

ERRATUM: “♣ DOES NOT IMPLY  
THE EXISTENCE OF A SUSLIN TREE”

Israel Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 113, 1999, pp. 163–204

BY

MIRNA DŽAMONJA

*School of Mathematics, University of East Anglia*

*Norwich, NR4 7TJ, UK*

*e-mail: M.Dzamonja@uea.ac.uk*

AND

SAHARON SHELAH

*Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem*

*Givat Ram, Jerusalem 91904, Israel*

*e-mail: shelah@math.huji.ac.il*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 in our paper [DjSh 604] is incorrect. As point out by Jörg Brendle, this proof would contradict Miyamoto’s Theorem, [Br], [Mi] which states that if  $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) \geq \aleph_2$  and  $\dagger$  holds, then there is a Suslin tree. We apologise to the readers and thank Jörg Brendle for communicating Miyamoto’s Theorem and Brendle’s new proof of it.

**References**

- [Br] J. Brendle, *A new proof of Miyamoto’s Theorem*, preprint.
- [DjSh 604] M. Džamonja and S. Shelah,  $\clubsuit$  does not imply the existence of a Suslin tree, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **113** (1999), 163–204.
- [Mi] T. Miyamoto, unpublished.