

# The Journal of Symbolic Logic

<http://journals.cambridge.org/JSL>

Additional services for *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*:

Email alerts: [Click here](#)

Subscriptions: [Click here](#)

Commercial reprints: [Click here](#)

Terms of use : [Click here](#)



---

## The strict order property and generic automorphisms

Hiroataka Kikyo and Saharon Shelah

The Journal of Symbolic Logic / Volume 67 / Issue 01 / March 2002, pp 214 - 216

DOI: 10.2178/jsl/1190150038, Published online: 12 March 2014

**Link to this article:** [http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract\\_S0022481200009932](http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0022481200009932)

### How to cite this article:

Hiroataka Kikyo and Saharon Shelah (2002). The strict order property and generic automorphisms . The Journal of Symbolic Logic, 67, pp 214-216 doi:10.2178/jsl/1190150038

**Request Permissions :** [Click here](#)

## THE STRICT ORDER PROPERTY AND GENERIC AUTOMORPHISMS

HIROTAKA KIKYO AND SAHARON SHELAH

**Abstract.** If  $T$  is a model complete theory with the strict order property, then the theory of the models of  $T$  with an automorphism has no model companion.

**§1. Introduction.** Given a model complete theory  $T$  in a language  $\mathcal{L}$ , we consider the (incomplete) theory  $T_\sigma = T \cup \{\text{“}\sigma \text{ is an } \mathcal{L}\text{-automorphism”}\}$  in the language  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma = \mathcal{L} \cup \{\sigma\}$ . For  $M$  a model of  $T$ , and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_\varnothing(M)$  we call  $\sigma$  a generic automorphism of  $M$  if  $(M, \sigma)$  is an existentially closed model of  $T_\sigma$ . A general problem is to find necessary and sufficient conditions on  $T$  for the class of existentially models of  $T_\sigma$  to be elementary, namely to be the class of models of some first order theory in  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ . This first order theory, if it exists, is denoted  $TA$ , and it is the model companion of  $T_\sigma$ . This problem seems to be a difficult problem even if we assume  $T$  to be stable [1], [5], [8]. Generic automorphisms in the sense of this paper were first studied by Lascar [7]. The work of Chatzidakis and Hrushovski [2] on the case where  $T$  is the theory  $ACF$  of algebraically closed fields renewed interest in the topic and Chatzidakis and Pillay studied general properties of  $TA$  for stable  $T$  [3].

Kudaibergenov proved that if  $TA$  exists then  $T$  eliminates the quantifier “there exists infinitely many”. Therefore, if  $T$  is stable and  $TA$  exists then  $T$  does not have the fcp. Pillay conjectured that if  $T$  has the fcp then  $TA$  does not exist after the first author observed that the theory of random graphs does not have  $TA$ . The first author then proved that if  $TA$  exists and  $T$  does not have the independence property then  $T$  is stable, and if  $TA$  exists and  $T_\sigma$  has the amalgamation property then  $T$  is stable [4]. The latter fact covers the case of the random graphs. The present paper extends the former case.

So the theorem here shows that model complete theories with  $T_\sigma$  having a model companion are “low” in the hierarchy of *classification theory*; previous results have shown it cannot be in some intermediate positions.

For other examples, Hrushovski observed that there are no  $TA$  for  $ACFA$  and for the theory of pseudo-finite fields  $Psf$  (unpublished). His argument depends heavily on field theory.  $ACFA_\sigma$  does not have the amalgamation property but it is not known if  $Psf_\sigma$  has the amalgamation property.

---

Received October 13, 2000; revised January 4, 2001.

The second author is supported by the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation. Publication 748.

In the rest of the paper, small letters  $a, b, c$ , etc., denote finite tuples and  $x, y$ , etc., denote finite tuples of variables. If  $a$  is a tuple of elements and  $A$  a set of elements,  $a \in A$  means that each element of  $a$  belongs to  $A$ .

## §2. Main theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $T$  be a model complete theory in a language  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\sigma$  a new unary function symbol. If  $T$  has a model whose theory has the strict order property then  $T_\sigma$  has no model companion.*

**PROOF.** Let  $M_0$  be a model of  $T$  with the strict order property. So, there are  $\mathcal{L}$ -definable partial order  $<$  on  $k$ -tuples in  $M_0$  for some  $k$  and a sequence  $\langle a_i : i < \omega \rangle$  of  $k$ -tuples in  $M_0$  such that  $a_i < a_j$  for  $i < j < \omega$ . By Ramsey's Theorem, we can assume that  $\langle a_i : i < \omega \rangle$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -indiscernible sequence in  $M_0$ . Also, we can assume that there is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -automorphism  $\sigma_0$  of  $M_0$  such that  $\sigma_0(a_i) = a_{i+1}$ . So,  $(M_0, \sigma_0)$  is a model of  $T_\sigma$ .

Now by way of contradiction, suppose that  $T_\sigma$  has a model companion, say  $TA$ . Extend  $(M_0, \sigma_0)$  to a model  $(N, \sigma)$  of  $TA$ .  $N$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -elementary extension of  $M_0$  since  $T$  is model complete. We can assume that  $(N, \sigma)$  is sufficiently saturated. In the rest of the proof, we work in  $(N, \sigma)$ .

Consider the partial type  $p(x) = \{a_i < x : i < \omega\}$  and let  $\psi(x) \equiv \exists y (a_0 < \sigma(y) \wedge \sigma(y) < y \wedge y < x)$ .

**CLAIM.** *In  $(N, \sigma)$ ,*

- (1)  $p(x) \vdash \psi(x)$ , and
- (2) if  $q(x)$  is a finite subset of  $p(x)$  then  $q(x) \not\vdash \psi(x)$ .

If this claim holds, then it contradicts the saturation of  $(N, \sigma)$ .

We first show (2). Let  $n^*$  be such that  $q(x) \subset \{a_i < x : i < n^*\}$ . Then  $a_{n^*}$  satisfies  $q(x)$ . Suppose  $a_{n^*}$  satisfies  $\psi(x)$ . Let  $b \in N$  be such that  $a_0 < \sigma(b) < b < a_{n^*}$ . By  $a_0 < \sigma(b)$ , we have  $a_{n^*} = \sigma^{n^*}(a_0) < \sigma^{n^*+1}(b)$ . By  $\sigma(b) < b < a_{n^*}$ , we have

$$\sigma^{n^*+1}(b) < \sigma^{n^*}(b) < \dots < \sigma(b) < b < a_{n^*}.$$

By transitivity, we get  $a_{n^*} < a_{n^*}$ , which is a contradiction.

Now we turn to a proof of (1). Suppose  $c \in p(N)$ . Let  $M$  be such that  $a_0, c \in M$ ,  $|M| = |T|$ , and  $(M, \sigma|_M)$  is an  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma)$ -elementary substructure of  $(N, \sigma)$ .

For each  $d \in p(N)$ , let  $\Psi(d)$  be the set of  $\mathcal{L}(M)$ -formulas  $\varphi(x)$  satisfied in  $N$  by some tuple  $d'$  such that  $d' \in p(N)$  and  $d' < d$ . Here,  $\mathcal{L}(M)$ -formulas are the formulas in  $\mathcal{L}$  with parameters in  $M$ .

Note that if  $d_1, d_2 \in p(N)$  and  $d_2 < d_1$ , then  $\Psi(d_2) \subseteq \Psi(d_1)$ , and by compactness, if  $d_1, d_2 \in p(N)$  then there is  $d_3 \in p(N)$  such that  $d_3 < d_1$  and  $d_3 < d_2$ .

Let  $\Psi = \bigcap_{d \in p(N)} \Psi(d)$ . Let  $\{\varphi_i(x) : i < |M|\}$  be an enumeration of all  $L(M)$ -formulas which do not belong to  $\Psi$ . By the definition of  $\Psi$ , for each  $i < |M|$ , we can choose  $d_i \in p(N)$  such that  $\varphi_i(x) \notin \Psi(d_i)$ . By saturation of  $N$  and the remark above, we can find  $c^* \in p(N)$  such that  $c^* < d_i$  for every  $i < |M|$ . Each  $\varphi_i(x)$  does not belong to  $\Psi(c^*)$  since  $\Psi(c^*) \subseteq \Psi(d_i)$ . Hence,  $\Psi(c^*) \subseteq \Psi$ . Therefore,  $\Psi(c^*) = \Psi$ .

Now we have that if  $d \in p(N)$  and  $d < c^*$  then  $\Psi(d) = \Psi(c^*)$ . We can also assume that  $c^* < c$ . Since the sets  $p(N)$  and  $M$  are invariant under  $\sigma$ ,  $\Psi(c^*)$  is

also invariant under  $\sigma$ , which means, for any  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\varphi(x, y)$  and tuple  $a \in M$ ,  $\varphi(x, a) \in \Psi(c^*)$  if and only if  $\varphi(x, \sigma(a)) \in \Psi(c^*)$ .

Now choose  $b_1 \in p(N)$  such that  $b_1 < c^*$  and consider  $q_1(x) = \text{tp}_{\mathcal{L}}(b_1/M)$ . Then  $q_1(x) \subseteq \Psi(c^*)$ . Let  $\sigma(q_1(x))$  be the set of formulas  $\varphi(x, \sigma(a))$  such that  $\varphi(x, a) \in q_1(x)$ , where  $\varphi(x, y)$  is a formula in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $a \in M$ . Since  $\Psi(c^*)$  is invariant under  $\sigma$ , we have  $\sigma(q_1(x)) \subseteq \Psi(c^*)$ . By the choice of  $c^*$ ,  $\Psi(c^*) = \Psi(b_1)$  and thus  $\sigma(q_1(x)) \subseteq \Psi(b_1)$ . By the definition of  $\Psi(b_1)$  and by compactness, there is  $b_2 \in p(N)$  such that  $b_2 < b_1$  and  $b_2$  realizes  $\sigma(q_1(x))$ .

Since  $\sigma(q_1(x))$  is a complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -type over  $M$ , there are an  $\mathcal{L}$ -elementary substructure  $M'$  of  $N$  and an  $\mathcal{L}$ -automorphism  $\tau$  of  $M'$  such that  $Mb_1b_2 \subset M'$ ,  $\tau(b_1) = b_2$  and  $\tau|M = \sigma|M$ . Now we have,

$$(M', \tau) \models a_0 < \tau(b_1) < b_1 < c.$$

Since  $(M, \sigma|M)$  is a model of  $TA$ , it is an existentially closed model of  $T_\sigma$ . Note that the partial order  $<$  is definable by an existential  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula modulo  $T$ . So, the formula  $a_0 < \sigma(y) < y < c$  has a solution in  $(M, \sigma|M)$ . Hence, we have  $(M, \sigma|M) \models \psi(c)$ . This proves Claim (1) and we are done.  $\dashv$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Z. CHATZIDAKIS, *Generic automorphisms of separably closed fields*, to appear in the *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*.
- [2] Z. CHATZIDAKIS and E. HRUSHOVSKI, *The model theory of difference fields*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 351 (1999), pp. 2997–3071.
- [3] Z. CHATZIDAKIS and A. PILLAY, *Generic structures and simple theories*, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, vol. 95 (1998), pp. 71–92.
- [4] H. KIKYO, *Model companions of theories with an automorphism*, this JOURNAL, vol. 65 (2000), pp. 1215–1222.
- [5] H. KIKYO and A. PILLAY, *The definable multiplicity property and generic automorphism*, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, vol. 106 (2000), pp. 263–273.
- [6] D. LASCAR, *Autour de la propriété du petit indice*, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 62 (1991), pp. 25–53.
- [7] ———, *Les beaux automorphismes*, *Archive for Mathematical Logic*, vol. 31 (1991), pp. 55–68.
- [8] A. MACINTYRE, *Generic automorphisms of fields*, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, vol. 88 (1997), pp. 165–180.
- [9] S. SHELAH, *Classification theory and the number of non-isomorphic models*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
 TOKAI UNIVERSITY  
 1117 KITAKANAME, HIRATSUKA, 259-1292, JAPAN  
*E-mail*: kikyo@ss.u-tokai.ac.jp

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
 THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY  
 JERUSALEM 91904, ISRAEL  
*E-mail*: shelah@sundial.ma.huji.ac.il