Sh:1137

Archive for Mathematical Logic
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00153-019-00665-y

Mathematical Logic

The spectrum of independence

Vera Fischer[1] · **Saharon Shelah**[2]

Received: 15 March 2018 / Accepted: 18 February 2019

Abstract

We study the set of possible sizes of maximal independent families to which we refer as spectrum of independence and denote $\mathrm{Spec}(mif)$. Here *mif* abbreviates *maximal independent family*. We show that:

1. whenever $\kappa_1 < \cdots < \kappa_n$ are finitely many regular uncountable cardinals, it is consistent that $\{\kappa_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathrm{Spec}(mif)$;
2. whenever κ has uncountable cofinality, it is consistent that $\mathrm{Spec}(mif) = \{\aleph_1, \kappa = \mathfrak{c}\}$.

Assuming large cardinals, in addition to (1) above, we can provide that

$$(\kappa_i, \kappa_{i+1}) \cap \mathrm{Spec}(mif) = \emptyset$$

for each i, $1 \leq i < n$.

Keywords Cardinal characteristics · Independent families · Spectrum · Sacks indestructibility · Ultrapowers

Mathematics Subject Classification 03E17 · 03E35

1 Introduction

We study the set of possible sizes of maximal independent families. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a family of infinite subsets of ω. Following [10] we denote by $\mathrm{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all partial

✉ Vera Fischer
vera.fischer@univie.ac.at

Saharon Shelah
shelah@math.huji.ac.il

1 Gödel Research Center, University of Vienna, Währinger Strasse 25, 1090 Vienna, Austria

2 Einstein Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Givat Ram, 9190401 Jerusalem, Israel

functions $h : \mathcal{A} \to 2$ with finite domain, denoted $\mathrm{dom}(h)$. For $h \in \mathrm{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ let $\mathcal{A}^h = \bigcap\{A^{h(A)} : A \in \mathrm{dom}(h)\}$, where $A^{h(A)} = A$ if $h(A) = 0$ and $A^{h(A)} = \omega \backslash A$ if $h(A) = 1$. A family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is said to be *independent* if for every $h \in \mathrm{FF}(\mathcal{A})$, the set $\mathcal{A}^h$ is infinite. It is *maximal independent* if in addition, it is not properly included in another maximal independent family. The minimal size of a maximal independent family is denoted $\mathfrak{i}$ and is referred to as the *independence number*.

Compared to the other classical cardinal characteristics of the continuum, the independence number seems to be one of the less studied (for an excellent exposition of the subject of cardinal characteristics, we refer the reader to [2]). In this article we study the set of possible sizes of maximal independent families, to which we refer as *spectrum of independence* and denote $\mathrm{Spec}(mif)$. It seems surprisingly difficult to control those possible sizes. A Cohen generic real for example, destroys the maximality of all ground model maximal independent families. Below $\mathfrak{i}$ are $\mathfrak{d}$ and $\mathfrak{r}$ (see [2]), as well as $\mathrm{cof}(\mathcal{M})$ (see [1]). However apart from $\mathfrak{c}$, there are no other known upper bounds. In [10] the second author of the current article shows that consistently $\mathfrak{i} < \mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{c} = \aleph_2$, construction which will later be observed to provide the existence of a Sacks indestructible maximal independent families. For a detailed proof of the existence of such families see [4]. Alternatively the consistency of $\mathfrak{i} < \mathfrak{c}$ can be obtained via a finite support iterations of ccc posets (see [6, Proposition 18.11]), result due to Brendle. Recent studies on the structure of independent families can be found in [4,8].

Our article is organized as follows: In Sect. 2, to a given independent family $\mathcal{A}$ we associate a family of special filters $\mathcal{U}$, to which we refer as an $\mathcal{A}$*-diagonalization filters*, such that the relativized Mathias poset $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U})$ adjoins a generic real $\sigma_\mathcal{A}$ with the following diagonalization property: $\mathcal{A} \cup \{\sigma_\mathcal{A}\}$ is independent and furthermore for each $x \in V \cap ([\omega]^\omega \backslash \mathcal{A})$ such that $\mathcal{A} \cup \{x\}$ is independent, the family $\mathcal{A} \cup \{x, \sigma_\mathcal{A}\}$ is not maximal. This property allows us in an appropriate finite support iteration to guarantee that any finite set of regular cardinals does appear as a subset of $\mathrm{Spec}(mif)$ (see Theorem 5). In Sect. 3, we study Sacks extensions (extensions obtained via long countable support products of Sacks forcing) of models of CH and show that in those models there are no maximal independent families of intermediate size, i.e. of cardinalities λ where $\aleph_1 < \lambda < \mathfrak{c}$. Finally, in Sect. 4, at the price of assuming large cardinals, we show that the spectrum of independence is not necessarily convex. In fact, the spectrum can exclude finitely many intervals of the form $(\kappa_i, \kappa_{i+1}) = \{\lambda : \kappa_i < \lambda < \kappa_{i+1}\}$. We conclude with some well known open questions, which motivated this work. More is in a paper under preparation.

2 Diagonalizing an independent family

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an independent family and let $\mathrm{bhull}(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of all Boolean combinations of $\mathcal{A}$. That is $\mathrm{bhull}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathcal{A}^h : h \in \mathrm{FF}(\mathcal{A})\}$. Then the Frechét filter, denoted $\mathcal{F}_0$, has the following two properties:

1. $\forall F \in \mathcal{F}_0 \forall B \in \mathrm{bhull}(\mathcal{A})$, $F \cap B$ is infinite, and
2. $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap \mathrm{bhull}(\mathcal{A}) = \emptyset$.

Definition 1 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an independent family. A filter $\mathcal{U}$ is said to be an *$\mathcal{A}$-diagonalization filter*, if $\mathcal{U}$ extends $\mathcal{F}_0$ and $\mathcal{U}$ is maximal with respect to the above two properties.

Whenever $\mathcal{U}$ is a filter, denote by $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U})$ the Mathias poset relativized to $\mathcal{U}$. The conditions of $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U})$ are all pairs of the form $(s, A) \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \times [\omega]^\omega$ where $\max s < \min A$. A condition (s_2, A_2) extends (s_1, A_1), denoted $(s_2, A_2) \leq (s_1, A_1)$, if s_2 end-extends s_1, $s_2 \backslash s_1 \subseteq A_1$ and $A_2 \subseteq A_1$.

Lemma 2 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an independent family, $\mathcal{U}$ an $\mathcal{A}$-diagonalization filter and let G be $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U})$-generic filter. Let $x_G = \bigcup\{s : \exists F(s, F) \in G\}$. Then:*

1. *$\mathcal{A} \cup \{x_G\}$ is independent;*
2. *If $y \in ([\omega]^\omega \backslash \mathcal{A}) \cap V$ is such that $\mathcal{A} \cup \{y\}$ is independent, then $\mathcal{A} \cup \{x_G, y\}$ is not independent.*

Proof (1) Let $h \in \mathrm{FF}(\mathcal{A})$, $n \in \omega$. Consider the set

$$D_{h,n} := \{(s, F) \in \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U}) : |s \cap \mathcal{A}^h| > n\}.$$

Pick any $(s, F) \in \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U})$. Then $F \cap \mathcal{A}^h$ is infinite and so we can find $t \subseteq F \cap \mathcal{A}^h$ such that $\max s < \min t$ and $|t| > n$. Then $(s \cup t, F \backslash (\max t + 1))$ is an extension of (s, F) from $D_{h,n}$ and so $D_{h,n}$ is dense. Since h, n were arbitrary, we obtain that $\mathcal{A}^h \cap x_G$ is infinite for each h.

Again, fix h, n as above and consider the set

$$E_{h,n} := \{(s, F) : |(\min F \backslash \max s) \cap \mathcal{A}^h| > n\}.$$

Consider an arbitrary $(s, F) \in \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U})$. Find an initial segment t of $\mathcal{A}^h \backslash (\max s + 1)$ such that $|t| > n$. Then $(s, F \backslash (\max t + 1))$ is an extension of (s, F) from $E_{h,n}$ and so $E_{h,n}$ is dense. Again, since h, n were arbitrary we obtain that $\mathcal{A}^h \backslash x_G$ is infinite, for each h.

(2) Let $y \in ([\omega]^\omega \backslash \mathcal{A}) \cap V$ be such that $\mathcal{A} \cup \{y\}$ is independent. If $y \in \mathcal{U}$ then $x_G \subseteq^* y$ and so $x_G \cap (\omega \backslash y)$ is finite. If $y \notin \mathcal{U}$, the reason must be that either there is $F \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $F \cap y$ is finite, and so $x_G \cap y$ is finite, or there are $F \in \mathcal{U}$ and $h \in \mathrm{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $F \cap y \subseteq \mathcal{A}^h$. Let $C \in \mathrm{dom}(h)$ and wlg assume $h(C) = 1$. Then $F \cap y \subseteq^* \mathcal{A}^h \subseteq C$, which implies that $x_G \cap y \cap (\omega \backslash C)$ is finite. In each of the above cases, $\mathcal{A} \cup \{x_G, y\}$ is not independent. □

The above Lemma gives rise to the following more general definition:

Definition 3 We say that $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ *diagonalizes $\mathcal{A}$ over V_0 (in V_1)* iff

1. V_1 extends V_0, $(\mathcal{A}$ is independent$)^{V_0}$,
2. $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \in ([\omega]^{\aleph_0})^{V_1} \backslash V_0$, $\mathcal{A} \cup \{\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ is independent and
3. whenever $x \in ([\omega]^\omega)^{V_0} \backslash \mathcal{A}$ is such that $V_0 \models \mathcal{A} \cup \{x\}$ is independent, then $V_1 \models \mathcal{A} \cup \{x, \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ is not independent.

Corollary 4 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an independent family, $\mathcal{U}$ an $\mathcal{A}$-diagonalization filter and let G be a $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U})$-generic filer. Then the Mathias generic real*

$$x_G = \bigcup\{s : \exists A(s, A) \in G\}$$

diagonalizes $\mathcal{A}$ over the ground model.

Theorem 5 (GCH) *Let $\kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < \cdots < \kappa_n$ be finitely many regular uncountable cardinals. Then, it is consistent that $\{\kappa_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq Spec(mif)$.*

Proof Let γ^* be the ordinal product $\kappa_n \cdot \kappa_{n-1} \cdots \kappa_1$. For each $j = 1, \dots, n$ let $I_j \subseteq \gamma^*$ be such that I_j is unbounded in γ^*, $|I_j| = \kappa_j$ and $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^{j=n}$ are pairwise disjoint. Along I_j inductively we can construct (by forcing) a maximal independent family of cardinality κ_j. Indeed. Define a finite support iteration $\langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\beta : \alpha \leq \gamma^*, \beta < \gamma^* \rangle$ as follows. Fix $\alpha < \gamma^*$ and suppose for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have defined sequences of reals $\langle r_\gamma^j : \gamma \in I_j \cap \alpha \rangle$ such that

1. for each $\gamma \leq \alpha$, the family $\mathcal{J}_\gamma^j = \{r_\delta^j : \delta \in I_j \cap \gamma\}$ is an independent family, and
2. for each $\gamma < \alpha$, the real r_γ^j diagonalizes $\mathcal{J}_\gamma^j$ over $V^{\mathbb{P}_\gamma}$.

Proceed as follows. If $\alpha \in I_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then pick an $\mathcal{J}_\alpha^j$-diagonalizing filter $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ in $V^{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}$, take $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\alpha$ to be a $\mathbb{P}_\alpha$-name for the relativized Mathias poset $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)$ and r_α^j to be the associated Mathias generic real. If $\alpha \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^n I_j$, then take $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\alpha$ to be a $\mathbb{P}_\alpha$-name for the Cohen poset. Now, using standard properties of finite support iteration, the fact that each κ_j is regular uncountable and property (2) of Lemma 2, one can easily show that in $V^{\mathbb{P}_{\gamma^*}}$ for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the family $\mathcal{J}^j = \{r_\gamma^j : \gamma \in I_j\}$ is maximal independent. □

For clarity, we presented the proof of the above theorem in case the set of values $\{\kappa_j\}_{j=1}^n$ we want to guarantee to appear in Spec(mif) is finite. However, the above argument clearly generalizes. Let λ be the intended size of the continuum, where $\text{cof}(\lambda) > \aleph_0$. Partition λ into θ-many disjoint sets $\langle I_j : j \in \theta \rangle$, such that $|I_j| = \kappa_j$, for some regular uncountable κ_j and I_j cofinal in λ. Using an appropriate bookkeeping function we can do a finite support iteration, such that the iterands corresponding to I_j adjoin a maximal independent family of size κ_j. Then in the final generic extension $\{\kappa_j : j \in \theta\} \subseteq \text{Spec}(mif)$.

3 The spectrum is not necessarily convex

In the following, we will show that the spectrum is not necessarily convex. In fact, it can be rather small, consisting only of $\aleph_1$ and $\mathfrak{c}$. In [10], in a model of CH, the second author constructed a maximal independent family which remains a natural witness to $\mathfrak{i} = \aleph_1$ in a generic extension with $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{c} = \aleph_2$. The construction gives rise to the existence of a Sacks indestructible maximal independent family. That is a maximal independent family, which remains maximal after the countable support iteration of Sacks forcing. A detailed proof of this fact can be found in [4].

Theorem 6 ([4], Corollary 36; [10]) (CH) *There is a maximal independent family, which remains maximal after the countable support iteration of Sacks forcing, as well as after an arbitrarily long countable support product of Sacks forcing.*

An alternative proof of the above theorem which uses diamond principles can be found in [7].

Theorem 7 (CH) *Let λ be a cardinal of uncountable cofinality. Let G be $\mathbb{P}$-generic, where $\mathbb{P}$ is the countable support product of Sacks forcing of length λ. Then $V[G] \vDash Spec(mif) = \{\aleph_1, \lambda\}$.*

Proof Fix κ such that $\aleph_1 < \kappa < \lambda$. We will show that if $\mathcal{A}$ is an independent family of cardinality κ, then $\mathcal{A}$ is not maximal. Towards a contradiction suppose there is $p_\star \in \mathbb{P}$ and a family $\{\tau_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ of $\mathbb{P}$-names for subsets of ω such that $p_\star \Vdash (\{\tau_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ is max independent). For $\alpha < \aleph_2$, let $p_\alpha \leq p_\star$ and let $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \in [\lambda]^{\aleph_0}$ be such that the support of p_α, $\mathrm{dom}(p_\alpha) = \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ and below p_α we can read τ_α continuously (for a detailed presentation of continuous reading of names see [9]).[1] Whenever τ is a nice $\mathbb{P}$-name for an infinite subset of ω and $p \in \mathbb{P}$, we denote by $\tau(\leq p)$ the natural restriction of τ below p. Now, we can find $S \in [\omega_2]^{\aleph_2}$ such that

($\star$) $\langle \mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha \in S\rangle$ is a Δ-system with root $\mathcal{U}_\star$, the sequence $\langle \mathrm{otp}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha) : \alpha \in S\rangle$ is constant, and for $\alpha \neq \beta$ from S, if $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the order preserving function from $\mathcal{U}_\beta$ onto $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$, then $\pi_{\alpha,\beta} \restriction \mathcal{U}_\star = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{U}_\star}$, $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$ maps $\tau_\beta(\leq p_\beta)$ onto $\tau_\alpha(\leq p_\alpha)$.

Now, each τ_α is wlog the $\mathbb{P}$-name depending only on $\aleph_1$ conditions $\{p_{\alpha,i} : i < \omega_1\}$ (because $\mathbb{P}$ is $\aleph_2$-cc). Let $W_\alpha = \bigcup_i \mathrm{dom}(p_{\alpha,i})$. Let $W = \bigcup_{\alpha<\kappa} W_\alpha$. Then $|W| \leq \kappa \times \aleph_1 < \lambda$. We can find $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \lambda$, $\mathrm{otp}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathrm{otp}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in S, \mathcal{U} \cap W = \mathcal{U}_\star$. If $\alpha \in S$ let $\pi_{\alpha,\star}$ be the order preserving function from $\mathcal{U}$ onto $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$. Then consider the condition $p = \pi^{-1}_{\alpha,\star}(p_\alpha)$ and the naturally defined name $\tau = \pi^{-1}(\tau_\alpha(\leq p_\alpha))$. Now $p \leq p_\alpha$ and $p \Vdash (\{\tau\} \cup \{\tau_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$ is independent), which contradicts $p_\star \Vdash (\{\tau_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ is maximal). □

4 Excluding values

Let κ be a measurable cardinal and let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ be a κ-complete ultrafilter. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be a partial order. Then $\mathbb{P}^\kappa/\mathcal{D}$ is defined as the set of all equivalence classes

$$[f] = \{g \in {}^\kappa\mathbb{P} : \{\alpha \in \kappa : f(\alpha) = g(\alpha)\} \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

and is supplied with the partial order relation $[f] \leq [q]$ iff

$$\{\alpha \in \kappa : f(\alpha) \leq_{\mathbb{P}} g(\alpha)\} \in \mathcal{D}.$$

We can identify each $p \in \mathbb{P}$ with the equivalence class $[p] = [f_p]$, where $f_p(\alpha) = p$ for each $\alpha \in \kappa$ and so we can assume $\mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^\kappa/\mathcal{D}$. The following claims can be found in [3, Lemmas 0.1 and 0.2].

[1] An excellent presentation of the properties of Sacks forcing can be found in [5].

Claim 8 1. The poset $\mathbb{P}$ is a complete suborder of $\mathbb{P}^\kappa/\mathcal{D}$ if and only if $\mathbb{P}$ is κ-cc. Thus, if $\mathbb{P}$ is ccc, then $\mathbb{P} \lessdot \mathbb{P}^\kappa/\mathcal{D}$.
2. If $\mathbb{P}$ has the countable chain condition, then so does $\mathbb{P}^\kappa/\mathcal{D}$.

Taking ultrapowers destroys the maximality of small independent families.

Lemma 9 *Let $\mathbb{P}$ be a ccc poset and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\mathbb{P}$-name for an independent family such that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}}$ ($\mathcal{A}$ is independent). Then*

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^\kappa/\mathcal{D}} (\mathcal{A} \textit{ is not maximal}).$$

Proof We can assume that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} |\mathcal{A}| = \lambda \geq \kappa$. Then, each element A_α of $\mathcal{A}$ is represented by countably many antichains $\{p^\alpha_{n,i} : i \in \omega\}$ and $\{k^\alpha_{n,i}\} \subseteq \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$p^\alpha_{n,i} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} n \in \dot{A}_\alpha \text{ iff } k^\alpha_{n,i} = 1.$$

Let $\dot{A}$ be the average of the names $\dot{A}_\alpha$ for $\alpha < \kappa$. That is, for each n, i

$$[p_{n,i}] =< p^\alpha_{n,i} : \alpha \in \kappa > /\mathcal{D} \text{ and } k_{n,i} =< k^\alpha_{n,i} : \alpha < \kappa > /\mathcal{D}.$$

We claim that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^\kappa/\mathcal{D}}$ ($\mathcal{A} \cup \{\dot{A}\}$ is independent). Fix an arbitrary Boolean combination B_β of $\mathcal{A}$. Then for all but finitely many α, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} B_\beta \cap A_\alpha$ is infinite. By the theorem of Łoś for the $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\kappa}$-language we obtain that the average of the A_α's meets B_β on an infinite set. □

We denote by *Even* the class of all ordinals α such that $\alpha = \beta + 2k$ for some limit β and $k \in \omega$, and by *Odd* the class of ordinals α which can be written in the form $\alpha = \beta + 2k + 1$ where β is a limit and $k \in \omega$.

Theorem 10 *Let $\kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < \cdots < \kappa_n$ be measurable cardinals witnessed by κ_i-complete ultrafilters $\mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa_i)$. Then there is a ccc generic extension in which*

$$\{\kappa_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq Spec(mif) \textit{ and } (\kappa_i, \kappa_{i+1}) \cap Spec(mif) = \emptyset$$

for each $1 \leq i < n$.

Proof We will modify the proof of Theorem 5 as follows. Thus, fix γ^* and $I_j \subseteq \gamma^*$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$ as in the proof of 5, but assume in addition that I_j consists of successor cardinals and $\gamma^* = \sup\{\gamma \in I_j : \gamma \in Even\} = \sup\{\gamma \in I_j : \gamma \in Odd\}$. Proceed with the recursive definition of a ccc finite support iteration $\langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\beta : \alpha \leq \gamma^*, \beta < \gamma^* \rangle$. Fix $\alpha < \gamma^*$ and suppose for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we have defined sequences of reals $\langle r^j_\gamma : \gamma \in I_j \cap \text{Even}, \gamma < \alpha \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{J}^j_\alpha = \{r^j_\gamma : \gamma \in I_j \cap \text{Even} \cap \alpha\}$ is an independent family and for each $\gamma \in I_j \cap Even$, r^j_γ diagonalizes $\mathcal{J}^j_\gamma = \{r^j_\delta : \delta \in I_j \cap \gamma \cap Even\}$. Now, continue the construction as follows: If $\alpha \in I_j \cap Even$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, then pick an $\mathcal{J}^j_\alpha$-diagonalizing filter $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ in $V^{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}$, take $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\alpha$ to be a $\mathbb{P}_\alpha$-name for the relativized Mathias poset $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)$ and r^j_α to be

Sh:1137

the associated Mathias generic real. If $\alpha \in I_j \cap Odd$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ then $\alpha = \beta + 1$ for some β and we can take $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\alpha$ to be a $\mathbb{P}_\beta$-name for the quotient poset $\mathbb{R}_\beta$, where $\mathbb{P}_\beta^{\kappa_j}/\mathcal{D}_j = \mathbb{P}_\beta * \mathbb{R}_\beta$. If $\alpha \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^n I_j$, then take $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\alpha$ to be a $\mathbb{P}_\alpha$-name for the Cohen poset.

The reason that each κ_i appears in Spec(mif) in $V^{\mathbb{P}_{\gamma^*}}$ is the same as in Theorem 5. To see that there are no undesired sizes in the spectrum, fix λ such that $\kappa_j < \lambda < \kappa_{j+1}$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and suppose in $V^{\mathbb{P}_{\gamma^*}}$ the family $\mathcal{A}$ is independent of cardinality λ. Since $\mathbb{P}_{\gamma^*}$ is ccc, we can find $\alpha_0 < \gamma^*$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ appears already in $V^{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha_0}}$. However I_j is cofinal in γ^* and we can find an odd $\alpha \in I_j$, where $\alpha = \beta + 1$ for some β, such that $\alpha_0 < \beta$. By Lemma 9 applied to $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_\beta$, the family $\mathcal{A}$ is not maximal in $V^{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}$, and so not maximal in $V^{\mathbb{P}_{\gamma^*}}$. □

5 Concluding remarks

Even though, we just gave an initial analysis of the spectrum of independence our results can be viewed as a very preliminary attempt to address the following two questions:

1. Is it consistent that $\mathfrak{i} < \mathfrak{a}$? Note that the consistency of $\mathfrak{a} < \mathfrak{i}$ holds in the random model.

2. Is it consistent that $\mathfrak{i}$ is of countable cofinality?

Acknowledgements Open access funding provided by University of Vienna. Vera Fischer would like to thank the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) for the generous support trough Grant Y1012-N35. Saharon Shelah was partially supported by European Research Council Grant 338821 (this is paper 1137 on the author's list).

References

1. Balcar, B., Hernández-Hernández, F., Hrušák, M.: Combinatorics of dense subsets of the rationals. Fundam. Math. **183**(1), 59–80 (2004)
2. Blass, A.: Combinatorial Characteristics of the Continuum. Handbook of Set Theory, vol. 3, pp. 395–489. Springer, Dordrecht (2010)
3. Brendle, J.: Mad Families and Iteration Theory. Logic and Algebra. Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 302, pp. 1–31. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2002)
4. Fischer, V., Montoya, D.: Ideals of independence. Arch. Math. Logic (to appear)
5. Geschke, S., Quickert, S.: On Sacks Forcing and the Sacks Property, pp. 1–49. Foundations of the Formal Sciences II, Bonn (2000)
6. Halbeisen, L.: Combinatorial Set Theory. With a Gentle Introduction to Forcing. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, p. xvi+453. Springer, London (2012)
7. Moore, J., Hrusak, M., Dzamonja, M.: Parametrized diamond principles. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **356**, 2281–2306 (2004)
8. Perron, M.: On the structure of independent families. Doctoral Dissertation, Ohio University (2017)

9. Roslanowski, A., Shelah, S.: Norms on Possibilities: Forcing with Trees and Creatures, vol. 671. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, London (1999)
10. Shelah, S.: Con(u>i). Arch. Math. Logic **31**(6), 433–443 (1992)
11. Shelah, S.: Proper and Improper Forcing. Perspectives in Mathematical Logic, 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin (1998)