# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS

Volume 3, 1978

Pages 199-204

http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

## UNORDERED TYPES OF ULTRAFILTERS

by

S. Shelah and M. E. Rudin

### **Topology Proceedings**

Web: http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

Mail: Topology Proceedings

Department of Mathematics & Statistics Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

 $\textbf{E-mail:} \quad topolog@auburn.edu$ 

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

#### UNORDERED TYPES OF ULTRAFILTERS

#### S. Shelah and M. E. Rudin

Suppose that  $\kappa$  is a cardinal. If U and V are ultrafilters on  $\kappa$  and  $f\colon \kappa \to \kappa$  is a function, we say that f(U) = V if  $\{f(H) \mid H \in U\} = V$ . We say that  $V \leq U$  if there exists an f with f(U) = V. We say that U and V are of the same type (or U = V) if both  $V \leq U$  and  $U \leq V$ . This is an equivalence relation and  $\leq$  then induces a partial order (called the Rudin-Keisler order  $\{1,3,4\}$ ) on the types of ultrafilters in  $\beta \kappa$  (the set of all ultrafilters on  $\kappa$ ).

Throughout this paper, a set of ultrafilters on  $\kappa$  is called unordered if its members are pairwise incompatible in the Rudin-Keisler order. Information about this partial order clearly has applications to the study of  $\beta\kappa$  as the Stone-Cech compactification of the discrete space of cardinality  $\kappa$  and to the construction of other counterexamples in topology. An absence of set-theoretic restrictions is especially important.

It has previously been shown [3] that there are  $2^K$  unordered types of ultrafilters on  $\kappa$ . It is the purpose of this paper to present a proof of S. Shelah that there are  $2^{2^K}$  unordered types of ultrafilters on  $\kappa$ .

The free set lemma of A. Hajnal [2] says that if  $|X| = \alpha$  and  $\beta < \alpha$  and  $F: X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  satisfies  $x \notin F(x)$  and  $|F(x)| < \beta$ , for all  $x \in X$ , then there is a  $Y \subset X$  with  $x \notin F(y)$  and  $y \notin F(x)$  for all x and y in Y and  $|Y| = \alpha$ .

If  $2^{2^K} > (2^K)^+$ , then setting  $X = \beta_K$ ,  $\alpha = 2^{2^K}$ ,  $\beta = (2^K)^+$ 

200 Shelah and Rudin

and  $F(U)=\{V\in\beta_{\kappa}\big|V< U\}$  for all  $U\in\beta_{\kappa}$ , then we have immediately from the free set lemma that there are  $2^{2^{\kappa}}$  unordered types of ultrafilters on  $\kappa$ . The following theorem thus completes the proof.

Theorem (Shelah). There are  ${(2^K)}^+$  unordered types of ultrafilters on  $\kappa.$ 

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ , let  $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G} \cup \{\kappa - G | G \in \mathcal{G}\}$  and  $\mathcal{G}^* = \{ \mathsf{N} \mathcal{K} | \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{G}, \ \mathcal{K} \text{ is finite, and } G \in \mathcal{K} \text{ implies } (\kappa - G) \notin \mathcal{K}$  and  $G \neq \emptyset \}$ . If  $\mathcal{K} = \emptyset$ , then  $\mathsf{N} \mathcal{K} = \kappa$ .

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an independent family of subsets of  $\kappa$ : i.e., (1)  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ , (2)  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}'$ , and (3) no term of  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is empty. Choose  $\mathcal{I}$  of cardinaltiy  $2^{\kappa}$ .

Define  $\Sigma = \{2^K\}$  if  $2^K$  is regular. Otherwise let  $\Sigma$  be a cofinal in  $2^K$  set of uncountable regular cardinals with no limit of members of  $\Sigma$  belonging to  $\Sigma$ .

Our task would be relatively simple if  $2^K$  were regular. Since  $2^K$  may be singular the standard technique of partitioning  $2^K$  into  $\Sigma$  is necessary as is the defining of  $P_\gamma$  below for  $\gamma < 2^K$  and the reindexing of  $\kappa^K$  and  ${\cal J}$  in the middle of our inductive construction. For infinite  $\gamma$ , observe by induction that the cardinality of  $P_\gamma$  is  $|\gamma|$ ; only in retrospect is it clear that  $P_\gamma$  is precisely those subsets of  $\kappa$  which might have been used by the  $\gamma$ th stage of our induction.

Index 
$$\kappa^K = \{g_{\gamma} | \gamma < 2^K\}$$
 and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_{\gamma} | \gamma < 2^K\}$ .

We now define  $P_{\gamma} \subset \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$  for each  $\gamma < 2^{K}$  by induction. Let  $Q_{\gamma} = \bigcup_{\delta < \gamma} P_{\delta}$  and  $R_{\gamma} = \bigcup_{\delta < \gamma} Q_{\gamma}$ .

If  $T \in R_{\gamma}^{\star}$ ,  $F \in (Q_{\gamma} - R_{\gamma})$ ,  $f = g_{\delta}$  for some  $\delta < \gamma$ , and there is an  $S \in (\mathcal{F} - R_{\gamma}^{!})^{\star}$  such that  $\emptyset \neq (T \cap S) \subset f^{-1}(F)$ ,

then define S(T,F,f) = S for some such S. Otherwise S(T,F,f) is undefined.

Define  $P_{\gamma}$  to be the set of all  $X \subseteq \kappa$  such that at least one of the following:

- (1) X  $\in$  Q'  $\cup$  Q'  $\cup$  {F $_{\delta}$ }  $\cup$  { $\kappa$  F $_{\delta}$ } where  $\delta$  is minimal for F $_{\delta}$   $\in$  ( $\mathcal{F}$  Q'), or
  - (2)  $X = f_{\delta}^{-1}(Y)$  for some  $\delta < \gamma$  and  $Y \in Q_{\gamma}$ , or
- (3) X = S(T,F,f) for some T  $\in$  R $_{\gamma}$ , F  $\in$  Q $_{\gamma}$  R $_{\gamma}$ , and f = g $_{\delta}$  for some  $\delta < \gamma$ .

Reindex  $\mathcal{F}=\{G_{\gamma}|\gamma<2^K\}$  in such a way that, if  $\sigma\in\Sigma$ , then  $\{G_{\gamma}|\gamma<\sigma\}=\mathcal{F}\cap P_{\sigma}$ .

The construction. By induction for each  $\alpha<(2^K)^+$  we construct an ultrafilter  $U_\alpha$  on  $\kappa$ ; we then prove that the  $U_\alpha$ s are unordered.

So fix  $\alpha<(2^K)^+$  and assume that  $U_\beta$  has been defined for all  $\beta<\alpha$ . Index  $\{\beta<\alpha\}=\{\alpha_\gamma|\gamma<2^K\}$ . Then reindex  $\{\beta<\alpha\}=\{\beta_\gamma|\gamma<2^K\}$ ,  $\kappa^K=\{f_\gamma|\gamma<2^K\}$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)=\{T_\gamma|\gamma<2^K\}$  in such a way that, if  $\sigma\in\Sigma$ ,  $f=g_\delta$  for some  $\delta<\sigma$ ,  $\beta=\alpha_\rho$  for some  $\rho<\sigma$ , and  $T\in P_\sigma$ , then  $\{\gamma<\sigma|\beta_\gamma=\beta$ ,  $f_\gamma=f$ , and  $T_\gamma=T\}$  is stationary in  $\sigma$ . Since there are  $\sigma$  disjoint stationary subsets of  $\sigma$ , and  $\{g_\delta|\delta<\sigma\}$ ,  $\{\alpha_\rho|\rho<\sigma\}$  and  $P_\sigma$  all have cardinality at most  $\sigma$ , this is no problem.

For each  $\gamma<2^K$  we now inductively construct a filter  $\mathtt{U}_{\alpha}(\gamma)\,;\;\mathtt{U}_{\alpha}\text{ will be an extension of }\mathtt{U}_{\gamma<2^K}\mathtt{U}_{\alpha}(\gamma)\text{ to an ultrafilter.}$ 

So assume that  $\gamma$  < 2<sup>K</sup> and let  $V_{\alpha}(\gamma) = \bigcup_{\delta < \gamma} U_{\alpha}(\delta)$  be given. Let  $\sigma$  be the minimal member of  $\Sigma$  greater than  $\gamma$ .

Define  $Z_{\gamma} = \{z \subset P_{\sigma} | V_{\alpha}(\gamma) \subset z, z - V_{\alpha}(\gamma) \text{ is finite, } z \}$ 

202 Shelah and Rudin

is a filter, and no term of  $(Z \cup (\mathcal{F} - Z'))^*$  is empty}.

Our induction hypothesis is that  $\mathbf{U}_{\alpha}(\delta) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\delta}$  for all  $\delta$  <  $\gamma$  .

Define  ${\rm U}_{\alpha}(\gamma)={\rm V}_{\alpha}(\gamma)$  unless for some limit  $\lambda$  we have one of the following cases.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \textit{Case} & \text{(0).} & \gamma = \lambda \text{ and there are } Z \in \textit{Z}_{\gamma}, \ F \in \textbf{U}_{\beta_{\lambda}}, \ \text{and} \\ \textbf{0} \neq \textbf{Y} \in \textbf{Z}^{\star} \text{ such that } \textbf{Y} \subset \textbf{f}_{\lambda}^{-1}(\kappa - F). & \text{In this case let} \\ \textbf{U}_{\alpha}(\gamma) = \textbf{Z} \text{ for some such } \textbf{Z}. & \text{Observe that } \textbf{f}_{\lambda}(\textbf{U}_{\alpha}) \neq \textbf{U}_{\beta_{\lambda}} \text{ in this case.} \end{array}$ 

Case (1).  $\gamma = \lambda + 1$ ,  $T_{\lambda} \in P_{\sigma}$ ,  $f_{\lambda} = g_{\delta}$  for some  $\delta < \sigma$ , and there is an  $F \in ((P_{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{F}) - V_{\alpha}(\gamma)')$  such that  $S(T_{\lambda}, F, f_{\lambda})$  is defined. In this case let  $U_{\alpha}(\gamma) = \{\kappa - F\} \cup V_{\alpha}(\gamma)$  for some such F.

Case (2).  $\gamma = \lambda + 2$ . Let  $\delta$  be minimal for  $G_{\delta} \in (\mathcal{F} - V_{\alpha}(\gamma))$ ; let F be the one of  $G_{\delta}$  and  $(\kappa - G_{\delta})$  such that  $f^{-1}(F)$  does not belong to  $U_{\beta\lambda}$ . Define  $U_{\alpha}(\gamma) = V_{\alpha}(\gamma) \cup \{F\}$  in this case. Observe that this case assures us that  $f_{\lambda}(U_{\beta\lambda}) \neq U_{\alpha}$  and that  $U_{\alpha}(\sigma)' \supset P_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $U_{\alpha}$  be an arbitrary extension of  $\{U_{\alpha}(\gamma) \mid \gamma < 2^K\}$  to an ultrafilter. It remains to prove that  $\{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < (2^K)^+\}$  are unordered; (I) and (II) below complete this proof.

Assume  $\beta < \alpha < (2^K)^+$  and  $f \in \kappa^K$ . There are  $\mu$  and  $\eta$  in  $2^K$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that  $f = g_{\mu}$  and  $\beta = \alpha_{\eta}$ ,  $\mu < \sigma$  and  $\eta < \sigma$ . Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda < \sigma | \lambda \text{ is a limit and } \beta_{\lambda} = \beta \text{ and } f_{\lambda} = f \text{ (in the } \alpha \text{ indexing)}\}.$ 

(I) 
$$f(U_{\beta}) \neq U_{\alpha}$$
.

Proof. By our indexing there is a  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and by case (2)  $f(U_{\beta}) \neq U_{\alpha}.$ 

(II) 
$$f(U_{\alpha}) \neq U_{\beta}$$
.

*Proof.* For  $T \in (U_{\alpha} \cap P_{\sigma})^*$ , let  $\Delta_{T} = \{\delta < \sigma | S(T,F,f) \text{ is defined for some } F \in ((\mathcal{F} \cap P_{\sigma}) - P_{\delta})\}.$ 

Case (a). There is a T with  $\Delta_{\mathbf{T}} = \sigma$ .

Choose  $\lambda$   $\in$   $\Lambda$  with  $\mathbf{T}_{\lambda}$  = T. There is a  $\gamma$   $\in$   $\sigma$  with  $\mathbf{U}_{\alpha}$   $(\lambda)$   $\subset$   $\mathbf{P}_{\gamma}$  .

Choose a limit  $\lambda$ ' <  $\sigma$  in the  $\beta$  indexing with  $f = f_{\lambda}$ , and  $T = T_{\lambda}$ , and  $(\mathcal{F} \cap P_{\gamma}) \subset V_{\beta}(\lambda')$ '; by our indexing and case (2) this is possible. Since there is a  $\delta$  <  $\sigma$  with  $V_{\beta}(\lambda')$ '  $\subset P_{\delta}$  and  $\Delta_T = \sigma$ , there is an  $F \in ((P_{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{F}) - V_{\beta}(\lambda'))$ ') such that S(T,F,f) is defined. Thus, by case (1), there is a  $(\kappa - F) \in U_{\beta}$  for some such F. Since  $F \notin V_{\beta}(\lambda')$ '  $\supset (P_{\gamma} \cap \mathcal{F})$ ,  $F \in Q_{\rho} - R_{\rho}$  for some  $\rho > (\gamma + 1)$ . Thus  $S = S(T,F,f) \in (\mathcal{F} - R_{\rho})^* \subset (\mathcal{F} - P_{\gamma})^* \subset (\mathcal{F} - U_{\alpha}(\lambda)')^*$ ; also  $S \in P_{\sigma}$ . Thus by our inductive hypotheses,  $Z = (V_{\alpha}(\lambda) \cup S) \in \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda}$ . Since  $T \in V_{\alpha}(\lambda)$ ,  $Y = (T \cap S) \in Z^*$ . Since  $Y \subset f^{-1}(F)$  and  $(\kappa - F) \in U_{\beta}$ , by case (0), we chose such a  $Z = U_{\alpha}(\lambda)$ , hence such an  $f^{-1}(F) \in U_{\alpha}$ . So  $(\kappa - F) \in U_{\beta}$  implies  $U_{\alpha} \neq U_{\beta}$ .

Case (b).  $\Delta_{\mathbf{T}}$  <  $\sigma$  for all T.

For each  $\delta < \sigma$  choose  $\delta^* < \sigma$  such that, for all  $T \in U_{\alpha}(\delta)$ ,  $\Delta_T \subset \delta^*$ ,  $((P_{\delta} \cap \mathcal{F}) \subset U_{\alpha}(\delta^*)')$  and  $U_{\alpha}(\delta)' \subset P_{\delta^*}$ . Choose  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $\gamma < \lambda$  implies  $\gamma^* < \lambda$ . Then choose  $F \in (P_{\lambda} \cap \mathcal{F})$  and let F be the one of F and  $(\kappa - F)$  which belongs to  $U_{\delta}$ .

204 Shelah and Rudin

If  $(\{f^{-1}(\kappa - F)\} \cup V_{\alpha}(\lambda)) \in Z_{\lambda}$ , then, by case (0)  $f(U_{\alpha}) \neq U_{\beta}$ .

If  $(\{f^{-1}(\kappa - F)\} \cup V_{\alpha}(\lambda)) \not\in Z_{\lambda}$ , then there is an  $S \in (\mathcal{F} - V_{\alpha}(\lambda)')^*$  and  $T \in V_{\alpha}(\lambda)^*$  such that  $\emptyset \neq (S \cap T) \subset f^{-1}(F)$ . Since, for all  $\delta < \lambda$ ,  $(P_{\delta} \cap \mathcal{F}) \subset U_{\alpha}(\delta')$  and  $U_{\alpha}(\delta)' \subset P_{\delta^*}$ ,  $(Q_{\lambda} \cap \mathcal{F}) \subset V_{\alpha}(\lambda)'$  and  $V_{\alpha}(\lambda) \subset Q_{\lambda}$ . Thus  $F \in (Q_{\lambda+1} - R_{\lambda+1})$ ,  $S \in (\mathcal{F} - R_{\lambda+1})^*$ , and  $T \in R_{\lambda+1}^*$ . Hence S(T,F,f) is defined. But  $T \in U_{\alpha}(\delta)$  for some  $\delta < \lambda$ ,  $\delta^* < \lambda$ , and  $\Delta_T \subset \delta^*$ . Since  $F \notin Q_{\lambda}$ , this is a contradiction of the definition of  $\Delta_m$ .

#### **Bibliography**

- 1. C. C. Chang and H. J. Keisler, *Model theory*, North Holland, New York.
- 2. I. Juhasz, Cardinal functions in topology, Math. Centre tracts 34, Amsterdam, 1975 (A. 3.5) 96.
- K. Kunen, Ultrafilters and independent sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 172 (1972), 299-306.
- 4. M. E. Rudin, Partial orders on types in  $\beta N$ , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 155 (1971), 353-362.

The Hebrew University

Jerusalem

and

University of Wisconsin Madison

Madison, Wisconsin 53706