

## The monadic theory of $(\omega_2, <)$ may be complicated

Shmuel Lifsches and Saharon Shelah\*

Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel

Received June 12, 1991/in revised form September 3, 1991

**Summary.** Assume ZFC is consistent then for every  $B \subseteq \omega$  there is a generic extension of the ground world where  $B$  is recursive in the monadic theory of  $\omega_2$ .

### Introduction

The monadic language corresponding to first-order language  $L$  is obtained from  $L$  by adding variables for sets of elements, atomic formulas  $x \in Y$ , and the quantifier  $(\exists Y)$ . The monadic theory of a model  $M$  for  $L$  is the theory of  $M$  in the described monadic language when the set of variables are interpreted as arbitrary subsets of  $M^1$ . Speaking about the monadic theory of an ordinal  $\alpha$ , we mean the monadic theory of  $\langle \alpha, < \rangle$ . Gurevich, Magidor, and Shelah proved in [GMS] the following theorem:

**Theorem.** *Assume there is a weakly compact cardinal. Then there is an algorithm  $n \rightarrow \psi_n$  such that  $\psi_n$  is a sentence in the monadic language of order and for every  $B \subseteq \omega$  there is a generic extension of the ground world with  $\{n : \omega_2 \models \psi_n\} = B$ .*

Thus, there are continuum many possible monadic theories of  $\omega_2$  (in different universes) and for every  $B \subseteq \omega$  there is a monadic theory of  $\omega_2$  (in some world) which is at least as complex as  $B$ .

Here we shall eliminate the assumption of the existence of a weakly compact cardinal and will prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *There is a set of sentences  $\{\theta_n : n < \omega\}$  in the monadic language of order such that:*

*if  $V \models G.C.H$ , then, for each  $B \subseteq \omega$ , there exists a forcing notion  $P = P_B$ , which is  $\omega_1$ -closed, satisfies the  $\aleph_3$ -chain condition, preserves cardinals, cofinalities and the G.C.H and  $|P| = \aleph_3$  such that  $\Vdash_P \{n : (\omega_2, <) \models \theta_n\} = B$ .*

---

\* The second author would like to thank the United States – Israel Binational Science Foundation for partially supporting this research. Publ. 411

<sup>1</sup> More details and Historical background can be found in [Gu]

Offprint requests to: S. Lifsches

## 1 The sentences and the forcing notion

*Notation.* a)  $S_i^2 : (i=0, 1)$  will be the sets  $\{\alpha < \omega_2 : cf(\alpha) = \omega_i\}$ .

b)  $S_n(n \leq \omega)$  are pairwise disjoint stationary subsets of  $S_1^2$  such that  $\bigcup_{n \leq \omega} S_n = S_1^2$ .

**1. Definition.** (i)  $\Phi_n(Y) := "Y \subseteq S_1^2, Y$  is stationary and for each function  $h: Y \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n\}$  there is a function  $g: S_0^2 \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n\}$  such that: if  $\delta \in Y$ , then there is a club subset of  $\delta \cap S_0^2$  in which  $g$  is constant and different from  $h(\delta)"$

in this case we will say that  $g$  is a witness for  $h$ .

(ii)  $\psi_n := "\Phi_n(Y)$  and  $\neg \Phi_{n-1}(Y)$  and for each stationary  $Z \subseteq Y, \neg \Phi_{n-1}(Z)"$ .

(iii)  $\theta_n := (\exists Y) [\psi_n(Y)]$ .

It is easy to see that  $\Phi_n, \psi_n$ , and  $\theta_n$  are in the monadic language of order.

**2. Definition.**  $\bar{Q} = \langle P_i, Q_i : i < \aleph_3 \rangle$  is an iteration with support  $< \aleph_2$  each  $Q_i$  is of the form  $Q_g$  where  $g: S_n \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n\}, g \in V^{P_i}, n = n(i) < \omega$ ,

$$Q_g := \{f \mid \text{there is an ordinal } \alpha < \aleph_2 \text{ such that}$$

1.  $\text{Dom } f = \alpha,$
2.  $f: \alpha \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n\},$
3. if  $\delta \leq \alpha, \delta \in S_n$ , and  $n \in A$  then there is a club subset  $E$  of  $\delta$  on which  $f$  is constant and different from  $g(\delta)\}$ .

$Q_g$  will be ordered by inclusion.

Moreover, if  $i < \aleph_3, g \in V^{P_i}$ , then there is a  $j, i \leq j < \aleph_3$  such that  $Q_j = Q_g$ .

## 2 Preserving cardinals and cofinality

**3. Claim.**  $P$  is  $\omega_1$ -closed.

*Proof.* Easy.

**4. Definition.** (I) Let  $S \subseteq \aleph_2$ . We will say that a model  $N$  is *suitable* for  $S$  if for a large enough  $\chi, N \prec (H(\chi), \varepsilon, < *)$ , ( $< *$  denotes a well ordering),  $N \cap [N]^\omega, \|N\| = \aleph_1$ , and  $N \cap \omega_2 \in S$ .

(II) Let  $P \in N$  be a forcing notion.  $\langle p_\zeta : \zeta < \omega_1 \rangle$  is a *generic sequence* for  $(N, P)$  if  $p_\zeta \in P \cap N, P \models p_\zeta \leq p_{\zeta+1}$  and for every dense open subset  $D$  of  $P$  which belongs to  $N, D \cap \langle p_\zeta : \zeta < \omega_1 \rangle \neq \emptyset$ .

(III) We will say that  $P$  is *S-complete* if for every  $N$ , suitable for  $S$  such that  $P \in N$ , every generic sequence  $\langle p_\zeta : \zeta < \omega_1 \rangle$  for  $(N, P)$  has an upper bound in  $P$ .

**4a. Observation.** ( $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$ ) for every  $S \subseteq S_1^2$ , stationary, given a large enough  $\chi$  and  $X \in H(\chi)$  there exists a model  $N$ , suitable for  $S$  with  $X \in N$ .

**5. Claim.**  $P$  is  $S_\omega$ -complete (and in fact,  $S_n$ -complete for every  $n \notin A$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be suitable for  $S_\omega, \bar{p} = \langle p_\zeta : \zeta < \omega_1 \rangle \subseteq P$  generic for  $(N, P)$ , we will find an upper bound for the sequence. Define inductively on  $j \in N \cap \aleph_3$  conditions  $q_j$  in  $P_j$  such that for every  $\zeta < \omega_1, q_j \geq p_\zeta \upharpoonright j$ :

for  $j+1$ : Let  $r$  be a  $P_j$  name for  $\bigcup_{\zeta} p_\zeta(j)$ . Since  $\bar{p}$  is generic,  $\Vdash_{P_j} \text{Dom } r = \delta = N \cap \aleph_2$ , therefore, since  $\delta \in S_\omega, \Vdash_{P_j} r \in Q_j$  so  $r$  is a condition.

Now let  $g_{j+1} = q_j \vee r$  where  $[q_j \vee r](\beta) = q_j(\beta)$  for  $\beta < j$  and  $[g_j \vee r](j) = r$ . So  $g_{j+1}$  is a condition and it satisfies the requirements.  
 For  $j$  limit: take  $\bigcup_{i < j} g_i$ . Now  $q_{\aleph_1}$  is the required upper bound.  $\square$

**6. Corollary.**  $P$  does not add  $\omega_1$ -sequences.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{c}$  be a  $P$ -name for an  $\omega_1$ -sequence in  $V$ ,  $p \in P$  forcing it. It suffices to find a condition  $q \geq p$  such that  $q \Vdash \text{“}\mathcal{c} \in V\text{”}$ .

Let  $N$  be suitable for  $S_\omega$ ,  $P, p, \mathcal{c} \in N$ .  $N$  has  $\aleph_1$  dense open sets  $\{D_i : i < \omega_1, i = j + 1\}$ . We will construct inductively a sequence  $\langle p_i : i < \omega_1 \rangle$  generic for  $(N, P)$ :

$p_0 = p$

$p_{i+1}$ : take  $r \geq p_i$  such that  $r \Vdash \text{“}\mathcal{c} \upharpoonright_{i+1} \in V\text{”}$  (an  $\omega_1$ -complete forcing notion does not add new  $\omega$ -sequences) and then  $s \geq r$  such that  $s \in D_{i+1}$ . Let  $p_{i+1} = s$ .

$p_\delta$  ( $\delta$  a limit ordinal): use  $\omega_1$ -completeness.

Clearly  $\langle p_i : i < \omega_1 \rangle$  is generic for  $(N, P)$  and by Claim 5, there exists an upper bound  $q$  for the sequence. So  $q \geq p$  and  $q \Vdash \text{“}\mathcal{c} \in V\text{”}$ .  $\square$

**7. Definition.** A condition  $p \in P$  will be called *real and rectangular* if there is a  $\delta < \aleph_2$  s.t. for every  $\beta \in \text{Dom } p$ ,  $p(\beta)$  is a function (not a name!) and  $\text{Dom } p(\beta) = \delta$ .

**8. Corollary.** For every  $i < \aleph_3$  the set  $\{p \in P_i : p \text{ is real and rectangular}\}$  is dense.

*Proof.* Let  $p \in P_\alpha$  be a condition, we have to find  $q \geq p$ ,  $q$  real and rectangular. Let  $N$  be suitable for  $S_\omega, p, P_\alpha \in N$ ,  $\delta = N \cap \omega_2$  (so  $\delta \in S_\omega$ ), let  $\{\alpha_i : i < \omega_1\}$  be the support of  $p$ . By Corollary 6, there's a real function extending every name  $p(\alpha_i)$ , therefore it's possible to build a sequence  $\bar{q} = \langle q_i : i < \omega_1 \rangle$  generic for  $(N, P_\alpha)$  such that for every  $i > j$ ,  $q_i(\alpha_j)$  is a real function, and  $q_0 = p$ . Let  $q$  be an upper bound for  $\bar{q}$ . Then,  $q \upharpoonright \delta$  is real and rectangular extending  $p$ , where  $q \upharpoonright \delta(i) = q(i) \upharpoonright \delta$ .  $\square$

**9. Conclusion.**  $P$  satisfies the  $\aleph_3$ -chain condition.

*Proof.* Take a set of conditions  $\{p_i : i < \aleph_3\}$ , we will find two compatible members. W.l.o.g., all the conditions are real and rectangular, moreover, we can assume they are all of height  $\delta$  and (by the  $\Delta$ -system theorem and G.C.H.) that  $i \neq j \Rightarrow \text{Dom } p_i \cap \text{Dom } p_j$  is constant. But, assuming G.C.H., there are only  $\aleph_2$  real and rectangular conditions with the same height and support. Therefore, there are two conditions  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  such that

$$p_i \upharpoonright_{\text{Dom } p_i \cap \text{Dom } p_j} = p_j \upharpoonright_{\text{Dom } p_i \cap \text{Dom } p_j}.$$

So they are compatible.  $\square$

**10. Conclusion.**  $P$  preserves cardinals, the G.C.H. and cofinalities.

*Proof.* Combine Claim 3, Corollary 6 and Conclusion 9.  $\square$

### 3 Preserving Stationarity

We shall prove that forcing with  $P$  does not destroy the stationarity of the sets  $S_n$ , using a construction similar to the construction in [SK] Lemma 2.8, and in [Sh3] but really simpler as in [Sh2] as  $S_\omega$  is stationary.

**11. Proposition.**  $\Vdash_p$  “ $S_n$  is a stationary subset of  $\omega_2$ ”.

*Proof.* Case (I)  $n \notin A$ :

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  a name for a club subset,  $p \in P_\alpha$  forcing it. Let  $N$  be suitable for  $S_n$ ,  $\delta = N \cap \omega_1$ , (so  $\delta \in S_n$ )  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $p, P_\alpha \in N$ . We will find a condition  $q \geq p$  forcing  $\delta \in \mathcal{C}$ . Let  $\langle D_i : i < \omega_1, i = j+1 \rangle$  a sequence of the dense open subsets of  $P$  in  $N$  and  $\langle \delta_i : i < \omega_1, \delta_i \in N \rangle$  an unbounded sequence in  $\delta$ . We will construct a sequence of conditions  $\bar{q} = \langle q_i : i < \omega_1, q_i \in N \cap P_\alpha \rangle$  inductively:

$$\begin{aligned} i=0 : q_0 &= p, \\ i=j+1 : q_i &\geq q_j, q_i \in D_j, q_i \Vdash (\exists x)(x \in \mathcal{C} \ \& \ x > \delta_j), \\ i \text{ limit} : & \text{take union.} \end{aligned}$$

So  $\bar{q}$  is generic for  $(N, P_\alpha)$  and therefore, by  $S_n$ -completeness it has an upper bound  $q$ . But  $q$  forces the existence of a subsequence of  $\mathcal{C}$ , unbounded in  $\delta$  so  $q \Vdash \delta \in \mathcal{C} \cap S_n$ .

Case (II)  $n \in A$ :

Let  $p \in P_\alpha$  forcing “ $\mathcal{C}$  is a club subset of  $\omega_2$ ”. We will find a condition  $q, q \geq p$ ,  $q \Vdash$  “ $\mathcal{C} \cap S_n \neq \emptyset$ ”.

Let  $\bar{N} = \langle N_\zeta : \zeta < \omega_1 \rangle$  an increasing continuous sequence of models,  $N = \bigcup_{\zeta < \omega_1} N_\zeta$  such that:

- (a)  $N_\zeta \prec (H(\chi), \in, \mathcal{C}, p, P_\omega \leq_{P_\alpha}, \Vdash, \prec^*)$ ,  $\|N_\zeta\| = \aleph_1$ ,
- (b)  $\bar{N} \upharpoonright_{[\zeta+1]} \in N_{\zeta+1}$ ,  ${}^\omega[N_{\zeta+1}] \subseteq N_{\zeta+1}$ ,
- (c)  $\delta := N \cap \omega_2 \in S_n$ ,
- (d)  $\delta_{\zeta+1} := N_{\zeta+1} \cap \omega_2 \in S_\omega$ .

Now let  $A := \alpha \cap N = \langle \alpha_\zeta : \zeta < \omega_1 \rangle$  (and we can assume  $\alpha_{\zeta+1} \in N_{\zeta+1}$ ) and  $A_\zeta = \langle \alpha_\eta : \eta < \zeta \rangle$ .

$T_\zeta$  will be the set of functions  $t$  such that:

- (a)  $\text{Dom } t = A_\zeta$ ,
- (b) for every  $\alpha_\xi \in A$  ( $\xi < \zeta$ ),  $\text{Dom}[t(\alpha_\xi)] = \{\delta_\eta : \xi \leq \eta < \zeta\}$ ,
- (c)  $t(\alpha_\xi)$  is a constant function and equals a natural number  $\leq n(\alpha_\xi)$ .

Note that  $\zeta < \aleph_1 \Rightarrow |A_\zeta| \leq \aleph_0$  and  $|T_\zeta| \leq \aleph_1$  and  $T_{\zeta+1} \subseteq N_{\zeta+1}$  and  $T_{\zeta+1} \in N_{\zeta+1}$  and every  $t \in T_\zeta$  is compatible with  $p$ .

Now define inductively  $\bar{q}^\zeta = \langle q_i^\zeta : t \in T_\zeta \rangle$  with

- (a)  $q_i^\zeta \in P_\alpha$  real and rectangular and inducting  $t$   
( $\text{Dom } t \subseteq \text{Dom } q_i^\zeta$  and  $t(\alpha) \subseteq q_i^\zeta(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in \text{Dom } t$ ),
- (b)  $\bar{q}^{\zeta+1} \in N_{\zeta+1}$ ,
- (c)  $q_i^{\zeta+1} \Vdash$  “there is an ordinal  $\gamma$  s.t.  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\delta_{\zeta+1} > \gamma \geq \delta_\zeta$ ”,
- (d)  $\alpha_\eta \subseteq \text{Dom } q_i^{\zeta+1}$ ,
- (e)  $s \upharpoonright_\beta = t \upharpoonright_\beta \Rightarrow q_i^\zeta \upharpoonright_\beta = q_i^{\zeta+1} \upharpoonright_\beta$  for every  $\beta \in A_\zeta$ ,

$\zeta=0$ : take  $q_0^0 = p$ .

$\zeta$  limit: take limit.

$\zeta = \eta + 1$ : Suppose we have defined  $\bar{q}^\eta$  and remember that  $|T_{\zeta+1}| \leq \aleph_1$ . Let  $\langle t_i : i < \omega_1 \rangle$  an enumeration of  $T_\zeta$ , each member is taken  $\aleph_1$  times. Choose by induction on  $i$ ,  $q_i \in N_\zeta$  such that

- (1)  $q_i \geq q_{s_i}^\zeta$ , where  $s_i$  is the only member in  $T_\eta$  satisfying  $s_i \leq t_i$ ,
- (2) for every  $\beta \in A_\zeta \cup \{\alpha\}$  and every  $j < i$ , if  $t_j \upharpoonright_\beta = t_i \upharpoonright_\beta$  then  $q_j \upharpoonright_\beta = q_i \upharpoonright_\beta$ ;
- (3) for every  $t$ , the sequence  $\langle q_i \upharpoonright_{t_i=t} \rangle$  is generic for  $(N_\zeta, P_\alpha)$ .

Now, for every  $t$ , the sequence  $\langle q_i \upharpoonright_{t_i=t} \rangle$  has an upper bound, and w.l.o.g. it is real and rectangular. So there is one in  $H(\chi)$ , choose the first one by  $<_\chi^*$ . It is easy to verify that the chosen upper bound satisfies a, c, d, and e. So there is a sequence in  $H(\chi)$  with the properties of  $\bar{q}^\zeta$  (take the first upper bounds for every  $t \in T_\zeta$ ) and since  $N_\zeta < H(\chi)$  there is one in  $N_\zeta$ , the “first” one is the required  $\bar{q}^\zeta$ .

Having finished we will get a tree  $T = T_{\omega_1}$  of functions and a tree  $T'$  of conditions “inducing”  $T$ , both of height  $\omega_1$ .

We can correspond to each branch  $b \subseteq T'$  a sequence  $\eta \in {}^A\omega$  such that for every  $q = q_i^\zeta \in b$  and  $\beta \in \text{Dom}(q)$ ,  $\eta(\beta) = k$  iff  $t(\beta) \equiv k$ . In fact, the correspondence is 1-1 if we restrict ourselves to sequences  $\eta$  with  $\eta(\beta) \leq n(\beta)$ . Now define a  $P$ -name  $\eta$  of a sequence in  ${}^A\omega$  such that  $\eta(\beta) = k \Rightarrow g(\delta) \neq k$  where  $k \leq n(\beta)$  and  $Q_\beta = Q_{\eta(\beta)}$ . So  $\eta(\beta)$  is a possible constant value for a member of  $Q_\beta$  on a club subset of  $\delta$ . By the previous remark,  $\eta$  can be viewed as a name of a branch in  $T'$ . It is easy to see that  $\eta$  can be extended by a condition  $q$  and that  $p \leq q \Vdash \delta \in \mathcal{C} \cap S_n$ .  $\square$

**12. Corollary.** For every  $n \in A$ ,  $\Vdash_P \Phi_n(S_n)$ . Therefore for every  $Y \subseteq S_n$  stationary,  $\Vdash_P \Phi_n(Y)$ .

*Proof.* By 11.  $S_n$  is stationary, also, we have dealt with every possible function since because of the  $\aleph_3$ -chain condition, every  $P$ -name of a function is a  $P_i$  ( $i < \aleph_3$ ) name of one which has been taken care of.  $\square$

#### 4 $B$ is recursive in $M\text{th}(\omega_2, <)$

**13. Proposition.** Suppose  $V^P \models \Phi_n(Y)$ , then  $V^P \Vdash Y \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{i \in B \\ i \leq n}} S_i(\text{mod } D_{\omega_2})$ .

*Proof.* By the  $\aleph_3$ -chain condition there is a  $P_\alpha$ -name  $\underline{Y}$  such that  $Y = \text{Rel}(\underline{Y}, G_{P_\alpha})$ . There is a  $k \leq \omega$  such that  $Z := Y \cap S_k$  is stationary.

Since  $\Vdash_{P/P_\alpha} \Phi_n(\underline{Y})$ , also  $\Vdash_{P/P_\alpha} \Phi_n(Z)$  ( $Z$  a name for  $Z$ ). We will show that the only possible case is  $k \leq n$  and  $k \in B$ .

Case (I): Assume  $k \in B$  but  $k > n$ .

W.l.o.g.  $n(\alpha) = n$  [otherwise take  $\alpha' > \alpha$  with  $n(\alpha') = n$ ] the realization of the generic filter  $\bar{G}_\alpha \subseteq P_\alpha$  gives a function  $f_\alpha : \omega_2 \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n\}$ . We will show that this function contradicts  $\Phi_n(Z)$ .

Otherwise there is a  $p \in P$  and a  $P$ -name  $\underline{h}$  such that  $p$  forces: “ $\underline{h}$  is a witness for  $f_\alpha$  and  $Z$ ”. Let  $N$  be suitable for  $Z$ ,  $\|N\| = \aleph_1$ ,  $N \cap \omega_2 = \delta \in Z$  (so  $\delta \in S_k$ ),  ${}^\omega[N] \subseteq N$ ,  $\underline{h}, \underline{Z}, \alpha, G_{P_\alpha} \in N$ . We will build a tree of conditions above  $p$  similarly to the construction in Proposition 11. Denote

$$A = N \cap \text{supp}(p) \setminus \alpha = \{\alpha_\zeta : \zeta < \omega_1\},$$

(and we can assume w.l.o.g. that  $\alpha_0 = \alpha + 1$  and that the sequence is increasing), then each branch of the tree can be viewed as a sequence  $\bar{p}_\eta$  generic for  $(N, P)$  with  $\eta \in {}^A k$ . Denote the union of the sequence by  $p_\eta$  so  $p_\eta$  is a function. For each  $i$  such that  $n(i) = k$   $p_\eta(i)$  is a function with domain  $\delta$ , constant on a club subset of  $\delta$  and equal there to  $\eta(i)$ . Moreover if  $\eta \upharpoonright_i = \nu \upharpoonright_i$  then  $p_\eta \upharpoonright_i = p_\nu \upharpoonright_i$ .

In the places where  $n(i) \neq k$  the value of  $p_\eta(i)$  is not interesting and we will consider only the sequences  $\eta$  with  $\eta(i) = 0$ . Our aim now is to show that there is a branch that can be extended by  $n$  different conditions.

Now we will choose an increasing and continuous sequence of models  $\bar{M} = \langle M_\zeta : \zeta < \omega_1 \rangle$  with:

- (a)  $N \prec M_0 \prec \langle H(\chi), \epsilon, \langle \cdot \rangle^* \rangle, \quad \|M_\zeta\| = \aleph_1,$
- (b)  $\langle \bar{p}_\eta : \eta \in {}^A k \rangle \in M_0,$
- (c)  $M_{\zeta+1} \cap \omega_2 \in S_\omega,$
- (d)  $\bar{M} \upharpoonright_{\zeta+1} \in M_{\zeta+1},$
- (e)  ${}^\omega[M_{\zeta+1}] \subseteq M_{\zeta+1},$

Using  $\langle \cdot \rangle^*$  we will choose inductively a sequence of sets of conditions  $\langle q_{\alpha_l}^l : l \leq n \rangle$  and names of sequences  $\eta_\zeta$  such that

- (a)  $q_{\alpha_\zeta}^l \in P/P_\alpha, \quad q_{\alpha_\zeta}^l \in M_{\zeta+1}, \quad \eta_\zeta \in A_\zeta k, \quad \eta_\zeta \triangleleft \eta_{\zeta+1},$
- (b)  $q_{\alpha_\zeta}^l$  extends  $p_{\eta, A_\zeta}$  for every  $\eta$  with  $\eta \triangleleft \eta_\zeta,$
- (c)  $q_{\alpha_\zeta}^l$  is real and rectangular and in every open and dense subset of  $P_\zeta$  in  $M_\zeta,$
- (d)  $[q_{\alpha+1}^l(\alpha)](\delta) = l.$

Problems arise only when  $n(\zeta) = k$  so suppose we have chosen  $\langle q_\xi^l : \xi \leq \zeta \rangle$  and  $\eta_\zeta$  and we want to choose  $\eta_{\zeta+1}$ . But each  $q_\xi^l$  rules out one possibility of extending  $\eta_\zeta$  (i.e. it rules out one possible value for a function on a club subset of  $\delta$ ) so,  $n+1$  possibilities are ruled out, but  $k > n$  so at least one value is left to be chosen. In the end we will get a sequence  $\eta \in {}^A k$  and conditions  $\{q_{\aleph_3}^l\}_{l \leq n}$  each one of them above  $p_\eta$  and thus they all force the same value to  $h \upharpoonright \delta$ . (Every sequence  $\langle q_\zeta^l : \zeta < \omega_1 \rangle$  can be extended by a condition  $q_{\aleph_3}^l$ ).

Now, there is  $0 \leq m \leq n$  such that  $h^{-1}(\{m\})$  is a stationary subset of  $\delta$  and  $q_{\aleph_3}^m$  contradicts it since  $q_{\aleph_3}^m \Vdash f_\alpha(\delta) = m$ . So we have found  $q_{\aleph_3}^m \geq p$  forcing " $h$  is not a witness for  $f_\alpha$ " a contradiction.

Case (II)  $k \notin B$ .

Follow the same construction. When choosing  $\eta_\zeta$  no possibilities are ruled out so it should be slightly easier.  $\square$

**14. Conclusion.**  $V^P \models \psi_n(Y)$  for a stationary  $Y$  iff  $Y \subseteq S_n(\text{mod } D_{\omega_2})$  and  $n \in B$ .

**15. Conclusion.**  $\{n : V^P \models \phi_n\} = B$ .

And this finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

**References**

- [GMS] Gurevich, Y., Magidor, M., Shelah, S.: The monadic theory of  $\omega_2$ . *J. Symb. Logic* **48**, 387–398 (1983)
- [Gu] Gurevich, Y.: Monadic second-order theories. In: Barwise, J., Feferman, S. (eds): *Model-theoretical logics*. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1985
- [Sh1] Shelah, S.: The monadic theory of order. *Ann. Math.* **102**, 379–419 (1974)
- [Sh2] Shelah, S.: Whitehead groups may not be free even assuming  $\text{C.H.}(1)$ . *Isr. J. Math.* **28**, 193–203 (1977)
- [Sh3] Shelah, S.: Diamonds, uniformization. *J. Symb. Logic* **49**, 1022–1033 (1984)
- [Sk] Steinhorn, C.L., King, J.H.: The uniformization property for  $\aleph_2$ . *Isr. J. Math.* **36**, 248–256 (1980)
- [Sho] Shoenfield, J.R.: Untamified forcing. In: Scott, D.S. (ed) *Axiomatic set theory, Part I*. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 13. Providence. R.I., 1971, pp. 357–381