

**INNER PRODUCT SPACE WITH NO ORTHO-NORMAL BASIS
WITHOUT CHOICE
E68**

SAHARON SHELAH

ABSTRACT. We prove in ZF that there is an inner product space, in fact, nicely definable with no orthonormal basis.

§ 1.

The theorem below is known in ZFC, but probably not in ZF; really we use the simple black box (see [She]).

Theorem 1.1. (*ZF*) *There is an inner-product space V over \mathbb{R} with no orthonormal basis.*

Remark 1.2. In fact, nicely definable one, here - Borel.

Proof. Stage A:

Let V_1 be the Hilbert space over \mathbb{R} with orthonormal basis $\{x_\eta : \eta \in {}^\omega \geq \omega\}$, so an element x has a unique representation as $x = \sum \{a_{x,\eta} x_\eta : \eta \in {}^\omega > \omega\}$ with $a_{x,\eta} \in \mathbb{R}$ and norm $< \infty$ so $\text{supp}_1(x) := \{\eta : a_{x,\eta} \neq 0\}$ is countable and $\text{supp}_k^1(x) := \{\eta : |a_\eta| \geq \frac{1}{k+1}\}$ finite for every $k < \omega$ where the norm is $\sum \{a_{x,\eta}^2 : \eta \in {}^\omega > \omega\}$. The inner product is $((\sum a_\eta x_\eta), (\sum a'_\eta x_\eta)) = \sum \{a_\eta a'_\eta : \eta \in {}^\omega \geq \omega\} \in \mathbb{R}$.

For $\eta \in {}^\omega \omega$ let $y_\eta = x_\eta + \sum_{n < \omega} \frac{1}{2^n} x_{\eta \upharpoonright n}$.

Let V be the subspace of V_1 generated by $\{x_\eta : \eta \in {}^\omega > \omega\} \cup \{y_\eta : \eta \in {}^\omega \omega\}$ so as a vector space it is $\bigoplus_{\eta \in {}^\omega > \omega} \mathbb{R} x_\eta \oplus \bigoplus_{\eta \in {}^\omega \omega} \mathbb{R} y_\eta$ and it “inherits” the inner product from V_1 .

Toward contradiction assume that $\{z_s : s \in S\}$ is an ortho-normal basis of V . So every $x \in V$ has the unique representation $\sum_{s \in S} b_{x,s} z_s$, where $b_{s,n} \in \mathbb{R}$ and for $k \in [1, \omega)$ and $x \in V$ let $\text{supp}_k^2(x) := \{s \in S : |b_{x,s}| \geq \frac{1}{2k+1}\}$, so finite and $\text{supp}_2(x) := \{s \in S : b_{x,s} \neq 0\}$ so countable.

Stage B:

We choose η_n by induction on n such that:

- (a) $\eta_n \in {}^n \omega$
- (b) $\eta_m = \eta_n \upharpoonright m$ if $m < n$

Date: August 3, 2010.

The author thanks Alice Leonhardt for the beautiful typing. Done for the course in Jerusalem, spring 2009. First typed 09/Sept/11.

- (c) if $n = m + 1$ then $\eta_n = \eta_m \hat{\ } \langle i \rangle$ with $i < \omega$ minimal such that:
 if $\ell \leq m, s \in \text{supp}_m^2(x_{\eta_\ell})$ and $\nu \in \text{supp}_m^1(z_s) \subseteq {}^{\omega>} \omega$ then
 $\neg(\eta_m \hat{\ } \langle i \rangle \trianglelefteq \nu)$.

This is well defined as in clause (c), $\text{supp}_m^2(x_{\eta_\ell})$ is a finite subset of S and for each $s \in \text{supp}_m^2(x_{\eta_\ell})$, the set $\text{supp}_m^1(z_s)$ is a finite subset of ${}^{\omega>} \omega$.

Lastly, let

- \boxplus_2 (a) $\eta_\omega := \cup\{\eta_n : n < \omega\} \in {}^\omega \omega$
 (b) $S_1 = \cup\{\text{supp}_2(x_\rho) : \rho \triangleleft \eta_\omega\}$
 (c) $S_2 = S \setminus S_1$
 (d) X_ℓ is the closure inside V of $\oplus\{\mathbb{R}z_s : s \in S_\ell\}$ for $\ell = 1, 2$
 (e) $S_{1,n} := \cup\{\text{supp}_m^2(x_{\eta_\ell}) : m, \ell \leq n\}$.

Note

- \boxplus_3 $V = X_1 \oplus X_2$, i.e. X_1, X_2 are orthogonal but $X_1 + X_2$ is V
 \boxplus_4 $S_1 = \cup\{\text{supp}_m^2(x_{\eta_n}) : n < \omega, m < n\} = \cup\{S_{1,n} : n < \omega\}$
 \boxplus_5 $\eta_n \in S_1$ for $n < \omega$.

Stage C: As $y_{\eta_\omega} \in V$ see Stage A and $\boxplus_2(a)$ of Stage B, recalling \boxplus_3

- \otimes_1 there are $y^1 \in X_1, y^2 \in X_2$ such that $y_{\eta_\omega} = y^1 + y^2$.

Also

- \otimes_2 $\{\rho : \eta_{n+1} \trianglelefteq \rho \in {}^{\omega \geq} \omega\}$ is disjoint to $\cup\{\text{supp}_m^1(z_s) : s \in S_{1,n}\}$ for every $n < \omega$.

[Why? By the choice of η_{n+1} in $\boxplus_1(c)$.]

- \otimes_3 $\eta_\omega \notin \text{supp}_1(z_s) = \cup\{\text{supp}_m^1(z_s) : m < \text{omega}\}$ for every $s \in S_1$.

[Why? The \notin by \otimes_2 .]

Hence by \boxplus_3

- \otimes_4 if $s \in S_1$ then y_{η_ω}, z_s are orthogonal (in V_1).

But

- \otimes_5 $(y_{\eta_\omega}, x_{\eta_n}) = \frac{1}{2^n}$.

[Why? By the choice of y_η is stage N.]

By $\boxplus_5 + \otimes_4 + \otimes_5$ we get contradiction.

$\square_{1.1}$

REFERENCES

- [She] Saharon Shelah, *Black Boxes*, arXiv: 0812.0656 Ch. IV of The Non-Structure Theory" book [Sh:e].

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, EDMOND J. SAFRA CAMPUS, GIVAT RAM, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM, 91904, ISRAEL, AND, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HILL CENTER - BUSCH CAMPUS, RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY, 110 FRELINGHUYSEN ROAD, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854-8019 USA

Email address: shelah@math.huji.ac.il

URL: <http://shelah.logic.at>