

THE STRICT ORDER PROPERTY AND GENERIC AUTOMORPHISMS

HIROTAKA KIKYO AND SAHARON SHELAH

ABSTRACT. If T is a model complete theory with the strict order property, then the theory of the models of T with an automorphism has no model companion.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a model complete theory T in a language \mathcal{L} , we consider the (incomplete) theory $T_\sigma = T \cup \{\text{“}\sigma \text{ is an } \mathcal{L}\text{-automorphism”}\}$ in the language $\mathcal{L}_\sigma = \mathcal{L} \cup \{\sigma\}$. For M a model of T , and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$ we call σ a generic automorphism of M if (M, σ) is an existentially closed model of T_σ . A general problem is to find necessary and sufficient conditions on T for the class of existentially models of T_σ to be elementary, namely to be the class of models of some first order theory in \mathcal{L}_σ . This first order theory, if it exists, is denoted TA , and it is the model companion of T_σ . This problem seems to be a difficult problem even if we assume T to be stable [1], [5], [8]. Generic automorphisms in the sense of this paper were first studied by Lascar [7]. The work of Chatzidakis and Hrushovski [2] on the case where T is the theory ACF of algebraically closed fields renewed interest in the topic and Chatzidakis and Pillay studied general properties of TA for stable T [3].

Kudaibergenov proved that if TA exists then T eliminates the quantifier “there exists infinitely many”. Therefore, if T is stable and TA exists then T does not have the fcp. Pillay conjectured that if T has the fcp then TA does not exist after the first author observed that the theory of random graphs does not have TA . The first author then proved that if TA exists and T does not have the independence property then T is stable, and if TA exists and T_σ has the amalgamation property then T is stable [4]. The latter fact covers the case of the random graphs. The present paper extends the former case.

So the theorem here shows that model complete theories with T_σ having a model companion are “low” in the hierarchy of *classification*

The second author is supported by the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation. Publication 748.

theory; previous results have shown it cannot be in some intermediate positions.

For other examples, Hrushovski observed that there are no *TA* for *ACFA* and for the theory of pseudo-finite fields *Psf* (unpublished). His argument depends heavily on field theory. *ACFA* $_{\sigma}$ does not have the amalgamation property but it is not known if *Psf* $_{\sigma}$ has the amalgamation property.

In the rest of the paper, small letters a, b, c , etc. denote finite tuples and x, y , etc. denote finite tuples of variables. If a is a tuple of elements and A a set of elements, $a \in A$ means that each element of a belongs to A .

2. MAIN THEOREM

Theorem 1. *Let T be a model complete theory in a language \mathcal{L} and σ a new unary function symbol. If T has a model whose theory has the strict order property then T_{σ} has no model companion.*

Proof. Let M_0 be a model of T with the strict order property. So, there are \mathcal{L} -definable partial order $<$ on k -tuples in M_0 for some k and a sequence $\langle a_i : i < \omega \rangle$ of k -tuples in M_0 such that $a_i < a_j$ for $i < j < \omega$. By Ramsey's Theorem, we can assume that $\langle a_i : i < \omega \rangle$ is an \mathcal{L} -indiscernible sequence in M_0 . Also, we can assume that there is an \mathcal{L} -automorphism σ_0 of M_0 such that $\sigma_0(a_i) = a_{i+1}$. So, (M_0, σ_0) is a model of T_{σ} .

Now by way of contradiction, suppose that T_{σ} has a model companion, say *TA*. Extend (M_0, σ_0) to a model (N, σ) of *TA*. N is an \mathcal{L} -elementary extension of M_0 since T is model complete. We can assume that (N, σ) is sufficiently saturated. In the rest of the proof, we work in (N, σ) .

Consider the partial type $p(x) = \{a_i < x : i < \omega\}$ and let $\psi(x) \equiv \exists y(a_0 < \sigma(y) \wedge \sigma(y) < y \wedge y < x)$.

Claim. In (N, σ) ,

- (1) $p(x) \vdash \psi(x)$, and
- (2) if $q(x)$ is a finite subset of $p(x)$ then $q(x) \not\vdash \psi(x)$.

If this claim holds, then it contradicts the saturation of (N, σ) .

We first show (2). Let n^* be such that $q(x) \subset \{a_i < x : i < n^*\}$. Then a_{n^*} satisfies $q(x)$. Suppose a_{n^*} satisfies $\psi(x)$. Let $b \in N$ be such that $a_0 < \sigma(b) < b < a_{n^*}$. By $a_0 < \sigma(b)$, we have $a_{n^*} = \sigma^{n^*}(a_0) < \sigma^{n^*+1}(b)$. By $\sigma(b) < b < a_{n^*}$, we have

$$\sigma^{n^*+1}(b) < \sigma^{n^*}(b) < \dots < \sigma(b) < b < a_{n^*}.$$

By transitivity, we get $a_{n^*} < a_{n^*}$, which is a contradiction.

Now we turn to a proof of (1). Suppose $c \in p(N)$. Let M be such that $a_0, c \in M$, $|M| = |T|$, and $(M, \sigma|M)$ is an $\mathcal{L}(\sigma)$ -elementary substructure of (N, σ) .

For each $d \in p(N)$, let $\Psi(d)$ be the set of $\mathcal{L}(M)$ -formulas $\varphi(x)$ satisfied in N by some tuple d' such that $d' \in p(N)$ and $d' < d$. Here, $\mathcal{L}(M)$ -formulas are the formulas in \mathcal{L} with parameters in M .

Note that if $d_1, d_2 \in p(N)$ and $d_2 < d_1$, then $\Psi(d_2) \subseteq \Psi(d_1)$, and by compactness, if $d_1, d_2 \in p(N)$ then there is $d_3 \in p(N)$ such that $d_3 < d_1$ and $d_3 < d_2$.

Let $\Psi = \bigcap_{d \in p(N)} \Psi(d)$. Let $\{\varphi_i(x) : i < |M|\}$ be an enumeration of all $\mathcal{L}(M)$ -formulas which do not belong to Ψ . By the definition of Ψ , for each $i < |M|$, we can choose $d_i \in p(N)$ such that $\varphi_i(x) \notin \Psi(d_i)$. By saturation of N and the remark above, we can find $c^* \in p(N)$ such that $c^* < d_i$ for every $i < |M|$. Each $\varphi_i(x)$ does not belong to $\Psi(c^*)$ since $\Psi(c^*) \subseteq \Psi(d_i)$. Hence, $\Psi(c^*) \subseteq \Psi$. Therefore, $\Psi(c^*) = \Psi$.

Now we have that if $d \in p(N)$ and $d < c^*$ then $\Psi(d) = \Psi(c^*)$. We can also assume that $c^* < c$. Since the sets $p(N)$ and M are invariant under σ , $\Psi(c^*)$ is also invariant under σ , which means, for any \mathcal{L} -formula $\varphi(x, y)$ and tuple $a \in M$, $\varphi(x, a) \in \Psi(c^*)$ if and only if $\varphi(x, \sigma(a)) \in \Psi(c^*)$.

Now choose $b_1 \in p(N)$ such that $b_1 < c^*$ and consider $q_1(x) = \text{tp}_{\mathcal{L}}(b_1/M)$. Then $q_1(x) \subseteq \Psi(c^*)$. Let $\sigma(q_1(x))$ be the set of formulas $\varphi(x, \sigma(a))$ such that $\varphi(x, a) \in q_1(x)$, where $\varphi(x, y)$ is a formula in \mathcal{L} and $a \in M$. Since $\Psi(c^*)$ is invariant under σ , we have $\sigma(q_1(x)) \subseteq \Psi(c^*)$. By the choice of c^* , $\Psi(c^*) = \Psi(b_1)$ and thus $\sigma(q_1(x)) \subseteq \Psi(b_1)$. By the definition of $\Psi(b_1)$ and by compactness, there is $b_2 \in p(N)$ such that $b_2 < b_1$ and b_2 realizes $\sigma(q_1(x))$.

Since $\sigma(q_1(x))$ is a complete \mathcal{L} -type over M , there are an \mathcal{L} -elementary substructure M' of N and an \mathcal{L} -automorphism τ of M' such that $Mb_1b_2 \subset M'$, $\tau(b_1) = b_2$ and $\tau|M = \sigma|M$. Now we have,

$$(M', \tau) \models a_0 < \tau(b_1) < b_1 < c.$$

Since $(M, \sigma|M)$ is a model of TA , it is an existentially closed model of T_σ . Note that the partial order $<$ is definable by an existential \mathcal{L} -formula modulo T . So, the formula $a_0 < \sigma(y) < y < c$ has a solution in $(M, \sigma|M)$. Hence, we have $(M, \sigma|M) \models \psi(c)$. This proves Claim (1) and we are done. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Chatzidakis, Generic automorphisms of separably closed fields, to appear in the Illinois J. of Math.

- [2] Z. Chatzidakis and E. Hrushovski, The model theory of difference fields, Transactions of AMS, 351 (1999), 2997-3071.
- [3] Z. Chatzidakis and A. Pillay, Generic structures and simple theories, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic **95** (1998) 71-92.
- [4] H. Kikyo, Model companions of theories with an automorphism, J. Symb. Logic **65** (2000) 1215-1222.
- [5] H. Kikyo and A. Pillay, The definable multiplicity property and generic automorphism, to appear in Annals of Pure and Applied Logic.
- [6] D. Lascar, Autour de la propriété du petit indice, Proc. London Math. Soc. **62** (1991) 25-53.
- [7] D. Lascar, Les beaux automorphismes, Arch. Math. Logic **31** (1991) 55-68.
- [8] A. Macintyre, Generic automorphisms of fields, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic **88** (1997), 165-180.
- [9] S. Shelah, Classification theory and the number of non-isomorphic models, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.

HIROTAKA KIKYO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TOKAI UNIVERSITY, 1117 KITAKANAME, HIRATSUKA, 259-1292, JAPAN

Email address: kikyo@ss.u-tokai.ac.jp

SAHARON SHELAH, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY, JERUSALEM 91904, ISRAEL

Email address: shelah@sundial.ma.huji.ac.il