

Clubs on quasi measurable cardinals

Ashutosh Kumar^{1*} and Saharon Shelah^{1,2**}

¹ Einstein Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Edmond J Safra Campus, Givat Ram, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

² Department of Mathematics, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Hill Center-Busch Campus, 110 Frelinghuysen Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, United States of America

Received 8 January 2016, revised 20 December 2016, accepted 3 April 2017

Published online 16 April 2018

We construct a model satisfying $\kappa < 2^{\aleph_0} + \clubsuit_\kappa +$ “ κ is quasi measurable”. Here, we call κ quasi measurable if there is an \aleph_1 -saturated κ -additive ideal \mathcal{I} on κ . We also show that, in this model, forcing with $\wp(\kappa)\setminus\mathcal{I}$ adds one but not κ Cohen reals. We introduce a weak club principle and use it to show that, consistently, for some \aleph_1 -saturated κ -additive ideal \mathcal{I} on κ , forcing with $\wp(\kappa)/\mathcal{I}$ adds one but not κ random reals.

© 2018 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

1 Introduction

A regular uncountable cardinal κ is called quasi measurable (cf. [1]) if there exists a κ -additive \aleph_1 -saturated ideal \mathcal{I} on κ . Here, by \aleph_1 -saturation we mean that there is no uncountable family of pairwise disjoint sets in $\mathcal{I}^+ = \wp(\kappa)\setminus\mathcal{I}$ (equivalently, the quotient algebra $\wp(\kappa)/\mathcal{I}$ is c.c.c.). Examples of such cardinals include real-valued measurable cardinals and Cohen measurable cardinals (cf. [3, 4, 6] for more on such cardinals).

Definition 1.1 For a regular uncountable cardinal κ and a stationary $S \subseteq \kappa$ of limit ordinals, \clubsuit_S is the statement: There exists a sequence $\bar{A} = \langle A_\alpha : \alpha \in S \rangle$ such that each A_α is an unbounded subset of α and for every unbounded $X \subseteq \kappa$, the set $\{\alpha \in S : A_\alpha \subseteq X\}$ is non empty.

Fact 1.2 (Kunen) *Suppose $\kappa \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ is quasi measurable with a witnessing normal ideal \mathcal{I} . Then, for every \mathcal{I} -positive $S \subseteq \kappa$, \clubsuit_S holds.*

What happens if $\kappa < 2^{\aleph_0}$? If we start with a measurable cardinal κ and add $> \kappa$ Cohen or random reals, then in the resulting model $\kappa < 2^{\aleph_0}$ and κ is quasi measurable but \clubsuit_κ fails. To see this, first note that since the forcing is c.c.c., any potential \clubsuit_κ -witnessing sequence \bar{A} already appears in an extension W obtained by adding κ Cohen (or random) reals. Hence if $A \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ is coded by a generic sequence of κ Cohen reals (or random reals) over W , then no infinite subset of A can be in W . In particular \bar{A} cannot be a \clubsuit_κ -sequence. That κ is quasi measurable follows from the fact that quasi measurable cardinals remain quasi measurable in any c.c.c. extension [7].

We show that \clubsuit_κ can consistently hold too. Note that, if κ is measurable and \mathcal{I} is a normal prime ideal on κ , then in the canonical inner model $L[\mathcal{I}]$, the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3 holds for every stationary $S \subseteq \kappa$.

On notation: For a regular uncountable cardinal κ and $\vartheta < \kappa$, define $S_\vartheta^\kappa = \{\lambda < \kappa : \text{cf}(\lambda) = \text{cf}(\vartheta)\}$. If \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} are forcing notions, we write $\mathbb{Q} \triangleleft \mathbb{P}$ if $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ and every maximal antichain in \mathbb{Q} is also a maximal antichain in \mathbb{P} . In forcing, we use the convention that a larger condition is the stronger one.

Theorem 1.3 *Suppose $V \models$ “ κ is measurable, $S \subseteq S_\omega^\kappa = \{\alpha < \kappa : \text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega\}$, S is stationary in κ and \clubsuit_S holds”. Then there is a forcing \mathbb{P} such that in $V^\mathbb{P}$, $\kappa < 2^{\aleph_0}$, κ is quasi measurable and \clubsuit_S holds.*

Let Coh_λ (or Ran_λ) denote the forcing for adding λ Cohen reals (or random reals). So Coh_λ is the regular open algebra of 2^λ and Ran_λ is the measure algebra of the product measure space 2^λ (with uniform measure on $2 = \{0, 1\}$). In [2], the authors showed the following: Suppose κ is regular uncountable, \mathcal{I} is a κ -additive ideal on κ and $\wp(\kappa)/\mathcal{I}$ is forcing isomorphic to Coh_λ or Ran_λ . Then $\lambda \geq \kappa^+$. In contrast, we show the following.

* Corresponding author; e-mail: akumar@math.huji.ac.il

** Email: shelah@math.huji.ac.il

Theorem 1.4 *In the model of the previous theorem, there is a κ -additive \aleph_1 -saturated ideal \mathcal{I} on κ such that $\text{Coh}_1 \triangleleft \wp(\kappa)/\mathcal{I}$ but not $\text{Coh}_\kappa \triangleleft \wp(\kappa)/\mathcal{I}$.*

Finally, we introduce a weak club principle and use it to show the following.

Theorem 1.5 *Suppose κ is measurable, $S = S_\omega^\kappa = \{\delta < \kappa : \text{cf}(\delta) = \omega\}$ and \clubsuit_S holds. Let \mathbb{P} be the finite support iteration of random forcing of length κ . Then in $V^\mathbb{P}$, there is a κ -additive \aleph_1 -saturated ideal \mathcal{J} on κ such that $\text{Ran}_1 \triangleleft \wp(\kappa)/\mathcal{J}$ but not $\text{Ran}_\kappa \triangleleft \wp(\kappa)/\mathcal{J}$.*

2 Getting clubs

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose κ is measurable, $S \subseteq S_\omega^\kappa$ is stationary in κ and \clubsuit_S holds. Put $\lambda = 2^\kappa$. Let \mathbb{P} be a forcing whose conditions are functions p satisfying the following

- (a) $\text{dom}(p) \in [\lambda]^{\leq \aleph_0}$.
- (b) If $0 \in \text{dom}(p)$, then $p(0) \in {}^{<\omega}\omega_1$.
- (c) For each $\alpha \in \text{dom}(p) \setminus \{0\}$, $p(\alpha) \in {}^{<\omega}2$.
- (d) For $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$, define $p \geq q$ iff
 - (i) $\text{dom}(q) \subseteq \text{dom}(p)$,
 - (ii) for every $\alpha \in \text{dom}(q)$, $q(\alpha) \subseteq p(\alpha)$, and
 - (iii) $|\{\alpha \in \text{dom}(p) : p(\alpha) \neq q(\alpha)\}| < \aleph_0$.

From now on we assume CH. The next two claims are easily verified.

Claim 2.1 *The partial order \mathbb{P} satisfies the \aleph_2 -c.c.*

Claim 2.2 *Forcing with \mathbb{P} collapses \aleph_1 and preserves all cardinals $\geq \aleph_2$. Also, $V^\mathbb{P} \models \kappa < 2^{\aleph_0} = \lambda$.*

Claim 2.3 *Let $\langle A_\alpha : \alpha \in S \rangle$ witness \clubsuit_S in V where each A_α has order type ω . Then $V^\mathbb{P} \models \clubsuit_S$ via the same witness.*

Suppose $p \Vdash \dot{A} \in [\kappa]^\kappa$. Construct $\langle (p_i, \gamma_i) : i < \kappa \rangle$ such that γ_i 's are strictly increasing, $p \leq p_i$ and $p_i \Vdash \gamma_i \in \dot{A}$. Choose $W \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ such that $\langle \text{dom}(p_i) : i \in W \rangle$ forms a delta system with root R and $p_i \upharpoonright R$ does not depend on $i \in W$. Let $X = \{\gamma_i : i \in W\}$. Choose $\alpha \in S$ such that $A_\alpha \subseteq X$. Put $q = \bigcup \{p_i : i \in A_\alpha\}$. Then $q \in \mathbb{P}$, $p \leq q$ and $q \Vdash A_\alpha \subseteq \dot{A}$.

Claim 2.4 *In $V^\mathbb{P}$, κ is quasi measurable as witnessed by the ideal generated by a normal prime ideal on κ .*

This is essentially an argument due to Příkrý [7]. Let \mathcal{I} be a normal prime ideal on κ . Let $\hat{\mathcal{I}} = \{X \subseteq \kappa : (\exists Y \in \mathcal{I})(X \subseteq Y)\}$ be the ideal generated by \mathcal{I} in $V^\mathbb{P}$. Suppose $p \Vdash \langle \dot{X}_i : i < \vartheta \rangle \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{I}}$ where $\vartheta < \kappa$. Since \mathbb{P} satisfies \aleph_2 -c.c., we can find $\langle Y_i : i < \vartheta \rangle$ such that each $Y_i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $p \Vdash \dot{X}_i \subseteq Y_i$. Put $Y = \bigcup \{Y_i : i < \vartheta\}$. Then $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ and $p \Vdash \bigcup \{\dot{X}_i : i < \vartheta\} \subseteq Y$. So $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$ is κ -additive. Next, towards a contradiction, suppose $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$ is not \aleph_1 -saturated in $V^\mathbb{P}$. Since $(\aleph_1)^{V^\mathbb{P}} = (\aleph_1)^V$, there exist $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\langle \dot{X}_i : i < \omega_2 \rangle \in V^\mathbb{P}$ such that $p \Vdash \dot{X}_i \in \wp(\kappa) \setminus \hat{\mathcal{I}}$ are pairwise disjoint. For each $i < \omega_2$, put $Y_i = \{\alpha < \kappa : (\exists p_{\alpha,i} \geq p)(p_{\alpha,i} \Vdash \alpha \in \dot{X}_i)\}$. Then $\kappa \setminus Y_i \in \mathcal{I}$ (as \mathcal{I} is prime) hence we can pick some $\alpha \in \bigcap \{Y_i : i < \omega_2\}$. But now the witnesses $\{p_{\alpha,i} : i < \omega_2\}$ are pairwise incompatible: A contradiction.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let \mathcal{I} be a normal prime ideal on κ and $j : V \rightarrow M$, the corresponding ultrapower embedding. Let \mathbb{B} be the Boolean completion of \mathbb{P} . Let H be $j(\mathbb{B})$ -generic over V . Define $G = \{p \in \mathbb{B} : j(p) \in H\}$. Since \mathbb{B} satisfies \aleph_2 -c.c., G is \mathbb{B} -generic over V . So $j[G]$ is $j(\mathbb{B})$ -generic over V . Note also that $j[\mathbb{B}] \triangleleft j(\mathbb{B})$. In $V[G]$, let $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$ be the ideal generated by \mathcal{I} . Define $\varphi : \wp(\kappa)/\mathcal{I} \rightarrow j(\mathbb{B})/j[G]$ by $\varphi(X) = [[\kappa \in j(\dot{X})]]_{j(\mathbb{B})}/j[G]$.

Claim 2.5 *The function φ is a Boolean isomorphism.*

Suppose $p \Vdash_{\mathbb{B}} \dot{X} \Delta \dot{Y} \subseteq A \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $j(p) \Vdash_{j(\mathbb{B})} (\kappa \in j(\dot{X}) \text{ iff } \kappa \in j(\dot{Y}))$. Hence $[[\kappa \in j(\dot{X})]]_{j(\mathbb{B})} \wedge j(p) = [[\kappa \in j(\dot{Y})]]_{j(\mathbb{B})} \wedge j(p)$ so φ is well defined. It is easily verified that φ preserves Boolean operations. To see that it is injective, note that if $\varphi(X) = 0$, then for some $p \in G$, $[[\kappa \in j(\dot{X})]]_{j(\mathbb{B})} \cap j(p) = 0$ hence $p \Vdash \dot{X} \in \hat{\mathcal{I}}$. Finally, if $q \in j(\mathbb{B})/j[G]$, then $q = [(p_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa)]$ for some $p_\alpha \in \mathbb{B}$. Let \dot{X} be such that $[[\alpha \in \dot{X}]]_{\mathbb{B}} = p_\alpha$. Then $[[\kappa \in j(\dot{X})]]_{j(\mathbb{B})} = j(\dot{X})(\kappa) = j(\dot{X})([\text{id}]) = [(p_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa)] = q$. So φ is surjective.

Claim 2.6 *In $V[G]$, forcing with $\wp(\kappa)/\hat{\mathcal{I}}$ adds one but not κ Cohen reals.*

It is clear that any real added by H on a coordinate outside $j[\lambda]$ is Cohen over $V[G]$. Next observe that, arguing as in the proof of Claim 2.3, in $V[H]$, every \clubsuit_S -witnessing sequence from V remains so. It follows that $V[H]$ does not contain a Coh_κ -generic over V (since it would code an unbounded $A \subseteq \kappa$ which would be counterexample to the old \clubsuit_S -witnessing sequence) and so $\wp(\kappa)/\hat{\mathcal{I}}$ cannot add one. □

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.4. □

3 A club principle

Definition 3.1 Let κ be a regular uncountable cardinal, $S \subseteq S_\omega^\kappa$ stationary in κ , and $\bar{A} = \langle A_\delta : \delta \in S \rangle$. We say that $\clubsuit_S^{\text{sup}}(\bar{A})$ holds if each $A_\delta = \{\alpha_{\delta,j} : j < \omega\}$ for some sequences $\alpha_{\delta,j}$ strictly increasing with j and cofinal in δ and for every $A \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some (equivalently, stationary many) $\delta \in S$ such that

$$\limsup_n \frac{|A \cap \{\alpha_{\delta,j} : j < n\}|}{n} \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Then we say that \clubsuit_S^{sup} holds if there is some $\bar{A} = \langle A_\delta : \delta \in S \rangle$ such that $\clubsuit_S^{\text{sup}}(\bar{A})$ holds.

Does \clubsuit_S^{sup} imply \clubsuit_S ? A negative answer follows from Lemma 3.3 below or just add κ^+ Cohen reals.

Claim 3.2 *Suppose $\kappa = \text{cf}(\kappa) \geq \aleph_1$, $S \subseteq S_\omega^\kappa$ is stationary and $\bar{A} = \langle A_\delta : \delta \in S \rangle$ where each A_δ is an unbounded subset of δ of order type ω . Then $V^{\text{Ran}_\kappa} \models \neg \clubsuit_S^{\text{sup}}(\bar{A})$.*

Proof. Let $r \in 2^\kappa$ be Ran_κ -generic over V . Take $A = \{\alpha < \kappa : r(\alpha) = 1\}$, $\varepsilon < 0.5$ and use the fact that whenever $\{\alpha_j : j < \omega\} \in [\kappa]^{\aleph_0} \cap V$, we have

$$\lim_n \frac{|\{j < n : r(\alpha_j) = 1\}|}{n} = 0.5. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.3 *Suppose κ is regular uncountable, $S \subseteq S_\omega^\kappa$ is stationary in κ , $\bar{A} = \langle A_\delta : \delta \in S \rangle$ and $\clubsuit_S^{\text{sup}}(\bar{A})$ holds. Let \mathbb{P} be the finite support iteration of random forcing of length ϑ where ϑ is any ordinal. Then $V^\mathbb{P} \models \clubsuit_S^{\text{sup}}(\bar{A})$.*

Proof. Suppose $p_* \Vdash \dot{A} \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ and $\varepsilon_* > 0$. We'll find an $r \geq p_*$, $\delta \in S$ witnessing $\clubsuit_S^{\text{sup}}(\bar{A})$ for \dot{A} . Let $A' = \{\alpha < \kappa : (\exists p_\alpha \geq p_*)(p_\alpha \Vdash \alpha \in \dot{A})\}$. For each $\alpha \in A'$, choose $p_\alpha \geq p_*$ such that $p_\alpha \Vdash \alpha \in \dot{A}$. The following claim is easy to verify.

Claim 3.4 *Given $\varepsilon > 0$, $q \in \mathbb{P}$, there exist $r \geq q$, $\bar{\sigma} = \langle \sigma_\xi : \xi \in \text{dom}(r) \rangle$, $\bar{\varepsilon} = \langle \varepsilon_\xi : \xi \in \text{dom}(r) \rangle$ such that for every $\xi \in \text{dom}(r)$, $\sigma_\xi \in {}^{<\omega}2$, $0 < \varepsilon_\xi < \varepsilon/2^{|\text{dom}(r) \setminus \xi|+2}$, ε_ξ is rational and $r \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\xi} \mu([\sigma_\xi] \cap r(\xi)) \geq (1 - \varepsilon_\xi)\mu([\sigma_\xi])$.*

For each $\alpha \in A'$, let $r_\alpha, \bar{\sigma}_\alpha, \bar{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ be as in previous claim w.r.t. $q = p_\alpha$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_*$. Choose $A'' \in [A']^\kappa$ such that the following hold.

- (a) For each $\alpha \in A''$, $|\text{dom}(r_\alpha)| = n_*$ is constant and $\langle \text{dom}(r_\alpha) : \alpha \in A'' \rangle$ forms a delta system, $\text{dom}(r_\alpha) = \{\xi_{\alpha,0} < \xi_{\alpha,1} < \dots < \xi_{\alpha,n_*-1}\}$, $v \subseteq n_*$ and $\{\xi_{\alpha,i} : i \in v\} = \{\xi_k : k < |v|\}$ is the root,
- (b) $\sigma_{\alpha,\xi_k} = \sigma_k$ and $\varepsilon_{\alpha,\xi_k} = \varepsilon_k$, for $k < |v|$, do not depend on $\alpha \in A''$.

Choose $\delta \in S$ such that

$$\limsup_n \left\{ \frac{|A_\delta \cap A'' \cap \alpha|}{n} : \alpha < \delta \wedge |A_\delta \cap \alpha| = n \right\} > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_*}{2}.$$

Claim 3.5 *There exists $r \geq p_*$ such that*

$$r \Vdash \limsup_n \left\{ \frac{|A_\delta \cap \dot{A} \cap \alpha|}{n} : \alpha < \delta \wedge |A_\delta \cap \alpha| = n \right\} \geq 1 - \varepsilon_*.$$

Let $\{\alpha_n : n < \omega\}$ be an increasing enumeration of A_δ . Put

$$W = \left\{ n : \frac{|A'' \cap \{\alpha_i : i < n\}|}{n} \geq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_*}{2} \right\}.$$

For each $\xi \leq \vartheta$, let

$$\dot{W}_\xi = \left\{ n \in W : \frac{|\{i < n : \alpha_i \in A'' \wedge r_{\alpha_i} \upharpoonright \xi \in G_{\mathbb{P}_\xi}\}|}{n} \geq 1 - (\varepsilon_*/2 + \sum_{j \in v, \xi_j < \xi} 2\varepsilon_j) \right\}.$$

It is clearly enough to find $r \geq p_*$ such that the following hold.

- (i) $\text{dom}(r) = \{\xi_k : k < |v|\}$,
- (ii) For every $\xi \in \text{dom}(r) \cup \{\vartheta\}$, $r \upharpoonright \xi \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\xi} \dot{W}_\xi$ is infinite.

Recursively, construct $r \upharpoonright \xi$ as follows. For $\xi \leq \xi_0$, $r \upharpoonright \xi$ is the empty condition. Given $r \upharpoonright \xi_k$, define $r \upharpoonright \xi_{k+1}$ using the following.

Claim 3.6 *Suppose $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$, $W' \in [\omega]^\omega$, $\sigma \in {}^{<\omega}2$, $\langle A_n : n < \omega \rangle$ are Borel satisfying $\mu(A_n \cap [\sigma]) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\mu([\sigma])$. Let $\mathbb{Q} = \text{Ran}$. Then there exists some $A \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\mu(A \cap [\sigma]) \geq \mu([\sigma])/2$ and*

$$A \Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}} \limsup_{n \in W'} |\{j < n : A_j \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}\}|/n \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon.$$

Without loss of generality, $\sigma = \varphi$. Let $f_n = (1/n) \sum_{j < n} 1_{A_j}$. Then $\int \limsup_{n \in W'} f_n \geq \limsup_{n \in W'} \int f_n \geq 1 - \varepsilon$. Let $A = \{x : \limsup_{n \in W'} f_n(x) \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon\}$.

This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.3. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Choose \bar{A} such that $\clubsuit_S^{\text{sup}}(\bar{A})$ holds. Let \mathcal{I} be a normal prime ideal on κ . Let $j : V \rightarrow M$ be the ultrapower embedding (using \mathcal{I}) with critical point κ . $j(\mathbb{P})$ is a finite support iteration of random forcing of length $j(\kappa)$. Let G be \mathbb{P} -generic over V . In $V[G]$, let \mathcal{J} be the ideal generated by \mathcal{I} . Then $\wp(\kappa)/\mathcal{J}$ is forcing equivalent to $j(\mathbb{P})/G$ which, in $M[G]$, is the finite support iteration of random forcing indexed by $j(\kappa) \setminus \kappa$ —cf., e.g., [5] for such arguments. Since $M[G]$ and $V[G]$ have same reals, $\text{Ran}_1 \triangleleft \wp(\kappa)/\mathcal{J}$. This forcing doesn't add κ random reals since it completely embeds into $j(\mathbb{P})$ which, by Lemma 3.3, preserves $\clubsuit_S^{\text{sup}}(\bar{A})$ whereas Ran_κ destroys it (Claim 3.2). \square

4 Final remarks

For any $\vartheta < \kappa$, we can improve Theorem 1.4 to get $\text{Coh}_\vartheta \triangleleft \wp(\kappa)/\mathcal{I}$ by modifying our forcing as follows. Let \mathbb{P} be as in the proof of Theorem 1.3. For $\vartheta < \kappa$, define $\mathbb{P}_\vartheta = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : (\forall \alpha < \kappa)(|\text{dom}(p) \cap [\vartheta\alpha, \vartheta(\alpha + 1))| < \aleph_0)\}$ ordered as before. Then in $V^{\mathbb{P}_\vartheta}$, letting \mathcal{I} to be the ideal generated by a normal prime ideal on κ , we have that $\text{Coh}_\vartheta \triangleleft \wp(\kappa)/\mathcal{I}$ but not $\text{Coh}_\kappa \triangleleft \wp(\kappa)/\mathcal{I}$. Similarly for Theorem 1.5 using a finite support iteration of Ran_ϑ . But what about the following?

Question 4.1 Is it consistent to have a κ -additive \aleph_1 -saturated ideal \mathcal{I} on κ such that for every $\vartheta < \kappa$, $\text{Coh}_\vartheta \triangleleft \wp(\kappa)/\mathcal{I}$ but not $\text{Coh}_\kappa \triangleleft \wp(\kappa)/\mathcal{I}$? Similarly for random forcing.

Acknowledgements The first author's research was supported by a Postdoctoral Fellowship at the Einstein Institute of Mathematics funded by European Research Council grant 338821. The second author's research was partially supported by European Research Council grant 338821. This is publication no. 1063 on his archive.

References

- [1] D. H. Fremlin, Real valued measurable cardinals, in: Set Theory of the Reals. Proceedings of the Winter Institute held at Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan, January 1991, edited by H. Judah, Israel Mathematical Conference Proceedings Vol. 6 (American Mathematical Society, 1993), pp. 151–305.
- [2] M. Gitik and S. Shelah, Forcing with ideals and simple forcing notions, *Isr. J. Math.* **68**, 129–160 (1989).
- [3] M. Gitik and S. Shelah, More on real-valued measurable cardinals and forcing with ideals, *Isr. J. Math.* **124**, 221–242 (2001).
- [4] N. Greenberg, S. Shelah, Models of Cohen measurability, *Ann. Pure Appl. Log.* **165**, 1557–76 (2014).
- [5] A. Kumar, K. Kunen, Induced ideals in Cohen and random extensions, *Topology Appl.* **174**, 81–87 (2014).
- [6] A. Kumar, S. Shelah, RVM, RVC revisited: Clubs and Lusin sets, submitted.
- [7] K. Příkrý, Changing Measurable into Accessible Cardinals, *Dissertationes Mathematicae Vol. 68* (Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, 1970).