



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



Combinatorics

## A note on small sets of reals

## Une remarque sur de petits ensembles de nombres réels

Tomek Bartoszynski<sup>a,1</sup>, Saharon Shelah<sup>b,2</sup><sup>a</sup> National Science Foundation, Division of Mathematical Sciences, 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22314, USA<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 16 April 2018

Accepted after revision 6 November 2018

Available online 12 November 2018

Presented by the Editorial Board

## ABSTRACT

We construct an example of a combinatorially large measure-zero set.

Published by Elsevier Masson SAS on behalf of Académie des sciences.

## R É S U M É

Nous construisons un exemple d'un ensemble combinatoirement grand, mais de mesure zéro.

Published by Elsevier Masson SAS on behalf of Académie des sciences.

## 1. Introduction

We will work in the space  $2^\omega$  equipped with standard topology and measure. More specifically, the topology is generated by basic open sets of the form  $[s] = \{x \in 2^\omega : s \subset x\}$  for  $s \in 2^a$ ,  $a \in \omega^{<\omega}$ . The measure is the standard product measure such that  $\mu([s]) = 2^{-|\text{dom}(s)|}$ ; let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the collection of all measure-zero sets.

Measure-zero sets in  $2^\omega$  admit the following representation (see Lemma 4):

$X \in \mathcal{N}$  iff and only if there exists a sequence  $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$  such that

- (1)  $F_n \subseteq 2^n$  for  $n \in \omega$ ,
- (2)  $\sum_{n \in \omega} \frac{|F_n|}{2^n} < \infty$ ,
- (3)  $X \subseteq \{x \in 2^\omega : \exists^\infty n \ x \upharpoonright n \in F_n\}$ .

The main drawback of this representation is that sets  $F_n$  have overlapping domains. The following definitions from [1] and [3] offer a refinement.

E-mail addresses: [tbartosz@nsf.gov](mailto:tbartosz@nsf.gov) (T. Bartoszynski), [shelah@math.huji.ac.il](mailto:shelah@math.huji.ac.il) (S. Shelah).

URL: <http://math.rutgers.edu/~shelah/> (S. Shelah).

<sup>1</sup> This material is based upon first author's work supported by and while serving at the National Science Foundation. Any opinion, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

<sup>2</sup> The second author was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1101597 and DMS-136974, publication 1139.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2018.11.003>

1631-073X/Published by Elsevier Masson SAS on behalf of Académie des sciences.

**Definition 1.**

(1) A set  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  is *small* ( $X \in \mathcal{S}$ ) if there exists a sequence  $\{I_n, J_n : n \in \omega\}$  such that

- (a)  $I_n \in [\omega]^{<\aleph_0}$  for  $n \in \omega$ ,
- (b)  $I_n \cap I_m = \emptyset$  for  $n \neq m$ ,
- (c)  $J_n \subseteq 2^{I_n}$  for  $n \in \omega$ ,
- (d)  $\sum_{n \in \omega} \frac{|J_n|}{2^{|I_n|}} < \infty$
- (e)  $X \subseteq \{x \in 2^\omega : \exists^\infty n \ x \upharpoonright I_n \in J_n\}$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\{I_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a partition of  $\omega$  into finite sets.

(2) We say that  $X$  is *small\** ( $X \in \mathcal{S}^*$ ) if, in addition, sets  $I_n$  are disjoint intervals, that is, if there exists a strictly increasing sequence of integers  $\{k_n : n \in \omega\}$  such that  $I_n = [k_n, k_{n+1})$  for each  $n$ .

Let  $(I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega}$  denote the set  $\{x \in 2^\omega : \exists^\infty n \ x \upharpoonright I_n \in J_n\}$ .

It is clear that  $\mathcal{S}^* \subseteq \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ .

Small sets are useful because of their combinatorial simplicity. To test that  $x \in X \in \mathcal{S}$  the real  $x$  must pass infinitely many *independent* tests as in Borel–Cantelli’s lemma. In section 3 we will show that various structurally simple measure-zero sets are small.

**Definition 2.** For families of sets  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ , let  $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$  be

$$\{X : \exists a \in \mathcal{A} \exists b \in \mathcal{B} (X \subset a \cup b)\}$$

Clearly, if  $\mathcal{J}$  is an ideal then  $\mathcal{J} \oplus \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}$ . Likewise,  $\mathcal{A} \cup (\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}) \cup (\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}) \cup \dots$  is an ideal for any  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Theorem 3.** [1]  $\mathcal{S}^* \oplus \mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ .

The main result of this paper is to show that the above result is the best possible, that is,  $\mathcal{S}^* \subsetneq \mathcal{S} \subsetneq \mathcal{N}$ . It was known ([1]) that  $\mathcal{S}^* \subsetneq \mathcal{N}$ .

**2. Preliminaries**

To make the paper complete and self contained we present a review of known results.

**Lemma 4.** Suppose that  $X \subset 2^\omega$ .  $X$  has measure zero iff and only if there exists a sequence  $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$  such that

- (1)  $F_n \subseteq 2^n$  for  $n \in \omega$ ,
- (2)  $\sum_{n \in \omega} \frac{|F_n|}{2^n} < \infty$ ,
- (3)  $X \subseteq \{x \in 2^\omega : \exists^\infty n \ x \upharpoonright n \in F_n\}$ .

**Proof.**  $\leftarrow$  Note that  $\{x \in 2^\omega : \exists^\infty n \ x \upharpoonright n \in F_n\} = \bigcap_{m \in \omega} \bigcup_{n \geq m} \{x \in 2^\omega : x \upharpoonright n \in F_n\}$ . Now,

$$\mu \left( \bigcup_{n \geq m} \{x \in 2^\omega : x \upharpoonright n \in F_n\} \right) \leq \sum_{n \geq m} \mu (\{x \in 2^\omega : x \upharpoonright n \in F_n\}) \leq \sum_{n \geq m} \frac{|F_n|}{2^n} \longrightarrow 0.$$

$\rightarrow$  If  $X$  has measure zero, then there exists a sequence of open sets  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  such that

- (1)  $\mu(U_n) \leq 2^{-n}$ , for each  $n$ ,
- (2)  $X \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n$ .

Find a sequence of  $\{s_m^n : n, m \in \omega\}$  such that

- (1)  $s_m^n \in 2^{<\omega}$ ,
- (2)  $[s_m^n] \cap [s_k^n] = \emptyset$  when  $k \neq m$ ,
- (3)  $U_n = \bigcup_{m \in \omega} [s_m^n]$ .

For  $k \in \omega$  let  $F_k = \{s_m^n : n, m \in \omega, |s_m^n| = k\}$ . Note that  $X \subseteq \{x \in 2^\omega : \exists^\infty k \ x \upharpoonright k \in F_k\}$  and that  $\sum_{k \in \omega} \frac{|F_k|}{2^k} \leq \sum_{n \in \omega} \mu(U_n) \leq 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** [1]  $\mathcal{S}^* \oplus \mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{N}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\mathcal{N}$  is an ideal,  $\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}$ . Consequently, it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{S}^* \oplus \mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{N}$ . The following theorem gives the required decomposition.

**Theorem 6** ([1]). Suppose that  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  is a measure-zero set. Then there exist sequences  $\langle n_k, m_k : k \in \omega \rangle$  and  $\langle J_k, J'_k : k \in \omega \rangle$  such that

- (1)  $n_k < m_k < n_{k+1}$  for all  $k \in \omega$ ,
- (2)  $J_k \subseteq 2^{[n_k, n_{k+1})}$ ,  $J'_k \subseteq 2^{[m_k, m_{k+1})}$  for  $k \in \omega$ ,
- (3) the sets  $([n_k, n_{k+1}), J_k)_{k \in \omega}$  and  $([m_k, m_{k+1}), J'_k)_{k \in \omega}$  are small\*, and
- (4)  $X \subseteq ([n_k, n_{k+1}), J_k)_{k \in \omega} \cup ([m_k, m_{k+1}), J'_k)_{k \in \omega}$ .

In particular, every null set is a union of two small\* sets.

**Proof.** Let  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  be a null set.

We can assume that  $X \subseteq \{x \in 2^\omega : \exists^\infty n \ x \upharpoonright n \in F_n\}$  for some sequence  $\langle F_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  satisfying conditions of Lemma 4.

Fix a sequence of positive reals  $\langle \varepsilon_k : k \in \omega \rangle$  such that  $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \varepsilon_k < \infty$ .

Define two sequences  $\langle n_k, m_k : k \in \omega \rangle$  as follows:  $n_0 = 0$ ,

$$m_k = \min \left\{ j > n_k : \sum_{i=j}^\infty \frac{|F_i|}{2^i} < \varepsilon_k \right\},$$

and

$$n_{k+1} = \min \left\{ j > m_k : \sum_{i=j}^\infty \frac{|F_i|}{2^i} < \varepsilon_k \right\} \text{ for } k \in \omega.$$

Let  $I_k = [n_k, n_{k+1})$  and  $I'_k = [m_k, m_{k+1})$  for  $k \in \omega$ . Define

$$s \in J_k \iff s \in 2^{I_k} \ \& \ \exists i \in [m_k, n_{k+1}) \ \exists t \in F_i \ s \upharpoonright \text{dom}(t) \cap \text{dom}(s) = t \upharpoonright \text{dom}(t) \cap \text{dom}(s).$$

Similarly

$$s \in J'_k \iff s \in 2^{I'_k} \ \& \ \exists i \in [n_{k+1}, m_{k+1}) \ \exists t \in F_i \ s \upharpoonright \text{dom}(t) \cap \text{dom}(s) = t \upharpoonright \text{dom}(t) \cap \text{dom}(s).$$

It remains to show that  $(I_k, J_k)_{k \in \omega}$  and  $(I'_k, J'_k)_{k \in \omega}$  are small sets and that their union covers  $X$ .

Consider the set  $(I_k, J_k)_{k \in \omega}$ . Notice that for  $k \in \omega$

$$\frac{|J_k|}{2^{I_k}} \leq 2^{n_k} \cdot \sum_{i=m_k}^{n_{k+1}} \frac{|F_i|}{2^i} \leq \varepsilon_k.$$

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \varepsilon_n < \infty$  this shows that the set  $(I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is null. An analogous argument shows that  $(I'_k, J'_k)_{k \in \omega}$  is null. Finally, we show that

$$X \subseteq (I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega} \cup (I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega}.$$

Suppose that  $x \in X$  and let  $Z = \{n \in \omega : x \upharpoonright n \in F_n\}$ . By the choice of  $F_n$ 's, the set  $Z$  is infinite. Therefore, one of the sets,

$$Z \cap \bigcup_{k \in \omega} [m_k, n_{k+1}) \quad \text{or} \quad Z \cap \bigcup_{k \in \omega} [n_{k+1}, m_{k+1}),$$

is infinite. Without loss of generality, we can assume that it is the first one. It follows that  $x \in (I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega}$  because, if  $x \upharpoonright n \in F_n$  and  $n \in [m_k, n_{k+1})$ , then by the definition there is  $t \in J_k$  such that  $x \upharpoonright [n_k, n_{k+1}) = t$ .  $\square$

Now let's turn attention to the family of small sets  $\mathcal{S}$ . Observe that the representation used in the definition of small sets is not unique. In particular, Lemma 7 follows easily.

**Lemma 7.** Suppose that  $(I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is a small set and  $\{a_k : k \in \omega\}$  is a partition of  $\omega$  into finite sets. For  $n \in \omega$ , define  $I'_n = \bigcup_{l \in a_n} I_l$  and  $J'_n = \{s \in 2^{I_n} : \exists l \in a_n \exists t \in J_l \ s \upharpoonright I_l = t \upharpoonright I_l\}$ . Then  $(I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega} = (I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega}$ .

**Lemma 8.** Suppose that  $(I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega}$  and  $(I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega}$  are two small sets. If  $\{I_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a finer partition than  $\{I'_n : n \in \omega\}$ , then  $(I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega} \cup (I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is a small set.

**Proof.** Define  $I''_n = I'_n$  for  $n \in \omega$  and let

$$J''_n = J'_n \cup \left\{ s \in 2^{I'_n} : \exists k \exists s \in J_k (I_k \subseteq I'_n \ \& \ s \upharpoonright I_k \in J_k) \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $(I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega} \cup (I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega} = (I''_n, J''_n)_{n \in \omega}$ .  $\square$

Since members of  $\mathcal{S}$  do not seem to form an ideal, we are interested in characterizing instances when a union of two sets in  $\mathcal{S}$  is in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Theorem 9.** Suppose that  $(I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega}$  and  $(I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega}$  are two small sets and  $(I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq (I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega}$ . Then there exists a set  $(I''_n, J''_n)_{n \in \omega}$  such that  $(I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq (I''_n, J''_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq (I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega}$  and such that the partition  $\{I''_n : n \in \omega\}$  is finer than both  $\{I_n : n \in \omega\}$  and  $\{I'_n : n \in \omega\}$ .

**Proof.** Let start with the following:

**Lemma 10.** Suppose that  $(I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega}$  and  $(I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega}$  are two small sets. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $(I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq (I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega}$ ,
- (2) for all but finitely many  $n \in \omega$  and for every  $s \in J_n$ , there exist  $m \in \omega$  and  $t \in J'_m$  such that

- (a)  $I_n \cap I'_m \neq \emptyset$ ,
- (b)  $s \upharpoonright (I_n \cap I'_m) = t \upharpoonright (I_n \cap I'_m)$ ,
- (c)  $\forall u \in 2^{I'_m \setminus I_n} \ t \upharpoonright (I_n \cap I'_m) \frown u \in J'_m$ .

**Proof.** (2)  $\rightarrow$  (1) Suppose that  $x \in (I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega}$ . Then, for infinitely many  $n$ ,  $x \upharpoonright I_n \in J_n$ . For all but finitely many of those  $n$ 's, conditions (b) and (c) of clause (2) guarantee that, for some  $m$  such that  $I_n \cap I'_m \neq \emptyset$ ,  $x \upharpoonright (I_n \cap I'_m) \frown x \upharpoonright (I'_m \setminus I_n) \in J'_m$ . Consequently,  $x \in (I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega}$ .

$\neg(2) \rightarrow \neg(1)$  Suppose that condition (2) fails. Then there exists an infinite set  $Z \subseteq \omega$  such that, for each  $n \in Z$ , there is  $s_n \in J_n$  such that, for every  $m$  such that  $I_n \cap I'_m \neq \emptyset$ , exactly one of the following conditions holds:

- (1)  $s_n \upharpoonright (I_n \cap I'_m) \neq t \upharpoonright (I_n \cap I'_m)$  for every  $t \in J'_m$ ,
- (2) there is  $t \in J'_m$  such that  $s_n \upharpoonright (I_n \cap I'_m) = t \upharpoonright (I_n \cap I'_m)$  but for some  $u = u_{n,m} \in 2^{I'_m \setminus I_n}$ ,  $t \upharpoonright (I_n \cap I'_m) \frown u_{n,m} \notin J'_m$ .

By thinning out the set  $Z$ , we can assume that no set  $I'_m$  intersects two distinct sets  $I_n$  for  $n \in Z$ . Also, for each  $m \in \omega$ , fix  $t^m \in 2^{I'_m}$  such that  $t^m \notin J'_m$ .

Let  $x \in 2^\omega$  be defined as follows:

$$x(l) = \begin{cases} s_n(l) & n \in Z \text{ and } l \in I_n \text{ and } u_{n,m} \text{ is not defined} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \in Z \text{ and } l \in I'_m \setminus I_n \text{ and } I_n \cap I'_m \neq \emptyset \text{ and } u_{n,m} \text{ is not defined} \\ s_n(l) & \text{if } n \in Z \text{ and } l \in I_n \cap I'_m \text{ and } u_{n,m} \text{ is defined} \\ u_{n,m}(l) & \text{if } n \in Z \text{ and } l \in I'_m \setminus I_n \text{ and } I_n \cap I'_m \neq \emptyset \text{ and } u_{n,m} \text{ is defined} \\ t^m(l) & \text{if } l \in I'_m \text{ and } I_n \cap I'_m = \emptyset \text{ for all } n \in Z \end{cases}.$$

Observe that the first two clauses define  $x \upharpoonright I'_m$  when  $I'_m \cap I_n \neq \emptyset$  for some  $n \in Z$  and  $u_{n,m}$  is undefined, the next two clauses define  $x \upharpoonright I'_m$  when  $I'_m \cap I_n \neq \emptyset$  for some  $n \in Z$  and  $u_{n,m}$  is defined, and finally the last clause defines  $x \upharpoonright I'_m$  when  $I'_m \cap I_n = \emptyset$  for all  $n \in Z$ . It is easy to see that these cases are mutually exclusive and that  $x \in (I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega}$  since  $x \upharpoonright I_n = s_n \in J_n$  for  $n \in Z$ . Finally, note that  $x \notin (I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega}$ , since by the choice of  $u_{n,m}$  (or property of  $s_n$ )  $x \upharpoonright I'_m \notin J'_m$  for all  $m$ .  $\square$

Suppose that  $(I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega}$  and  $(I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega}$  are two small sets and  $(I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq (I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega}$ . Consider the partition consisting of sets  $\{I_n \cap I'_m : n, m \in \omega\}$ . For each non-empty set  $I_n \cap I'_m$ , we define  $J''_{n,m} \subseteq 2^{I_n \cap I'_m}$  as follows:

$s \in J''_{n,m}$  if there is  $t \in J'_m$  such that  $s \upharpoonright (I_n \cap I'_m) = t \upharpoonright (I_n \cap I'_m)$  and for all  $u \in 2^{I'_m \setminus I_n}$   $t \upharpoonright (I_n \cap I'_m) \frown u \in J'_m$ .

Observe that the definition of  $J''_{n,m}$  does not depend on  $J_n$ .

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m,n \in \omega, I_n \cap I'_m \neq \emptyset} \frac{|J''_{m,n}|}{2^{|I_n \cap I'_m|}} &= \sum_{m \in \omega} \sum_{n \in \omega, I_n \cap I'_m \neq \emptyset} \frac{|J''_{m,n}|}{2^{|I_n \cap I'_m|}} = \\ \sum_{m \in \omega} \sum_{n \in \omega, I_n \cap I'_m \neq \emptyset} \frac{|J''_{n,m}| \cdot 2^{|I'_m \setminus I_n|}}{2^{|I'_k|} \cdot 2^{|I'_m \setminus I_n|}} &\leq \sum_{m \in \omega} \frac{|J'_m|}{2^{|I'_m|}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof, observe that, for  $x \in 2^\omega$ , whenever  $x \upharpoonright (I_n \cap I'_m) \in J''_{n,m}$ , then  $x \upharpoonright I'_m \in J'_m$ . Similarly, if  $x \upharpoonright I_n \in J_n$ , then by Lemma 10 there is  $m$  such that  $x \upharpoonright (I_n \cap I'_m) \in J''_{n,m}$ . It follows that  $(I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq (I_{n,m}, J''_{n,m})_{n,m \in \omega} \subseteq (I'_m, J'_m)_{m \in \omega}$ .  $\square$

### 3. When null sets are small?

Small sets are combinatorially simple and this is the main motivation to study them and investigating when measure-zero sets are small.

**Theorem 11.** *Suppose that  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  is a measure-zero set. Then  $X$  is small if*

- (1)  $|X| < 2^{\aleph_0}$ ,
- (2)  $X$  can be covered by a countable family of compact measure zero sets,
- (3)  $X$  is a Menger set, that is, no continuous image of  $X$  into  $\omega^\omega$  is a dominating family.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $X$  has measure zero and use Theorem 6 to find small sets  $(I_k, J_k)_{k \in \omega}$  and  $(I'_k, J'_k)_{k \in \omega}$  such that

- (1)  $X \subseteq (I_k, J_k)_{k \in \omega} \cup (I'_k, J'_k)_{k \in \omega}$ ,
- (2)  $I_k \subseteq I'_{k-1} \cup I'_k$  and  $I'_k \subseteq I_k \cup I_{k+1}$  for each  $k > 0$ .

For each  $x \in X$  let  $Z_x = \{k : x \upharpoonright I_k \in J_k\}$ . Note that  $x \rightsquigarrow Z_x$  is a continuous mapping from  $X$  into  $[\omega]^\omega$  (which is homeomorphic to  $\omega^\omega$ .)

**Definition 12.** A family  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$  has property **Q** if

$$\forall Z \in [\omega]^\omega \exists A \in \mathcal{A} A \subseteq Z.$$

**Lemma 13.** *If  $\{Z_x : x \in X\}$  does not have property **Q** then  $X$  is small.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $Z$  witnesses that  $\{Z_x : x \in X\}$  does not have property **Q**, that is that  $Z_x \setminus Z \in [\omega]^\omega$  for every  $x \in X$ . Let  $z_0 < z_1 < z_2 < \dots$  be an increasing enumeration of  $Z$ . Note that, for every  $x \in X$ , if  $x \in (I_k, J_k)_{k \in \omega}$ , then  $x \in (I_k, J_k)_{k \notin Z}$ . Consequently,  $X \subseteq (I_k, J_k)_{k \notin Z} \cup (I'_k, J'_k)_{k \in \omega}$ . We will show that this set is small.

Let  $I''_k = \bigcup_{j \in [z_k, z_{k+1})} I'_j$ , and use Lemma 7 to find  $\{J''_k : k \in \omega\}$  such that  $(I'_k, J'_k)_{k \in \omega} = (I''_k, J''_k)_{k \in \omega}$ . Now Lemma 8 completes the proof, as the partition  $\{I_k : k \notin Z\}$  is finer than partition  $\{I''_k : k \in \omega\}$ .  $\square$

To finish the proof, note that no family of size  $< 2^{\aleph_0}$  has property **Q** because there is an almost disjoint family of size continuum. The remaining two cases follow from the fact that every family of subsets of  $\omega$  with property **Q** is dominating.  $\square$

The following result shows that measure-zero sets endowed with sum structure are small as well.

**Theorem 14** ([2], [4]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on  $\omega$ . Then if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a measurable, then  $\mathcal{F}$  can be covered by a small set.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a measurable filter on  $\omega$  identified with a subset of  $2^\omega$  via characteristic functions of its elements. By virtue of 0-1 law, this means that  $\mathcal{F}$  is of measure zero (measure one case is clearly impossible). Fix a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n : n \in \omega\}$  of positive reals such that  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^k \varepsilon_k < \infty$ .

Since  $\mathcal{F}$  has measure zero, we can find sequences  $\langle n_k, m_k : k \in \omega \rangle$  and  $\langle J_k, J'_k : k \in \omega \rangle$  as in Theorem 6 such that  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq ((n_k, n_{k+1}), J_k)_{k \in \omega} \cup ((m_k, m_{k+1}), J'_k)_{k \in \omega}$ .

If  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq ((n_k, n_{k+1}), J_k)_{k \in \omega}$  or if  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq ((m_k, m_{k+1}), J'_k)_{k \in \omega}$ , then we are done, since both sets are small.

Therefore, assume that neither set covers  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Define for  $k \in \omega$

$$S_k = \{s \in 2^{[n_k, m_k)} : s \text{ has at least } 2^{n_{k+1} - m_k - k} \text{ extensions inside } J_k\}.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\frac{|S_n|}{2^{m_k - n_k}} \leq 2^k \varepsilon_k$$

holds for  $k \in \omega$ .

Similarly, if we define

$$S'_k = \{s \in 2^{[n_k, m_m]} : s \text{ has at least } 2^{n_k - m_{k-1} - k} \text{ extensions inside } J'_k\}$$

then, by the same argument, we have that

$$\frac{|S'_k|}{2^{m_k - n_k}} \leq 2^k \varepsilon_k$$

for all  $k \in \omega$ .

Consider the set  $([n_k, m_k], S_k \cup S'_k)_{k \in \omega}$ . This set is small since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |S_k \cup S'_k| 2^{n_k - m_k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \varepsilon_k < \infty$ .

Now we have three small sets

- (1)  $H_1 = ([n_k, n_{k+1}], J_k)_{k \in \omega}$ ,
- (2)  $H_2 = ([m_k, m_{k+1}], J'_k)_{k \in \omega}$ ,
- (3)  $H_3 = ([n_k, m_k], S_k \cup S'_k)_{k \in \omega}$ .

If  $\mathcal{F} \subset H_2 \cup H_3$ , we are done since, by Lemma 8,  $H_2 \cup H_3$  is a small set. Therefore, assume that there exists  $X \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $X \not\subset H_2 \cup H_3$ . Since  $\mathcal{F} \subset H_1 \cup H_2$ , we get that  $X \in H_1$ . Let  $\{k_u : u \in \omega\}$  be an increasing sequence enumerating set

$$\{k \in \omega : X \upharpoonright [n_k, n_{k+1}] \in J_k\}.$$

Define for  $u \in \omega$

$$\bar{I}_u = [m_{k_u+1}, n_{k_u+1}] \text{ and}$$

$$\bar{J}_u = \{s \in 2^{I_u} : X \upharpoonright [n_{k_u}, m_{k_u+1}] \frown s \in J_{k_u} \text{ or } s \frown X \upharpoonright [n_{k_u+1}, m_{k_u+1}] \in J'_{k_u+1}\}.$$

By the choice of  $X$ ,  $X \upharpoonright [n_{k_u}, n_{k_u+1}] \in \bar{J}_{k_u}$  but  $X \upharpoonright [n_{k_u}, n_{k_u+1}] \notin S_{k_u} \cup S'_{k_u}$  for sufficiently large  $u \in \omega$ . Thus  $|\bar{J}_u| 2^{-|\bar{I}_u|} \leq 2^{-u}$  for all but finitely many  $u \in \omega$ . Hence the set  $H_4 = (\bar{I}_u, \bar{J}_u)_{u \in \omega}$  is small.

**Lemma 15.**  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq H_4$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not contained in  $H_4$  and let  $Y \in \mathcal{F} \setminus H_4$ .

Define  $Z \in 2^\omega$  as follows

$$Z(n) = \begin{cases} Y(n) & \text{if } n \in \bigcup_{u \in \omega} \bar{I}_u \\ X(n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ for } n \in \omega.$$

Notice that  $Z \in \mathcal{F}$  since  $X \cap Y \subseteq Z$ . We will show that  $Z \notin H_1 \cup H_2$ , which gives a contradiction.

Consider an interval  $I_m = [n_m, n_{m+1}]$ . It suffices to show that  $Z \upharpoonright I_m \notin J_m$  when  $m$  is large enough.

If  $m \neq k_u$  for every  $u \in \omega$ , then  $I_m \cap \bigcup_{u \in \omega} \bar{I}_u = \emptyset$  and  $Z \upharpoonright I_m = X \upharpoonright I_m \notin J_m$ .

On the other hand, if  $m = k_u$  for some  $u \in \omega$  then  $X \upharpoonright I_m \in \bar{J}_m$ , but by the choice of  $X$ ,  $Z \upharpoonright [n_{k_u}, m_{k_u}] = X \upharpoonright [n_{k_u}, m_{k_u}]$  has only few extensions inside  $J_{n_{k_u}}$  (since  $X \notin H_3$ ). More specifically, if  $Z \upharpoonright I_m \in J_m$  then  $Z \upharpoonright I_u$  has to be an element of  $\bar{J}_u$ . But this is impossible since  $Z \upharpoonright I_u = Y \upharpoonright I_u \notin \bar{J}_u$  for sufficiently large  $u \in \omega$ . The proof that  $Z \notin H_2$  is the same and uses the second clause in the definition of set  $H_4$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Small sets versus measure-zero sets

In this section, we will prove the main result.

**Theorem 16.** *There exists a null set which is not small, that is  $\mathcal{S} \not\subseteq \mathcal{N}$ .*

**Proof.** We will use the following.

**Lemma 17.** *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and sufficiently large  $n \in \omega$ , there exists a set  $A \subset 2^n$  such that  $\frac{|A|}{2^n} < \varepsilon$  and, for every  $u \subset n$  such that*

$$\frac{n}{4} \leq |u| \leq \frac{3n}{4}, \text{ and } B_0 \subset 2^u \text{ and } B_1 \subset 2^{n \setminus u} \text{ such that } \frac{|B_0|}{2^{|u|}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \frac{|B_1|}{2^{|n \setminus u|}} \geq \frac{1}{2}, \text{ we have } (B_0 \times B_1) \cap A \neq \emptyset.$$

**Proof.** The key case is when  $\varepsilon$  is very small and sets  $B_0, B_1$  have relative measure approximately  $\frac{1}{2}$ . In such a case,  $B_0 \times B_2$  has relative measure  $\frac{1}{4}$ , yet it intersects  $A$ . Fix large  $n \in \omega$  and choose  $A \subset 2^n$  randomly. That is, for each  $s \in 2^n$ , the probability  $\text{Prob}(s \in A) = \varepsilon$  and for  $s, s' \in 2^n$ , events  $s \in A$  and  $s' \in A$  are independent. It is well known that, for large enough  $n$ , the set constructed this way will have measure  $\varepsilon$  (with negligible error).

Fix  $n/4 \leq |u| \leq 3n/4$  and let

$$\mathcal{B}_u = \left\{ (B_0, B_1) : B_0 \subset 2^u, B_1 \subset 2^{n \setminus u} \text{ and } \frac{|B_0|}{2^{|u|}}, \frac{|B_1|}{2^{|n \setminus u|}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

Note that  $|\mathcal{B}_u| \leq 2^{2^{|u|} + 2^{|n \setminus u|}} \leq 2^{2^{\frac{3n}{4} + 1}}$ .

For  $(B_0, B_1) \in \mathcal{B}_u$ ,  $\text{Prob}((B_0 \times B_1) \cap A = \emptyset) = (1 - \varepsilon)^{|B_0 \times B_1|} \leq (1 - \varepsilon)^{2^{n-2}}$ . Consequently,

$$\text{Prob}(\exists (B_0, B_1) \in \mathcal{B}_u (B_0 \times B_1) \cap A = \emptyset) \leq |\mathcal{B}_u| (1 - \varepsilon)^{2^{n-2}} \leq 2^{2^{\frac{3n}{4} + 1}} (1 - \varepsilon)^{2^{n-2}}.$$

Finally, since we have at most  $2^n$  possible sets  $u$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prob}(\exists u \exists (B_0, B_1) \in \mathcal{B}_u (B_0 \times B_1) \cap A = \emptyset) &\leq \\ 2^n |\mathcal{B}_u| (1 - \varepsilon)^{2^{n-2}} &\leq 2^{2^{\frac{3n}{4} + n + 1}} (1 - \varepsilon)^{2^{n-2}} \leq 2^{2^{\frac{7n}{8}}} (1 - \varepsilon)^{2^{n-2}} \leq \\ 2^{2^{\frac{7n}{8}}} (1 - \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \varepsilon 2^{n-2}} &\leq \frac{2^{2^{\frac{7n}{8}}}}{2^{\varepsilon 2^{n-2}}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there is a non-zero probability that a randomly chosen set  $A$  has the required properties. In particular, such a set must exist.  $\square$

Let  $\{k_n^0, k_n^1 : n \in \omega\}$  be two sequences defined as  $k_n^0 = n(n+1)$  and  $k_n^1 = n^2$  for  $n > 0$ .

Let  $I_n^0 = [k_n^0, k_{n+1}^0)$  and  $I_n^1 = [k_n^1, k_{n+1}^1)$  for  $n \in \omega$ . Observe that the sequences are selected such that

- (1)  $|I_n^0| = 2n + 2$  and  $|I_n^1| = 2n + 1$  for  $n \in \omega$ ,
- (2)  $I_n^0 \subset I_n^1 \cup I_{n+1}^1$  for  $n > 0$ ,
- (3)  $I_n^1 \subset I_{n-1}^0 \cup I_n^0$  for  $n > 1$ ,
- (4)  $|I_n^0 \cap I_n^1| = |I_n^1 \cap I_{n-1}^0| = n$  for  $n > 1$ ,
- (5)  $|I_n^0 \cap I_{n+1}^1| = |I_n^1 \cap I_n^0| = n + 1$  for  $n > 1$ .

Finally, for  $n > 0$  let  $J_n^0 \subset 2^{I_n^0}$  and  $J_n^1 \subset 2^{I_n^1}$  be selected as in Lemma 17 for  $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$ . Easy calculation shows that for  $n \geq 140$ , the sets  $J_n^0$  and  $J_n^1$  are defined and have the required properties.

Suppose that  $(I_n^0, J_n^0)_{n \in \omega} \cup (I_n^1, J_n^1)_{n \in \omega} \subset (I_n^2, J_n^2)_{n \in \omega}$ .

CASE 1 There exists  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  and infinitely many  $n, m \in \omega$  such that

$$\frac{|I_m^i|}{4} \leq |I_m^i \cap I_n^2| \leq \frac{3|I_m^i|}{4}.$$

Without loss of generality,  $i = 0$ . Let  $\{a_k : k \in \omega\}$  be a partition of  $\omega$  into finite sets. For  $n \in \omega$  define  $I'_n = \bigcup_{l \in a_n} I_l^2$  and  $J'_n = \{s \in 2^{I'_n} : \exists l \in a_n \exists t \in J_l^2 s \upharpoonright I_l^2 = t \upharpoonright I_l^2\}$ . By Lemma 7, we know that  $(I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega} = (I_n^2, J_n^2)_{n \in \omega}$  no matter what is the choice of the partition  $\{a_k : k \in \omega\}$ .

Consequently, let us choose  $\{a_k : k \in \omega\}$  and an infinite set  $Z \subseteq \omega$  such that

- (1) for every  $m \in Z$  there is  $n \in \omega$  such that  $\frac{|I_m^0|}{4} \leq |I_m^0 \cap I'_n| \leq \frac{3|I_m^0|}{4}$ ,
- (2) for every  $m \in Z$  there exists  $n \in \omega$  such that  $I_m^0 \subset I'_n \cup I'_{n+1}$ ,
- (3) for every  $n \in \omega$  there is at most one  $m \in Z$  such that  $I_m^0 \cap I'_n \neq \emptyset$ .

To construct the required partition  $\{a_k : k \in \omega\}$ , we inductively glue together the sets  $I_l^2$  as follows: suppose that  $m$  is such that there is  $n$  such that  $\frac{|I_m^0|}{4} \leq |I_m^0 \cap I_n^2| \leq \frac{3|I_m^0|}{4}$ . Then we define  $a_n = \{n\}$  and  $a_{n+1} = \{u : I_m^0 \cap I_u^2 \neq \emptyset \text{ and } u \neq n\}$ . Let  $Z$  be the subset of the collection of  $m$ 's selected as above, that is, thin enough to satisfy condition (3).

Recall that  $(I_n^0, J_n^0)_{n \in \omega} \subseteq (I_n^2, J_n^2)_{n \in \omega} = (I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega}$ .

Working towards contradiction, fix  $m \in Z$ , and let  $I_m^0 \subseteq I'_n \cup I'_{n+1}$  (in this case  $I'_n = I_n^2$ ). By Lemma 10, it follows that, if  $m$  is large enough, then for every  $s \in J_m^0$  either

- (1) for every  $u \in 2^{I'_n \setminus I_m^0}$  we have  $s \upharpoonright (J_m^0 \cap I'_n) \frown u \in J'_n$ , or
- (2) for every  $u \in 2^{I'_{n+1} \setminus I_m^0}$  we have  $s \upharpoonright (I_m^0 \cap I'_{n+1}) \frown u \in J'_{n+1}$ .

Let  $J''_n = \{s \in 2^{I_m^0 \cap I'_n} : \forall u \in 2^{I'_n \setminus I_m^0} s \frown u \in J'_n\}$  and  $J''_{n+1} = \{s \in 2^{I_m^0 \cap I'_{n+1}} : \forall u \in 2^{I'_{n+1} \setminus I_m^0} s \frown u \in J'_{n+1}\}$ .

Clearly,  $\frac{|J''_n|}{2^{|I'_n \cap I_m^0|}} \leq \frac{|J'_n|}{2^{|I'_n|}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{|J''_{n+1}|}{2^{|I'_{n+1} \cap I_m^0|}} \leq \frac{|J'_{n+1}|}{2^{|I'_{n+1}|}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

Let  $B_n = 2^{I_m^0 \cap I'_n} \setminus J''_n$  and  $B_{n+1} = 2^{I_m^0 \cap I'_{n+1}} \setminus J''_{n+1}$ .

It follows that  $\frac{|B_n|}{2^{|I'_n \cap I_m^0|}}, \frac{|B_{n+1}|}{2^{|I'_{n+1} \cap I_m^0|}} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . By Lemma 17 and the definition of set  $(I_m^0, J_m^0)_{m \in \omega}$ , there is  $s_m \in (B_n \times B_{n+1}) \cap J_m^0$ .

Consequently, there is  $t_m \in 2^{I'_n \cup I'_{n+1}}$  such that  $t_m \upharpoonright I_m^0 = s_m \in J_m^0$ , but  $t_m \upharpoonright I'_n \notin J'_n$  and  $t_m \upharpoonright I'_{n+1} \notin J'_{n+1}$ . For each  $n \in \omega$  choose  $r_n \in 2^{I'_n \setminus J'_n}$ . Define  $x \in 2^\omega$  as

$$x \upharpoonright I'_n = \begin{cases} t_m \upharpoonright I'_n & \text{if } I_m^0 \cap I'_n \neq \emptyset \\ r_n & \text{if } I_m^0 \cap I'_n = \emptyset \text{ for all } m \in Z \end{cases}.$$

It follows that  $x \in (I_n^0, J_n^0)_{n \in \omega}$ , but  $x \notin (I'_n, J'_n)_{n \in \omega} = (I_n^2, J_n^2)_{n \in \omega}$ , which is a contradiction.

CASE 2 For every  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , almost every  $n \in \omega$  and every  $m \in \omega$ ,

$$|I_n^2 \cap I_m^i| \leq \frac{|I_m^i|}{4}.$$

This is quite similar to the previous case.

We inductively choose  $\{a_k : k \in \omega\}$  and define  $I'_n$ 's and  $J'_n$ 's as before. Next construct an infinite set  $Z \subseteq \omega$  such that

- (1) for every  $m \in Z$  there exists  $n \in \omega$  such that  $I_m^0 \subset I'_n \cup I'_{n+1}$  and  $\frac{|I_m^0|}{4} \leq |I_m^0 \cap I'_n|, |I_m^0 \cap I'_{n+1}| \leq \frac{3|I_m^0|}{4}$ ;
- (2) for every  $n \in \omega$  there is at most one  $m \in Z$  such that  $I_m^0 \cap I'_n \neq \emptyset$ .

Since  $|I_k^2 \cap I_m^i| \leq \frac{|I_m^i|}{4}$  for each  $k, m$  we can get (1) by careful splitting  $\{k : I_m^0 \cap I_k^2 \neq \emptyset\}$  into two sets.

The rest of the proof is exactly as before.

To conclude the proof, it suffices to show that these two cases exhaust all possibilities. To this end, we check that if, for some  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $m, n \in \omega$ ,  $|I_n^2 \cap I_m^i| > \frac{3|I_m^i|}{4}$ , then for some  $j \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $k \in \omega$ ,

$$\frac{3|I_k^j|}{4} \leq |I_n^2 \cap I_k^j| \leq \frac{3|I_k^j|}{4}.$$

This will show that the potential remaining cases are already included in CASE 1.

Fix  $i = 0$  and  $n \in \omega$  (the case  $i = 1$  is analogous.)

By the choice of intervals  $I_m^0$  and  $I_m^1$ , it follows that, if  $|I_n^2 \cap I_m^0| > \frac{3|I_m^0|}{4}$ , then  $|I_n^2 \cap I_m^1| > \frac{|I_m^1|}{4}$ . If  $|I_n^2 \cap I_m^1| \leq \frac{3|I_m^1|}{4}$ ,

then we are in CASE 1. Otherwise,  $|I_n^2 \cap I_m^1| > \frac{3|I_m^1|}{4}$  and so  $|I_n^2 \cap I_{m+1}^0| > \frac{|I_{m+1}^0|}{4}$ . Continue inductively until the construction terminates after finitely many steps settling on  $j$  and  $k$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 18.** *Not every small set is small\*, that is  $S^* \subsetneq S$ .*

**Proof.** The proof is a modification of the previous argument.

Let  $I_n^0, I_n^1, J_n^0$  and  $J_n^1$  for  $n \in \omega$  be like in the proof of 16. Let  $\bar{I}_n^0 = \{2k : k \in I_n^0\}$  and  $\bar{I}_n^1 = \{2k+1 : k \in I_n^1\}$  for  $n \in \omega$  and let  $\bar{J}_n^0 \subset 2^{I_n^0}, \bar{J}_n^1 \subset 2^{I_n^1}$  for  $n \in \omega$  be the induced sets. Note that  $(\{\bar{I}_n^0, \bar{I}_n^1\}, \{\bar{J}_n^0, \bar{J}_n^1\})_{n \in \omega}$  is a small set. We will show that this set is not small\*. Suppose that  $(\{\bar{I}_n^0, \bar{I}_n^1\}, \{\bar{J}_n^0, \bar{J}_n^1\})_{n \in \omega} \subseteq (I_n, J_n)_{n \in \omega}$ , where  $I_n = [k_n, k_{n+1})$  for an increasing sequence  $\{k_n : n \in \omega\}$ .

Without loss of generality we can assume that for every  $n \in \omega$  there exists  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $m \in \omega$  such that

- (1)  $I_m^i \subseteq I_n \cup I_{n+1}$ ,
- (2)  $\frac{|I_m^i|}{4} \leq |I_n \cap I_m^i| \leq \frac{3|I_m^i|}{4}$ ,



$$(3) \frac{|I_m^i|}{4} \leq |I_{n+1} \cap I_m^i| \leq \frac{3|I_m^i|}{4}.$$

To get (1), we combine consecutive intervals  $I_n$  to make sure that each  $I_m^i$  belongs to at most two of them. Points (2) and (3) are a consequence of the properties of the original sequences  $\{I_n^0, I_n^1 : n \in \omega\}$ , namely that each integer belongs to exactly two of these intervals and that intersecting intervals cut each other approximately in half. The following example illustrates the procedure for finding  $i$  and  $m$ : if  $k_n$  is even, then  $k_n/2$  belongs to  $I_j^0 \cap I_k^1$  with  $k - j$  equal to 0 or 1. The values of  $i$  and  $m$  depend on whether  $k_n/2$  belongs to the lower or upper half of the said interval. The case when  $k_n$  is odd is similar.

The rest of the proof is exactly like in Case 1 of Theorem 16.  $\square$

## References

- [1] T. Bartoszynski, On covering of real line by null sets, *Pac. J. Math.* 131 (1) (1988) 1–12.
- [2] T. Bartoszynski, On the structure of measurable filters on a countable set, *Real Anal. Exch.* 17 (2) (1992) 681–701.
- [3] T. Bartoszynski, H. Judah, *Set Theory: on the Structure of the Real Line*, A.K. Peters, 1995.
- [4] M. Talagrand, On T. Bartoszynski structure theorem for measurable filters, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* 351 (7–8) (2013) 281–284.