consistency of: there is a κ -complete ideal on κ for some uncountable $\kappa < 2^{\aleph_0}$ such that the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/I$ is σ -centered and there are (uncountable) Q -sets of reals.

In set theoretic language, Grinblat has been asking for some time

A.1. PROBLEM. Is it consistent with ZFC that:

- (a) there is an \aleph_1 -complete ideal I on some $\kappa < 2^{\aleph_0}$ such that $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/I$ is σ -centered (see below, and also Section 6.1 of this monograph)?
- (b) there is a Q -set?

We answer positively.

REMARK. Of course, $\alpha < \kappa \Rightarrow \{\alpha\} \in I$.

A.2. CLAIM. Assume that $\kappa < \chi = \chi^\kappa$ and κ is measurable.

Then for some c.c.c. forcing notion \mathbb{P} of cardinality χ we have in $\mathbf{V}^{\mathbb{P}}$:

- (i) $2^{\aleph_0} = \chi$;
- (ii) $\text{MA}_{<\kappa, <\text{cf}(\chi)}$ (σ -centered) holds (i.e., MA for σ -centered forcing notions of cardinality $< \kappa$ and $< \text{cf}(\chi)$ dense open subsets); hence
- (ii)⁻ every uncountable set of reals of cardinality $< \kappa$ is a Q -set;
- (iii) assume D is a normal ultrafilter on κ and I is the dual ideal. The Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/I$ is σ -centered, i.e., $\mathcal{P}(\kappa) \setminus I^{\mathbf{V}^{\mathbb{P}}}$ is the union of countably many filters where

$$I^{\mathbf{V}^{\mathbb{P}}} = \{A \in \mathbf{V}^{\mathbb{P}} : A \subseteq \kappa \text{ and } A \text{ is included in some member of } I\};$$

note that $I^{\mathbf{V}^{\mathbb{P}}}$ is a normal ideal on κ .

A.3. REMARK. Why “hence (ii)⁻” in (ii)?

Because of the following result.

¹Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel, and Rutgers University, Department of Mathematics, New Brunswick, New Jersey, USA.

²I would like to thank Alice Leonhardt for the beautiful typing.

A.4. CLAIM. For $X \subseteq Y \subseteq \omega^2$ the natural forcing $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}_{X,Y}$ (defined below) satisfies

- (i) it adds subtrees $T_n \subseteq \omega^{>2}$ for $n < \omega$ such that $\bigcup_{n < \omega} \text{lim}(T_n) \cap Y = X$;
- (ii) \mathbb{Q} is σ -centered of cardinality $\leq |Y| + \aleph_0$;
- (iii) if we can find a directed $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ intersecting $|Y| + \aleph_0$ dense subsets $\mathcal{J}_{\eta,n}^\ell$ ($\eta \in Y \setminus X$), \mathcal{J}_m ($m < \omega$), I_η (for $\eta \in X$) (defined below), then there is $\langle T_n : n < \omega \rangle$ as above.

A.5. DEFINITION. 1) For $X \subseteq Y \subseteq \omega^2$ let $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}_{X,Y}$ be defined as follows:

(A)

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ p = (\bar{t}, \bar{u}) : \text{for some } n = n(p) < \omega \text{ we have:} \right.$$

- (a) $\bar{t} = \langle t_\ell : \ell < n \rangle$, each t_ℓ has the form $T \cap m_\ell(p) \geq 2$, T a subtree³ of $\omega^{>2}$ with $m_\ell(p) < \omega$,
- (b) $\bar{u} = \langle u_\ell : \ell < n(p) \rangle$, $u_\ell \subseteq X$ is finite
- (c) if $\ell < n(p)$, $n \in u_\ell$, then $\eta \upharpoonright m_\ell(p) \in t_\ell$.

(B) The order is natural: $p \leq q$ iff $\{n(p) \leq n(q) \text{ and } \bigwedge_{\ell < n(p)} [t_\ell^p \subseteq t_\ell^q \text{ and } m_\ell(p) \leq m_\ell(q) \text{ and } t_\ell^p = t_\ell^q \cap m_\ell(p) \geq 2] \text{ and } \bigwedge_{\ell < n(p)} u_\ell^p \subseteq u_\ell^q\}$.

2) For $\eta \in Y \setminus X$ and $m < \omega$ let $\mathcal{J}_m = \{p \in \mathbb{Q} : m < n(p) \text{ and } \ell < m \Rightarrow m \leq m_\ell(p)\}$ and $\mathcal{I}_\eta^\ell = \{p \in \mathbb{Q} : \ell < n(p) \text{ and } \eta \upharpoonright m_\ell(p) \notin t_\ell^p\}$. For $\eta \in X$ let \mathcal{I}_η be $\{p \in \mathbb{Q} : \eta \in u_\ell^p \text{ for some } \ell < n(p)\}$. Clearly, these sets are dense and open.

PROOF OF A.4. Let $\mathcal{I}_\ell[G] = \bigcup \{t_\ell^p : p \in G\}$. So any directed $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ meeting all the \mathcal{I}_η^ℓ (for $\eta \in Y \setminus X$), \mathcal{I}_η (for $\eta \in X$), and \mathcal{J}_m ($m < \omega$) gives $\mathcal{I}_\ell[G]$ as required. \square

PROOF OF A.2. Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_\chi$, where $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} = \langle \mathbb{P}_i, \mathbb{Q}_j : i \leq \chi, j < \chi \rangle$, be an FS iteration such that in $\mathbb{V}^{\mathbb{P}_i}$, \mathbb{Q}_j is a σ -centered forcing notion of cardinality $< \kappa$ and its set of elements is an ordinal $< \kappa$ (not just a \mathbb{P}_j -name of such an ordinal), and any such forcing notion appears unboundedly often even χ times, more exactly, if $i_0 < \chi$, $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a \mathbb{P}_{i_0} -name of a forcing notion with a set of elements (forced to be) $\subset \alpha_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} < \kappa$, then for χ many (hence unboundedly many) ordinals $j \in (i, \chi)$ we have: $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_j}$ "if $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ is σ -centered, then $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \mathbb{Q}_j$ ". Also as usual, $\mathbb{P}_i \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{< \aleph_1}(\kappa + i)$, i.e., the members are sets which are hereditarily countable over the set of ordinals $< \kappa + i$ (see [S4, Ch. III] or just use $\mathbb{P}_{1,i}$ as below).

As each \mathbb{Q}_j is σ -center (in $\mathbb{V}^{\mathbb{P}_j}$) there is $\bar{f} = \langle f_j : j < \chi \rangle$ such that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_j}$ " f_j is a function from \mathbb{Q}_j to ω such that each $\{p \in \mathbb{Q}_j : f_j(p) = n\}$ is directed". We can explicate further. Letting the universe of \mathbb{Q}_i be α_i for any $i < \chi$ and $\alpha, \beta < \alpha_i$ let $\langle g_{\alpha,\beta}^i : n < \omega \rangle$ be a maximal antichain of $\mathbb{P}_{1,i}$ such that $g_{\alpha,\beta}^i \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_i}$ " $\alpha \leq_{\mathbb{Q}_i} \beta$

³ T is nonempty, $\nu \triangleleft \eta$, and $\eta \in T \Rightarrow \nu \in T$ and no $\eta \in T$ is \triangleleft -maximal.

iff $t_{\alpha,\beta,n}^i = \text{truth}$ ". So $\langle\langle (t_{\alpha,\beta,n}^i, g_{\alpha,\beta,n}^i) : n < \omega, \alpha, \beta < \alpha_i) : i < \chi \rangle\rangle$ gives all the information on $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Therefore $\mathbb{P}_{1,i} =: \{p \in \mathbb{P}_i : \text{if } j \in \text{Dom}(p), \text{ then } p \upharpoonright j \text{ forces a value to } f_j(p(j)) \text{ and a value to } p(j)\}$ is a dense subset of \mathbb{P}_i ; recall that the set of members of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an ordinal $< \kappa$. Now clearly clauses (i) and (ii) in Claim A.2 hold in $\mathbf{V}^{\mathbb{P}}$. As D is a normal ultrafilter on κ there is a transitive class M such that $M^\kappa \subseteq M$ and there is an elementary embedding \mathbf{j} from \mathbf{V} to M with critical ordinal κ such that $D = \{A : A \in \mathbf{V}, A \subseteq \kappa \text{ and } \kappa \in \mathbf{j}(A)\}$. Let $\mathbf{j}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ be $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}' = \langle \mathbb{P}'_i, \mathbb{Q}'_j : i \leq \mathbf{j}(\kappa), j < \mathbf{j}(\chi) \rangle$, and let $\bar{f}' = \mathbf{j}(\bar{f}) = \langle f'_j : j < \mathbf{j}(\kappa) \rangle$; so M "thinks" that $(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}', \bar{f}')$ satisfies all the properties listed above, hence in \mathbf{V} it satisfies all of those properties replacing κ, χ by $\mathbf{j}(\kappa), \mathbf{j}(\chi)$.

Let $\mathbb{P}^* = \{\mathbf{j}(p) : p \in \mathbb{P}'_{\mathbf{j}(\chi)}\}$; so it is well known that $\mathbb{P}^* < \mathbb{P}'_{\mathbf{j}(\chi)}$, \mathbb{P}^* is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{j}(\chi)}$, all those forcing notions satisfy the c.c.c., and (some complete subalgebra of) the completion of the Boolean algebra corresponding to $\mathbb{P}'_{\mathbf{j}(\chi)}/\mathbb{P}^*$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/I^{\mathbf{V}^{\mathbb{P}}}$. Therefore it is enough to prove that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^*}$ " $\mathbb{P}'_{\mathbf{j}(\chi)}/\mathbb{P}^*$ is σ -centered" (in $\mathbf{V}^{\mathbb{P}^*}$, which is the same as $\mathbf{V}^{\mathbb{P}^{\times}}$). Note also that $\mathbb{P}'_{\mathbf{j}(\chi)}$, hence $\mathbb{P}'_{\mathbf{j}(\chi)}/\mathbb{P}^*$, has cardinality $\leq |\mathbb{P}_{1,\chi}|^\kappa = \chi^\kappa = \chi$.

Now the point is that we shall prove below that

- ☒ we can reorder the iteration $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}'$: first do $\langle \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{j}(j)} : j < \chi \rangle$ and then the rest, and in this reordering, each \mathbb{Q}_j is still σ -centered and $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}'$ is FS iteration.

Note first that proving ☒ suffices since

- (a) the limit of FS iteration of σ -centered forcing notion each of cardinality $\leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ and of length $< (2^{\aleph_0})^+$ (in $\mathbf{V}^{\mathbb{P}_{1,\chi}}$) is σ -centered;
 (b) $\mathbb{P}'_{\mathbf{j}(\kappa)}/\mathbb{P}^*$ is in the universe $\mathbf{V}^{\mathbb{P}^*}$, the limit of such iteration.

They are easy.

Second, this reordering is possible. [Why? The set of elements of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_j$ is $\alpha_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_j}$, $\alpha_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_j}$ is a \mathbb{P}'_j -name of an ordinal $< \kappa$, and \mathbb{P}'_j satisfies the c.c.c.; hence for some $\alpha_j^* < \kappa$ we have $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}'_{1,j}}$ " $\alpha_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_j}^* \leq \alpha_j^*$ " so the quasiorder $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_j$ is a subset of $\alpha_j^* \times \alpha_j^*$. For any $\beta, \gamma < \alpha^*$, there is a maximal antichain $\mathcal{I}_{j,\beta,\gamma}$ of $\mathbb{P}'_{1,j}$ of conditions forcing " $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_j \Vdash \beta \leq \gamma$, so $\beta \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_j, \gamma \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_j$ " or forcing its negation. We choose $\bar{\mathcal{I}} = \langle \mathcal{I}_{j,\beta,\gamma} : j < \chi, \beta, \gamma < \alpha_j^* \rangle$.

Let $A_j = \bigcup_{\beta,\gamma < \alpha_j^*} \bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{I}_{j,\beta,\gamma}} \text{Dom}(p)$, so $|A_j| < \kappa$; all this for every $j < \chi$. Let $A \subseteq \chi$ be called $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -closed if $(\forall j \in A)(A_j \subseteq A)$ and clearly for a permutation π of χ , changing the order of the iteration to $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\pi(0)}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\pi(1)}, \dots$ is OK provided that

$i \in A_j \Rightarrow \pi(i) \in \pi(j)$. Now in M we can compute $\mathbf{j}(\bar{\mathcal{I}})$, hence $\langle A_i^M : i < \mathbf{j}(\chi) \rangle$; now easily $A_{\mathbf{j}(j)}^M = \{\mathbf{j}(i) : i \in A_j\}$ as $|A_j| < \kappa$. Now in \mathbf{V} all the relevant properties of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}'$ are preserved. In \mathbf{V} let $A = \{\mathbf{j}(i) : i < \chi\} \subseteq \mathbf{j}(\chi)$, which is $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}'$ -closed by the form of $A_{\mathbf{j}(j)}^M$. Hence the reordering suggested above ($\pi(\mathbf{j}(i)) = i$ for $i \in A$ and $\pi(j) = \chi + \text{otp}\{\varepsilon : \varepsilon < i, \varepsilon \notin A\}$) is OK.]

So we are done. □

A.6. REMARKS. 1) If $\kappa < \lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda) \leq \kappa$ we can even get $\text{MA}_{<\kappa, <\lambda}$ in A.2; just use iteration of length $\chi \times \lambda$.

2) If D is a normal ultrafilter on $[\theta]^{<\kappa}$, to which $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \theta\}$ belongs, $A_\alpha \in [\alpha]^{<\kappa}$, then in $\mathbb{V}^{\mathbb{P}}$, D generates a normal filter D' with $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})/D$ σ -centered.