

Appendix: On stationary set

We represent the relevant facts from [Sh 6] (hopefully in a better way) and add slightly.

This was written essentially by accident.

1. Definition: 1) For λ regular, a set $S \subseteq \lambda$ is called *good* if there is a sequence $\bar{a} = \langle a_i : i < \lambda \rangle$, a_i a subset of λ , such that for some closed unbounded $C \subseteq \lambda$:

$$C \cap S \subseteq S_{\lambda}^{*q}[\bar{a}] = \{\gamma : (\exists a \subseteq \gamma)[\gamma = \sup a \wedge otp(a) < \gamma \wedge (\forall \alpha < \gamma)(\exists i < \gamma)a \cap \alpha = a_i] \text{ or } \gamma = cf \gamma\}$$

We say $\langle a_i : i < \lambda \rangle$ witness the goodness of S , and C exemplify this (p stands for positive, q for a variant n for negative.)

2) $I[\lambda]$ is the family of good subsets of α .

2. Lemma: 1) We can in 1.1 replace a_i by \mathcal{P}_i , $|\mathcal{P}_i| < \lambda$, $\mathcal{P}_i \subseteq \{a : a \subseteq \lambda \text{ is bounded}\}$, and " $a \cap \alpha = a_i$ " by " $a \cap \alpha \in \mathcal{P}_i$ " (and get an equivalent definition). [see 4) and 5) below]

2) we can demand in 1(1) that a has order type $cf(\gamma)$ and $a_i \subseteq i$.

I.e. if for λ , \bar{a} as in Definition 1(1) we let $S_{\lambda}^{*p}[\bar{a}] = \{\gamma < \lambda : \text{there is } a \subseteq \gamma \text{ of order type } cf \gamma \text{ such that } otp(a) = cf(\gamma), \sup a = \gamma \text{ and } (\forall \alpha < \gamma)(\exists i < \gamma)[a \cap \alpha = a_i]\}$ we can use $S_{\lambda}^{*q}[\bar{a}]$ instead of $S_{\lambda}^{*p}[\bar{a}]$ in defining "a good set" (and hence $I[\lambda]$).

3) if $\langle a_i : i < \lambda \rangle$ witness the goodness of $S \subseteq \lambda$ and $\{a_i : i < \lambda\} \subseteq \{b_i : i < \lambda\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ then $\langle b_i : i < \lambda \rangle$ witness the goodness of S . In fact $S_x^{*p}(\langle b_i : i < \lambda \rangle) \subseteq S_{\lambda}^{*p}(\langle a_i : i < \lambda \rangle) \text{ mod } D_{\lambda}$.

4) $\langle a_i : i < \lambda \rangle$ witness that $S \subseteq \lambda$ is good iff $\langle \{a_i\} : i < \lambda \rangle$ witness that S is good.

5) If $\bar{\mathcal{P}}^{\ell} = \langle \mathcal{P}_i^{\ell} : i < \lambda \rangle$ are as in 2(1) for $\ell = 1, 2$ and $\bigcup_i \mathcal{P}_i^1 \subseteq \bigcup_i \mathcal{P}_i^2$ and $\bar{\mathcal{P}}^1$ witness that $S \subseteq \lambda$ is good then also $\bar{\mathcal{P}}^2$ witnesses it.

6) For λ uncountable regular, $\{\delta < \lambda : \delta \text{ a (weakly) inaccessible cardinal}\}$ belongs to $I[\lambda]$.

Proof: Trivial, e.g.

2) Let $\langle a_i : i < \lambda \rangle$ witness $S \subseteq \lambda$ is good.

For every limit $\delta < \lambda$ choose a closed unbounded subset C_δ of δ of order type *cf* δ ; let for $i < \lambda$, $\delta < \lambda$, $a_{i,\delta} = \{j \in a_i : \text{the order type of } a_i \cap j \text{ belongs to } C_\delta\}$.

Let $\{a_{i,\delta} : i < \lambda, \delta < \lambda\} \cup \{\{i : i < \alpha\} : \alpha < \lambda\} = \{b_i : i < \delta\}$, let C exemplify $\langle a_i : i < \lambda \rangle$ witness the goodness of S .

Let $C_0 = \{\alpha \in C : \text{for every } i < \alpha \text{ and limit } \delta < \alpha \text{ there is } \zeta < \delta \text{ such that } b_\zeta = a_{i,\delta} \text{ (if defined and } \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal)}\}$.

Clearly $C_0 \subseteq C$ is closed unbounded in λ . Now for any $\gamma \in C_0 \cap S$ we know there is a set $a \subseteq \gamma$ such that $\text{sup}(a) = \gamma$, $\text{otp}(a) < \gamma$, $\alpha \cap a = a_{i(\alpha)}$ for $\alpha \in a$ and $i(\alpha)$ is an ordinal $< \gamma$. Let $a^* = \{i \in a : \text{otp}(a \cap \alpha) \in C_{\text{otp}(a)}\}$. Now a^* is as required.

3. Lemma: 1) $I[\lambda]$ is a normal ideal, which include all non-stationary subsets of λ .

2) If $\lambda = \lambda^{<\lambda}$, then for some $S_\lambda^{*n} \subseteq \lambda$:

$$I[\lambda] = \{S \subseteq \lambda : S \cap S_\lambda \text{ is not stationary}\} = \{S \subseteq \lambda : \langle a_i : i < \lambda \rangle \text{ witness } S \text{ is good}\}$$

for any $\langle a_i : i < \lambda \rangle$ enumerating $\{a \subseteq \lambda : |a| < \lambda\}$.

3) Always there is $S_\lambda^{*n} \subseteq T_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\delta < \lambda : \lambda^{<\text{cf } \delta} = \lambda\}$ such that $S \in I[\lambda] \wedge S \subseteq T_\lambda \Leftrightarrow S \subseteq \lambda \wedge S \cap S_\lambda^{*n}$ not stationary.

Proof: Easy.

4. Lemma: 1) If λ is regular, $\kappa < \lambda$, $(\forall \alpha < \lambda) |\alpha|^{<\kappa} < \lambda$ (e.g., $\lambda = \mu^+$, $\mu = \mu^{<\kappa}$) then $\{\delta < \lambda : \text{cf}(\delta) \leq \kappa\} \in I[\lambda]$

2) Suppose $\lambda = \mu^+$, $\text{cf}(\mu) < \kappa < \mu$ and $(\forall \theta < \kappa)(\forall \chi < \mu)[\chi^\theta < \mu]$. Then there is $S \in I[\lambda]$ such that:

(*) if $\delta < \lambda, \theta < \kappa$, and $\text{cf } \delta = (2^\theta)^+$ or even just $(\forall \alpha < \text{cf}(\delta)) [|\alpha|^\theta < \text{cf}(\delta)]$ then for some closed unbounded $C_\delta \subseteq \delta$, $(\forall \alpha)[\alpha \in C_\delta \wedge \text{cf}(\alpha) \leq \theta \rightarrow \alpha \in S]$.

3) For λ, μ, κ as in (2), there is a 2-place function c from λ to $cf \mu$ such that:

(a) for $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$, $c(\alpha, \gamma) \leq \text{Max}\{c(\alpha, \beta), c(\beta, \gamma)\}$.

(b) $|\{\alpha < \beta : c(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma\}| < \mu$.

(c) $S_{\lambda}^{*p}[c] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\delta < \lambda : \delta \text{ has cofinality } \leq \kappa \text{ and there is an unbounded } a \subseteq \delta \text{ such that } c \upharpoonright a \text{ is bounded in } cf \mu \text{ (i.e. } (\exists \gamma < cf \mu)(\forall \alpha, \beta \in a) [\alpha < \beta \rightarrow c(\alpha, \beta) < \gamma])\}$ belongs to $I[\lambda]$.

Proof: Note that 4(1), is easy, and 4(2) follows from 8(1), 4(3). It is easy to satisfies (a), (b) of (4) and (c) follows [choose an increasing sequence $\langle \mu_i : i < cf \mu \rangle$ such that $\mu = \Sigma\{\mu_i : i < cf \mu\}$, and then define by induction on β , $\langle c(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha < \beta \rangle$ such that (a) holds and

(b)⁺ $|\{\alpha < \beta : c(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma\}| = \mu_\gamma$.

Why (c) follows from (a) + (b)? Clearly for $\alpha < \lambda$, $i < cf(\mu)$, $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, i} = \{a : a \text{ as a subset of } \{\beta : \beta < \alpha \text{ and } c(\beta, \alpha) < i\} \text{ of cardinality } < \kappa\} \text{ has cardinality } \leq \mu$, so $\mathcal{P}_\alpha = \bigcup_{i < cf \mu} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha, i}$ has cardinality $\leq \mu$. Now $S_{\lambda}^{*p}[\langle \mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle]$ is a subset of $S_{\lambda}^{*p}[c]$.

There are no problems].

5. Remark: 1) In 4(2), 4(3) we can replace $\lambda = \mu^+$ by $\lambda = \mu^{+\alpha}$, as α increases we get less information. See [Sh 6] xx.

2) In (3) really (a) + (b) implies (c) and note (7) below.

6. Definition: 1) A two place function c from an ordinal ζ to an ordinal ξ is called subadditive if:

for $\alpha < \beta < \gamma < \zeta$ $c(\alpha, \gamma) \leq \text{Max}\{c(\alpha, \beta), c(\beta, \gamma)\}$

and $c(\alpha, \beta) = c(\beta, \alpha)$, $c(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$

2) $\lambda \rightarrow_{\mathcal{P}(S)}^2 \theta$ mean: (for λ, θ regular cardinals, $S \subseteq \lambda$.)

Suppose

(*) c is a two place function from λ to θ , c subadditive.

Then for some closed unbounded $C \subseteq \lambda$, for every $\delta \in S \cap C$ of cofinality $> \theta$,

(**) $_{\delta}$ there is $A \subseteq \delta$, such that $\sup A = \delta$, and $\sup\{c(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha < \beta, \alpha \in A, \beta \in A\} < \theta$.

3) We say λ is θ -sawc (sub-additively weakly compact) *if*: for every subadditive two place function d from λ to θ , *there is* an unbounded subset A of λ such that $\sup\{c(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha < \beta, \alpha \in A, \beta \in A\} < \theta$.

7. Fact: In Definition 6(2) the following demand on $\delta \in S \cap C$ is equivalent to (**) $_{\delta}$ when $cf(\delta) > \theta$:

(**) $'_{\delta}$ there are $\alpha_i, \beta_i < \delta$ for $i < cf(\delta)$, $\delta = \bigcup_i \alpha_i = \bigcup_i \beta_i$ and $\sup\{c(\alpha_i, \beta_j) : i < j < cf(\delta)\} < \theta$.

Proof: If A is as in (**) $_{\delta}$ choose $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in A$ s.t. $\delta = \bigcup\{\alpha_i : i < cf \delta\}$, $\sup\{\beta_j : j < i\} < \alpha_i < \beta_i$, they are as required.

If $\alpha_i, \beta_i (i < cf(\delta))$ are as in (**) $'_{\delta}$, w.l.o.g. $[j < i \Rightarrow \alpha_i < \beta_i < \alpha_j < \beta_j]$, so as $cf(\delta) > \theta$ for some $\gamma_1 < \theta$

$$B \stackrel{def}{=} \{i : c(\beta_i, \alpha_{i+1}) = \gamma_1\}$$

is unbounded below $cf(\delta)$. Let

$$\gamma_0 = \sup\{c(\alpha_i, \beta_j) : i < j < cf(\delta)\} < \theta.$$

Now $A = \{\beta_i : i \in B\}$ is as required: for $j < i$ in B

$$c(\beta_j, \beta_i) \leq \text{Max}\{c(\beta_j, \alpha_{j+1}), c(\alpha_{j+1}, \beta_i)\} \leq$$

$$\text{Max}\{\gamma_1, \gamma_0\}$$

8. Lemma: 1) Suppose λ, μ, κ are as in 4(2) (so 4(3)) and $\lambda \rightarrow_p (S)_{cf(\mu)}^2$, $S \subseteq \{\delta < \lambda : cf \delta < \kappa\}$ then $S \in I[\lambda]$.

2) If $(\forall \sigma)[\sigma^+ < \mu \rightarrow 2^\sigma < \lambda]$, $S \subseteq \{\delta < \lambda : cf \delta < \mu\}$, $S \in I[\lambda]$ and λ, θ are regular then

$\lambda \rightarrow_p(S)_{\delta}^2$.

3) Suppose λ, μ, κ are as in 4(2), c as in 4(3) (a),(b). Then for any $\delta < \lambda$ and $S \subseteq cf \delta$, there is an increasing continuous function $h : cf(\delta) \rightarrow \delta$, $\delta = \sup\{h(i) : i < cf(\delta)\}$, and a club $c \subseteq \delta$ such that

$$[cf \delta \rightarrow p(S)_{cf \mu}^2 \Rightarrow c \cap h''(S) \subseteq S_{\lambda}^{*p}[c]]$$

9. Remark: Particularly assuming G.C.H. 4(3), 8(1), 8(2), 8(3) fits nicely.

Proof of 8: 1) Let c be a two place function satisfying 4(3) (a) + (b). By Definition 6, there is a closed unbounded $C \subseteq \lambda$ such that for $\delta \in C \cap S$ of cofinality $> cf(\mu)$, $(**)_{\delta}$ hold. Now $\{\delta < \lambda : cf \delta \leq cf(\mu)\} \subseteq T_{\lambda}$ [by 4(2) as $\mu^{<cf \mu} \leq \sum_{\substack{\chi < \mu \\ \theta < cf \mu}} \chi^{\theta} \leq \sum_{\chi < \mu} \chi^{<\kappa}$ hence $\lambda^{<cf \mu} = (\mu^+)^{<cf \mu} = \mu^+ = \lambda$] so we can assume $cf(\delta) > cf(\mu)$. Now $(**)_{\delta}$ implies $\delta \in S_{\lambda}^{*p}[c]$ (see 4(3)(c)), so by 4(3) we finish.

2) Let c be a two place function from λ to θ , subadditive. Let χ be regular large enough, and w.l.o.g. let $\langle a_i : i < \lambda \rangle$ exemplify $S \in I[\lambda]$ witnessed by C_0 with $otp(a_i) < \mu$ (see 2(2) above). Let $\langle N_i : i < \lambda \rangle$ be increasing continuous such that $N_i < (H(\chi), \epsilon)$, $\langle a_i : i < \lambda \rangle \in N_0$, $N_i \cap \lambda$ is an ordinal, $||N_i|| < \lambda$, and $\langle N_j : j \leq i \rangle \in N_{i+1}$. Let $C = \{i < \lambda : N_i \cap \lambda = i \text{ and } i \in C_0\}$ (it is closed unbounded). Suppose $\delta \in C \cap S$, $cf(\delta) > \theta$, then there is $a \subseteq \delta = \sup \delta$ such that $(\forall \alpha \in a)[a \cap \alpha \in \{a_j : j < \delta\}]$ hence $(\forall \alpha \in a)[a \cap \alpha \in N_{\delta}]$, and we also know $otp(a) = cf(\delta)$; and let $\{\alpha_i : i < cf(\delta)\}$ be an increasing enumeration of a . So there are $\alpha_i < \delta$, $[i < j \Rightarrow \alpha_i < \alpha_j]$, $\delta = \bigcup \{\alpha_i : i < cf \delta\}$ and for $i < cf(\delta)$, $\{\alpha_j : j < i\} \in N_{\beta_i}$ for some $\beta_i < \delta$. As for $i < cf \delta$, $|\{\alpha_j : j < i\}| < cf \delta < \mu$, so $2^{|\{\alpha_j : j < i\}|} < \lambda$ hence $\{\zeta : \zeta < 2^{|\{\alpha_j : j < i\}|}\} \subseteq N_{\delta}$, so every subset of $\{\alpha_j : j < i\}$ belongs to $N_{\beta_{i+1}} < N_{\delta}$. As $cf(\delta) > \theta$ for some $\gamma < \theta$, $A = \{i < cf(\delta) : c(\alpha_i, \delta) < \gamma\}$ is unbounded below $cf(\delta)$, so by the previous sentence w.l.o.g. $A = cf(\delta)$. So $N_{\delta+1} \models (\exists x)(\forall y \in \{\alpha_j : j < i\}) [c(y, x) \leq \gamma \wedge \alpha_i < x]$ (as δ witness the $\exists x$) so there is such x in $N_{\beta_{i+1}}$ call it β_i . So α_i, β_i are as required in $(**)'_{\delta}$ of Fact 7, so by 7 we finish.

3) Follows.

10. Lemma: 1) If $S \in I[\lambda]$ is stationary, and $(\forall \delta \in S)[cf(\delta) < \mu]$, and P is a μ -complete forcing notion ($\mu > \aleph_0$) then " $\Vdash_P S$ " is a stationary subset of the ordinal λ "

2) If $S \subseteq \{\delta < \lambda : cf(\delta) < \mu\}$ is stationary but included in $S_\lambda^{*\mu}$ (see 3(3)), μ regular and $\lambda = \lambda^{<\mu}$ then for some μ -complete forcing notion P , $\Vdash_P "S \text{ is not stationary}"$ (in fact $P = \text{Levi}(\mu, \lambda)$ is O.K.)

Remark: As for 10(2), it repeats Theorem 21, p. 366 of [Sh 6], Donder and Ben David note a defect: in the case $\lambda = \lambda^{<\lambda}$ (really $\lambda = \lambda^{<\mu}$) in the definition of the forcing $P (= \langle \alpha_i : i \leq \zeta \rangle : \alpha_i \text{ increasing continuous } B_{\alpha_{i+1}} = \{\alpha_j : j \leq i\})$ we forget to demand $\zeta < \mu$. [Note however that automatically $\zeta \leq \mu$ as each B_i has cardinality $< \mu$, so we should just omit the maximal elements of P which make P totally trivial].

For the general case ($\lambda < \lambda^{<\mu}$) note that if some weak form of it fails, our definition of the set $S_\lambda^{*\mu}$ make it empty. I.e. by Definition 8, p. 36 of [Sh 6], $S_\lambda^{*\mu}$ make it empty. I.e. by Definition 2(1), 2(1), p. 359 of [Sh 6] relying on Definition 1, p. 358 of [Sh 6]. This demand " $S_\lambda^{*\mu} \subseteq gcf(x)$ " is reasonable, as otherwise we cannot prove there is such a set. See here later. [18,19]

Proof: 1) Use $(\forall s)(s \in I[\lambda] \Rightarrow s \in I^+[\lambda])$ from 16(2) (see Definition 15)

2) Let $\langle a_i : i < \lambda \rangle$ list the subsets of λ of cardinality $< \mu$, each appearing λ times. If $P = \text{Levi}(\mu, \lambda)$, in V^P λ has cofinality μ , so let $\langle \alpha_i : i < \mu \rangle$ be increasing, $\alpha_i < \lambda$, $\bigcup_{i < \mu} \alpha_i = \lambda$. But forcing with P add no sequences of ordinals of length $< \mu$, so we can find inductively $j(i) < \lambda$, $j(i) > \bigcup \{j(\xi), \alpha_\xi : \xi < i\}$, $a_{j(i)} = \{\alpha_\xi : \xi < i\}$. Now $\{\delta < \lambda : \text{the set } \{j(i) : i < \mu\} \cap \delta \text{ is unbounded in } \delta\}$ is a club of λ in V^P , included in a good subset of λ from V .

10A Remark: It is natural to force with $Q(\langle a_i : i < \lambda \rangle) = \{\langle i_\zeta : \zeta < \xi^* \rangle : \zeta^* < \kappa, i_\zeta < \lambda, [\zeta(1) < \zeta(2) \Rightarrow i_{\zeta(1)} < i_{\zeta(2)}], \text{ and } a_{i_\zeta} = \langle i_\xi : \xi < \zeta \rangle.$

* * *

In [Sh 6] we define $S_\lambda^{*\mu}$ inside a larger set than $\{\delta < \lambda : \lambda^{<cf \delta} = \lambda\}$ (see 3(3)). We will present this addition, improved, i.e. $Gcf[\lambda]$, $gcf(\lambda)$ are bigger sets here than in [Sh 6, Definition 2].

11 Definition: 1) For a family F of subsets of θ let

$$tr(F) = \{A \cap \alpha : A \in F, \alpha < \theta\}$$

2) For θ regular uncountable let $club_{tr}(\theta) = \text{Min}\{|tr(F)| : F \text{ is a family of closed unbounded subsets of } \theta \text{ such that: every closed unbounded subset of } \theta \text{ contains some members of } F\}$.

Let $club_{tr}[\aleph_0] = \aleph_0$ and let F_θ exemplify $club_{tr}(\theta) = |F_\theta|$.

$$3) Gcf[\lambda] = \{\theta : \theta \text{ is regular } \geq \aleph_0 \text{ and, } \lambda = \lambda^{<\theta} \text{ or } club_{tr}(\theta) < \lambda\}$$

$$4) gcf[\lambda] = \{\delta < \kappa : cf \delta \in gcf[\lambda], cf(\delta) < \delta\} \cup \{\delta < \lambda : \delta \text{ a (weakly) inaccessible cardinal}\} \cup \{\alpha < \lambda : \alpha = 0, \text{ or } \alpha \text{ successor ordinal}\}$$

$$4) gcf_{ac}[\lambda] = \{\delta \in gcf[\lambda] : cf \delta < \delta\}$$

12 Fact: 1) If GCH, $\lambda > \aleph_0$ regular then $Gcf[\lambda] = \{\theta : \theta \text{ regular } < \lambda\}$, $gcf[\lambda] = \lambda$.

2) For regular uncountable θ , $\theta < club_{tr}(\theta) \leq 2^{<\theta} \leq 2^\theta$.

3) If $2^{<\theta} \leq \lambda$, (θ, λ regular) then $\theta \in Gcf(\lambda)$ [as this implies either $\lambda = 2^{<\theta}$ hence $\lambda = \lambda^{<\theta}$ or $\lambda > 2^{<\theta}$ hence $\lambda > club_{tr}(\theta)$].

13 Definition: 1) We call \bar{a} an *enumeration* for λ if $\bar{a} = \langle a_i : i < \lambda \rangle$, each a_i a bounded subset of λ .

2) We call \bar{a} a *rich enumeration* for λ if:

- (i) \bar{a} is an enumeration for λ
- (ii) if $\lambda = \lambda^\theta$, (hence $\theta < \lambda$) then every subset of λ of cardinality $\leq \theta$ appears in \bar{a}
- (iii) if θ is an uncountable regular cardinal, and $club_{tr}(\theta) \leq \lambda$ then letting F_θ exemplify $club_{tr}(\theta) \leq \lambda$, for every limit ordinal $\delta < \lambda$ of cofinality θ , there is a closed unbounded subset $\{\beta_i^\delta : i < \theta\}$ of δ (β_i^δ increasing continuous) such that

(*) for every $A \in F_\theta$ and $\zeta < \theta$, $\{\beta_i^\delta : i \in A \cap \zeta\}$ appear in \bar{a} .

3) In (1) (and (2)) we replace "enumeration" by $(< \mu)$ -enumeration if we restrict ourselves to subsets of λ of cardinality $< \mu$ i.e. in (ii) $\theta \leq \mu$, in (iii) $\theta \leq \mu$.

4) For an unbounded subset S of λ , we say \bar{a} is a rich enumeration for $(S, \theta)^\ell$ if:

(i) \bar{a} is an enumeration for λ

(ii) if $\ell = 1$, $\lambda = \lambda^{<\theta}$ and every $b \subseteq \lambda$, $|b| < \theta$ appear in \bar{a}

(iii) if $\ell = 2$ $club_{tr}(\theta) \leq \lambda$, then for every $\delta \in S$ of cofinality θ the condition in (2)

(iii) above holds.

14 Fact: 1) For every regular uncountable λ there is a rich enumeration;

2) For every $\lambda = cf \lambda > \mu$, λ has a rich μ -enumeration.

15 Definition: For λ regular uncountable

$I^+[\lambda] = \{S \subseteq \lambda : \text{for every cardinal } \chi > \lambda \text{ and } x \in H(\chi), \text{ for some closed } C \subseteq \lambda, \text{ for every } \delta \in C \cap S \text{ there are a limit } \gamma < \delta, \text{ and } N_i \prec (H(\chi), \in, x, \lambda), \text{ for } i < \gamma, \text{ such that } \langle N_j : j \leq i \rangle \in N_i, N_i \cap \lambda \text{ is an ordinal } \alpha_i < \delta \text{ and } \delta = \bigcup_{i < \gamma} \alpha_i\}$.

16 Fact: 1) $I^+[\lambda]$ is a normal ideal on λ and in its definition w.l.o.g. $\gamma = cf \delta$,

2) $I[\lambda] \subseteq I^+[\lambda]$

3) If $S \subseteq gcf[\lambda]$ then: $S \in I[\lambda] \Leftrightarrow S \in I^+[\lambda]$

4) There is $S_{\lambda}^{*n} \subseteq gcf[\lambda]$, such that for every rich enumeration \bar{a} for λ and $S \subseteq Gcf[\lambda]$: $S \in I[\lambda]$ if and only if $S \in I^+[\lambda]$ if and only if $S \cap S_{\lambda}^{*n} = \emptyset \text{ mod } D_{\lambda}$ if and only if $S \subseteq S_{\lambda}^{*p}[\bar{a}] \text{ mod } D_{\lambda}$. We let $S_{\lambda, <\theta}^{*n} = \{\delta < \lambda : cf(\delta) = \theta, \delta \in S_{\lambda}^{*n}\}$ (this replace 3(3)) and

$$S_{\lambda, <\theta}^{*n} \stackrel{def}{=} \{\delta < \lambda : cf \delta < \theta, \delta \in S_{\lambda}^{*n}\}$$

5) for every rich enumeration \bar{a} for λ , $gcd[\lambda] - S_{\lambda}^{*n}[\bar{a}] \equiv S_{\lambda}^{*q} \text{ mod } D_{\lambda}$.

6) for any $\theta < \lambda$, suppose (A) $\lambda = \lambda^{<\theta}$, $\{b \subseteq \lambda : |b| < \theta\} \subseteq \{a_i : i < \lambda\}$ (like 11(2)(ii) or (B) $club_{tr}(\theta) < \lambda$, F_{θ} exemplify it and \bar{a} satisfies 11(2)(iii) for every $\delta \in S \subseteq \{\delta < \lambda : cf \delta > cf \theta\}$. Then $S \cap S_{\lambda, \theta}^{*n} = S - S_{\lambda}^{*p}[\bar{a}]$.

Proof: 1) The normality is easy, the "w.l.o.g. $\gamma = cf \delta$ " is proved as in 2(2).

2) Let $S \in I[\lambda]$, so for some enumeration $\bar{a} = \langle a_i : i < \lambda \rangle$ for λ , $C \cap S \subseteq S_\lambda^{*q}[\bar{a}]$ for some closed unbounded $C \subseteq \lambda$. Let $\chi > \lambda$, $x \in H(\chi)$. We can find $\langle N_\zeta : \zeta < \lambda \rangle$ increasing continuous, $N_\zeta \prec (H(\chi), \in, x)$, such that $N_\zeta \cap \lambda$ is an ordinal $\|N_\zeta\| < \lambda$, $\langle N_j : j \leq \zeta \rangle \in N_{\zeta+1}$ and $C, \bar{a} \in N_0$. So $C' \stackrel{def}{=} \{\delta < \lambda : \delta \in C \text{ and } N_\delta \cap \lambda = \delta\}$ is a closed unbounded subset of λ .

Now for every $\delta \in C' \cap S$, there is a_i from \bar{a} , $otp(a_i) < \sup(a_i) = \delta$ and for $\alpha \in a_i$, $\alpha \cap a_i \in \{a_j : j < \delta\}$. As $\bar{a} \in N_0$ clearly $\{a_j : i < \delta\} \subseteq \bigcup_{\zeta < \delta} N_\zeta$. Let $a_i = \{\gamma_\varepsilon : \varepsilon < otp a_i\}$. Now

we try to define by induction on $\varepsilon < otp(a_i)$ an ordinal $\zeta_\varepsilon < \delta$:

for $\varepsilon = 0$: $\zeta_\varepsilon = 0$

for ε limit: $\zeta_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{\beta < \varepsilon} \zeta_\beta$,

for ε successor: ζ_ε is the first ordinal ζ satisfying ζ is bigger than γ_ε and $\langle N_{\zeta_\beta} : \beta < \varepsilon \rangle$ belongs to N_{ζ_ε} .

The only reason for stopping is: ε limit $\bigcup_{\beta < \varepsilon} \zeta_\beta = \delta$; once this occurs at ε_0 , $\langle N_{\zeta_\varepsilon} : \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 \rangle$ is as required [otherwise for limit and for zero there is no problem, and for ε successor, $\zeta_{\varepsilon-1}$ is defined and $< \delta$, so for some β , $\zeta_{\varepsilon-1} < \gamma_\beta < \delta$ [where $a_i = \{\gamma_\beta : \beta < otp a_i\}$] now $\langle \zeta_\beta : \beta < \varepsilon \rangle$ is definable inside the model $(H(\chi), \in)$ from the parameters $\langle N_j : j < \gamma_\beta \rangle$, $\langle \gamma_j : j < \beta \rangle$ only; as both are in $\bigcup_{j < \delta} N_j$, is $\langle \zeta_\beta : \beta < \alpha \rangle$, and similarly so is ζ_ε].

3) Fix $S \subseteq gcf[\lambda]$; by 16(2) it is enough to assume $S \in I^+[\lambda]$ and prove $S \in I[\lambda]$, we prove more in 16A below.

4) S_λ^{*n} is $gcf[\lambda] - S_\lambda^{*p}[\bar{a}]$ for any rich enumeration \bar{a} for λ .

5), 6) Should be clear.

16A Subfact: If $S \subseteq gcf[\lambda]$, (λ regular uncountable) S belongs to $I^+[\lambda]$ and $\bar{a} = \langle a_i : i < \lambda \rangle$ is a rich enumeration for λ , then $S \subseteq S_\lambda^{*p}[\bar{a}] \text{ mod } D_\lambda$.

Proof of 16A: Let $x = \bar{a}$, $\chi = (2^\lambda)^+$, so as $S \in I^+[\lambda]$ (see Definition 15), there is a closed unbounded $C \subseteq \lambda$ such that (see 16(1)):

(*) for every $\delta \in C \cap \delta$ there is $\bar{N} = \langle N_i : i < cf(\delta) \rangle$ as in Definition 15.

Fix $\delta \in C \cap S$, and \bar{N} and let $\theta = cf \delta$, $\alpha_i = N_i \cap \lambda$. Remember that $N_i \cap \{a_j : j < \lambda\} = \{a_j : j < \alpha_i\}$. We shall show that $\delta \in S_\lambda^{*p}[\bar{a}]$, thus finishing.

Case 1: $\lambda^{<\theta} = \lambda$ (e.g. $cf \delta \leq \aleph_0$).

In this case for each $i(*) < \theta$, $\{\alpha_i : i < i(*)\}$ belongs to $\{a_j : j < \lambda\}$ (as \bar{a} is rich) and to $N_{i(*)+1}$ (as $\langle N_i : i < i(*) \rangle \in N_{i(*)+1}$, and $\lambda \in N_{i(*)+1}$); hence $\{\alpha_i : i < i(*)\}$ belongs to their intersection which is $\{a_j : j < \alpha_i\}$. So $\langle \alpha_i : i < i(*) \rangle$ exemplify $\delta \in S_\lambda^{*p}(\bar{a})$, as required.

Case 2: $cf \delta < \delta$, $club_{tr}(\theta) < \lambda$ where $\theta = cf \delta > \aleph_0$.

Let $F_{cf \delta}$ exemplify $club_{tr}(\theta) = |tr(F_\theta)|$, and let $\{\beta_i^\delta : i < \theta\}$ be as in Definition 13(1) (iii). So $A_\theta = \{i < \theta : \beta_i^\delta = \alpha_i\}$ is a club of θ , hence for some club $A \in F_\theta$, $A \subseteq A_\theta$. By 13(1) (iii) for every $i(*) < \theta$, $\{\beta_i^\delta : i \in A, i < i(*)\}$ belongs to $\{a_i : i < \lambda\}$, but $A \cap i(*) \in \bigcup_{i < \theta} N_i$ [as $\theta < \delta$, hence w.l.o.g. $F_\theta \in \bigcup_{i < \theta} N_i$ hence $tr(F_\theta) \in \bigcup_{i < \theta} N_i$, but $|tr(F_\theta)| < \lambda$ hence $tr(F_\theta) \subseteq \bigcup_{i < \theta} N_i$]. Hence $\{\alpha_i : i \in A \cap i(*)\} \in \bigcup_{i < \theta} N_i$, so we finish.

Case 3: $\delta = cf \delta$.

Trivial.

17 Lemma: Suppose in V , $\lambda > \aleph_0$ is regular, $\theta \in Gcf[\lambda]$, so $S_{\lambda, \theta}^{*n}$ is defined.

Suppose further V^1 is an extension of the universe V (say same ordinals), $V^1 \models "$ $\lambda > \aleph_0$ is regular", and

(*)₁ $V^1 \models$ "every subset of λ of cardinality $< \theta$ belongs to V ", $V \models "$ $\lambda = \lambda^{<\theta}$ ", or

(*)₂ $V^1 \models "F_\theta^V \text{ satisfies for every club } C \text{ of } \theta, \text{ there is } A \in F_\theta^V, A \subseteq C"$ (but maybe $V^1 \models "\theta \text{ not a cardinal}"$) and $V \models "|F_\theta^V| = club_{tr}(\theta) < \lambda"$

Then

- (i) $V^1 \models "cf \theta \in Gcf[\lambda] \text{ or } cf \theta = \aleph_0"$; and
- (ii) $V^1 \models "S_{\lambda, cf \theta}^{*\aleph} \cap \{\delta : V \models cf \delta = \theta\} \equiv (S_{\lambda, \theta}^{*\aleph})^V \text{ mod } D_\lambda"$ or equivalently: in V^1 , $(S_{\lambda, \theta}^{*\aleph})^V / D_\lambda$ is disjoint to every S / D_λ , $S \in I[\lambda]$.

Proof: Let \bar{a} be a rich enumeration for λ in V .

By (*), \bar{a} is still a rich enumeration in V^1 for $S = \{\delta < \lambda : V \models cf \delta = \theta\}$. By 16(6) we finish.

18 Lemma: If $\lambda > \aleph_0$ is regular, $S \in I^+[\lambda]$, $S \subseteq \{\delta < \lambda : cf \delta < \mu\}$, P is a μ -complete forcing notion then

$\Vdash_P "S \text{ is a stationary subset of } \lambda \text{ (as an ordinal, } \lambda \text{ may or may not be a cardinal)"}$

Complementary to 18 is

19 Lemma: Suppose $\theta \in Gcf(\lambda)$, $\aleph_0 < \theta \leq \mu = cf \bar{\mu} < \lambda$ so $S_{\lambda, \theta}^{*\aleph}$ is well defined.

1) If $\mu = \theta$, $\lambda = \lambda^{<\theta}$, $\Vdash_{Levi(\mu, \lambda)} "(S_{\lambda, \theta}^{*\aleph})^V \text{ is not stationary (as a subset of the ordinal } \lambda, \text{ (remember } Levi(\theta, \lambda) = \{f : f \text{ a function from some } \alpha < \theta \text{ to } \lambda\}, \text{ it is } \theta\text{-complete})}$.

2) If $S_{\mu, \theta}^{*\aleph} = \emptyset$, $\lambda = \lambda^{<\theta}$, $\Vdash_{Levi(\mu, \lambda)} "(S_{\lambda, \theta}^{*\aleph})^V \text{ is not stationary}"$.

3) In (1) and (2) we can replace $Levi(\theta, \lambda)$, by any forcing notion P which adds to λ no new subset of power $< \mu$ and $\Vdash_P "cf \lambda = \mu"$.

4) In (1),(2) we can replace " $\lambda = \lambda^{<\theta}$ " by $club_{tr}(\theta) < \lambda$, if we replace $Levi(\mu, \lambda)$ by $Levi(\lambda, \lambda^{<\theta}) * Levi(\mu, \lambda)$.

Remark: A more general forcing is as follows: Let $\theta < \lambda$, $\kappa \leq \theta$, $\bar{b} = \langle b_i : i < \lambda \rangle$ exemplify that $S_\theta \in I[\theta]$ and $[\delta < \theta \wedge \delta \in S_\theta \Rightarrow cf \delta < \kappa]$ or just for some $\sigma = cf \sigma < \kappa$, $S_\theta = \{\delta < \theta : cf \delta = \sigma\}$, $S \subseteq \{\delta < \lambda : cf \delta = \sigma\}$ and $\mathcal{Q}^{\bar{b}, \theta} = \{\langle i_\zeta : \zeta < \zeta^* \rangle : \zeta^* < \theta, i_\zeta < \lambda,$

$[\zeta(1) < \zeta(2) \Rightarrow i_{\zeta(1)} < i_{\zeta(2)}], a_{i_\zeta} = \{i_\xi : \xi \in b_\zeta\}$.

20 Claim: Suppose $\theta = cf \theta > \aleph_0$ for the regular cardinals λ and μ , $\lambda > \mu$ and $club_{tr}(\theta) < \mu$.

1) Given $S_\lambda^{*n}, S_\mu^{*n}$, there is a club $C \subseteq \lambda$ such that: for every $\delta \in C$ of cofinality μ , there is an increasing continuous sequence $\langle \alpha_i : i < \mu \rangle$, $\bigcup_{i < \mu} \alpha_i = \delta$ and a club c of μ satisfying

$[i \in c \wedge cf i = \theta \wedge i \notin S_\mu^{*n} \Rightarrow i \notin S_\lambda^{*n}]$.

2) If \bar{a} is a rich enumeration for (λ, θ) , then $\{\delta < \lambda : cf \delta = \mu\}$ implies that for some α_i, β_i ($i < \mu$): $\langle \alpha_i : i < \mu \rangle$ is increasing continuous with limit δ , $\beta_i < \mu$ and defining for $i < \mu$, $b_i = \{j : a_j \in a_{\beta_i}\}$, $\langle b_i : i < \mu \rangle$ is a rich enumeration for $(\mu, \theta) \in D_\lambda$.

21. Lemma: 1) If κ is supercompact and e.g. $\lambda > \kappa > cf \lambda$, then $I[\lambda^+]$ is a proper ideal: $\lambda^+ \notin I[\lambda^+]$.

3) After suitable collapses, e.g. $cf \lambda = \aleph_0 < \lambda$ but still $\lambda^+ \notin I[\lambda^+]$.

22. Problem: 1) Is G.C.H. + $\{\delta < \aleph_{\omega+1} : cf \delta > \aleph_1\} \notin I[\aleph_{\omega+1}]$ consistent with ZFC.

2) Is

$(*) 2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_{\omega+1} +$ "there is no stationary $S \in I[\aleph_{\omega+1}]$ "

consistent with ZFC?

3) Is

$(*) 2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_{\omega+1} +$ for no ultrafilter D on ω , $cf(\pi(\aleph_n, <)/D) = \aleph_{\omega+1}$

consistent with ZFC.

Remark: " $\aleph_{\omega+1}$ is a Jonson cardinal" implies (*) of (3) (see [Sh 9] which implies (*) of (2) (see [Sh])).

Having F cause slight inconvenience.

We define by induction on $\alpha < \lambda^+$, (M_α, N_α, a) , and $N_\gamma^*, N_\gamma^*, M_\gamma^*, f_\gamma, g_\gamma$: for suitable γ 's such that

- (A) $M_\alpha, N_\alpha, M_\alpha^*, N_\alpha^*$ are isomorphic to M^* .
- (B) M_β ($\beta \leq \alpha$) is \langle_K - increasing continuous and similarly $N_\beta, M_\beta^*, N_\beta^*$.
- (C) $F(M_{i+1}^*) = M_{i+2}$
- (D) $F(N_{i+1}^*) = N_{i+2}^*$
- (E) $(M_\beta, N_\beta, a) < (M_\alpha, N_\alpha, a)$ for $\beta < \alpha$.
- (F) for γ limit or zero f_γ is an isomorphism from M_γ^* onto M_γ , g_γ is an isomorphism from N_γ^* onto N_γ .
- (G) for γ limit or zero, $n > 0$: f_γ is an isomorphism from $N_{\gamma+n}^*$ onto $N_{\gamma+2n}$, g_γ is an isomorphism from $M_{\gamma+n}^*$ onto $M_{\gamma+2n-1}$.