amsppt.stipsSFp90.tex

## Postscript to Shelah & Fremlin p90

D.H.Fremlin

University of Essex, Colchester

25 September 1991

1. The statement (‡) of SHELAH & FREMLIN P90, 2G, can be strengthened, as follows. Write (‡\*) for the statement

there is a closed negligible set  $Q \subseteq [0,1]$  such that  $(\mu_L)_*(Q^{-1}[D]) \ge \mu_I^*D$  for every  $D \subseteq [0,1]$ .

**Proposition** If  $\mathbb{P}$  is a partially ordered set as in Shelah & Fremlin P90, then  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{P}} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} (\ddagger^*)$ .

**proof** (a) Take X and  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mu$  and  $\Psi$  as in Shelah & Fremlin P90, §1. Then if  $D \subseteq X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a closed set  $F \subseteq X$ , with  $\mu F \ge \mu^* D - \epsilon$ , such that

$$1_{\mathbb{P}} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \Psi \cap \lceil F \rceil \subseteq \lceil R^{\gamma - 1} [D],$$

writing  $\lceil F \rceil$  for the  $\mathbb{P}$ -name for a closed subset of X corresponding to F.

**P** Choose  $k_0'$  such that  $2^{-k_0'} \leq \frac{1}{4}\epsilon$ , and a closed set  $F_0$  such that  $\mu F_0 = \mu^*(D \cap F_0) \geq \mu^*D - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ . Set  $F = \{x : \forall k \ge k'_0, \, \mu^*(D \cap \{w : w \mid k = x \mid k\}) > 2^{-k+1} \mu\{w : w \mid k = x \mid k\}\}.$ 

Then F is closed, and

$$F_0 \setminus F \subseteq \{x : x \in F_0, \exists k \ge k'_0, \mu(F_0 \cap \{w : w \mid k = x \mid k\}) \le 2^{-k+1} \mu\{w : w \mid k = x \mid k\}\}$$

has measure at most  $2^{-k_0'+1} \le \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ , so  $\mu F \ge \mu^* D - \epsilon$ .

Now suppose that  $\sigma$  is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name such that

$$1\!\!1_{\mathbb{P}}\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}}\sigma\in\Psi\cap\ulcorner F\urcorner.$$

Set  $r=1, L_k=n_k$  for every  $k\in\mathbb{N}$  and follow the argument of Lemma 1R of Shelah & Fremlin p90 down to the end of part (c), but insisting at the beginning that  $k_0 \ge k'_0$ .

Observe that

$$p_3 \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \sigma \supseteq s$$
,

where  $s = \langle H_i(\mathbf{v}_i^*) \rangle_{i < k_1}$ , as in part (d) of the proof of Lemma 1R. Also  $\#(\tilde{J}_k) \leq 2^{-k} n_k$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ , so that

$$\mu\{x: s \subseteq x, \, x(k) \notin \tilde{J}_k \ \forall \ k \ge k_1\} > (1 - 2^{-k_1 + 1})\mu\{x: s \subseteq x\};$$

but as

$$p_3 \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \sigma \in \ulcorner F \urcorner, s \subseteq \sigma,$$

we must have

$$\mu^*(D \cap \{x : s \subseteq x\}) > 2^{-k_1 + 1} \mu\{x : s \subseteq x\},$$

and there is a  $\tilde{z} \in D$  such that  $s \subseteq \tilde{z}$  and  $\tilde{z} \notin \tilde{J}_k$  for every  $k \ge k_1$ .

Now continue the argument as in (e)-(i) of the proof of Lemma 1R to get  $p_5 \leq p_3$  such that  $p_5 \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} (\sigma, \tilde{z}) \in$  $\lceil R \rceil$ . **Q** 

**(b)** Now we find that

$$1_{\mathbb{P}} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \forall D \subseteq \lceil X \rceil, \lceil \mu \rceil_* (\lceil R \rceil^{-1}[D]) \ge \lceil \mu \rceil^* D.$$

**P** Let  $\Delta_0$  be a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name for a subset of X, and  $\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon'$  (ground-model) rationals such that

$$1_{\mathbb{P}} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \lceil \mu^{\neg *} \Delta_0 > \epsilon > \epsilon'.$$

Take  $\beta < \kappa$  such that whenever  $\Gamma$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}$ -name for a closed subset of X and

$$\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{P}_{\beta}} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\beta}} \lceil \mu \rceil \Gamma > 1 - \epsilon$$

then there is a  $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}$ -name for a member of  $\Gamma \cap \Delta_0$ . Now taking  $\Delta$  to be a  $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}$ -name for the subset of X consisting of those members of  $\Delta_0$  which can be represented by  $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}$ -names, we see that

$$1_{\mathbb{P}_{\beta}} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\beta}} \ulcorner \mu \urcorner^* \Delta \ge \epsilon.$$

Using part (a) in  $V^{\mathbb{P}_{\beta}}$ , we have a  $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}$ -name  $\Gamma$  for a closed subset of X such that

$$1\!\!1_{\mathbb{P}_\beta} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\beta} (\lceil \mu \rceil \Gamma \geq \epsilon' \ \& \ 1\!\!1_{\mathbb{P}'} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}'} \Psi^{(\beta)} \cap \Gamma \subseteq \lceil R^{\gamma-1}[\Delta]),$$

expressing  $\mathbb{P}$  as an iteration  $\mathbb{P}_{\beta} * \mathbb{P}'$  as in the proof of Theorem 1S of Shelah & Fremlin P90. But this must mean that

$$\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{P}} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \mu_*(R \vdash [\Delta_0]) \ge \epsilon'$$

 $1\!\!1_{\mathbb{P}} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \ulcorner \mu \urcorner_* (\ulcorner R \urcorner^{-1}[\Delta_0]) \geq \epsilon',$  and as  $\epsilon'$  was arbitrary this proves the claim.  $\mathbf{Q}$ 

(c) This proves the result for  $(X,\mu)$  rather than for  $([0,1],\mu_L)$ . But as remarked in 2G of Shelah & Fremlin P90, we have a continuous inverse-measure-preserving  $f: X \to [0,1]$  such that  $\mu^* f^{-1}[D] = \mu_L^*[D]$  2

for every  $D\subseteq [0,1];$  so that setting  $Q=\{(f(x),f(y)):(x,y)\in \overline{R}\}$  we obtain the result for Lebesgue measure, as stated.

2. In fact wse can go a little further: in  $V^{\mathbb{P}}$ , Q has the property that whenever  $D \subseteq [0,1]$  and E is a measurable set such that  $\mu_*(E \setminus D) = 0$ , then  $\mu(E \setminus Q^{-1}[D]) = 0$ . To see this, follow the arguments above, observing that it is enough to consider closed E, and that the set E of part (a) of the proof can be taken to be a subset of E.

## Reference

Shelah S. & Fremlin D.H. [p90] 'Pointwise compact and stable sets of measurable functions', to appear in J. Symbolic Logic.