ROCKY MOUNTAIN
JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Volume 32, Number 4, Winter 2002

COTORSION THEORIES COGENERATED BY $\aleph_1$-FREE ABELIAN GROUPS

SAHARON SHELAH AND LUTZ STRÜNGMANN

ABSTRACT. Given an $\aleph_1$-free abelian group G we characterize the class $\mathfrak{C}_G$ of all torsion abelian groups T satisfying $\mathrm{Ext}(G,T) = 0$ assuming the special continuum hypothesis CH. Moreover, in Gödel's constructible universe we prove that this characterizes $\mathfrak{C}_G$ for arbitrary torsion-free abelian G. It follows that there exists some ugly $\aleph_1$-free abelian groups.

1. Introduction. In 1969 Griffith [**8**] solved Baer's splitting problem on mixed abelian groups when he proved that an abelian group G is free if and only if $\mathrm{Ext}(G,T) = 0$ for all torsion abelian groups T. It is easy to see that an abelian group G which satisfies $\mathrm{Ext}(G,T) = 0$ for all torsion abelian groups T must be torsion-free and homogeneous of type $\mathbf{Z}$. Thus it was natural to ask whether or not one could extend Griffith's result to homogeneous torsion-free groups which are not necessarily of idempotent type, i.e., to ask whether a torsion-free abelian group G which is homogeneous of type $R \subseteq \mathbf{Q}$ has to be completely decomposable if and only if $\mathrm{Ext}(G,T) = 0$ whenever $\mathrm{Ext}(R,T) = 0$ for all torsion groups T (clearly $\mathrm{Ext}(G,T) = 0$ implies $\mathrm{Ext}(R,T) = 0$). That this is not the case was shown in [**10**] by the second author. This was a consequence of techniques and results obtained in [**12**]. Inspired by Baer's question [**1**] to characterize all pairs of torsion-free abelian G and torsion abelian T such that $\mathrm{Ext}(G,T) = 0$, Wallutis and the second author considered in [**12**] the torsion groups of the cotorsion class singly cogenerated by a torsion-free group G. Cotorsion theories were introduced by Salce in [**9**] but it was the first time in [**12**] that only the torsion groups of the cotorsion theory were considered. Recall that, for a torsion-free abelian group G, the class of all torsion abelian groups T satisfying $\mathrm{Ext}(G,T) = 0$

2000 AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20K15, 20K20, 20K35, 20K40.
Publication 773 in the first author's list of publications. The first author was supported by Project No. G-0545-173,06/97 of the *German-Israeli Foundation for Scientific Research & Development.*
The second author was supported by a MINERVA fellowship.
Received by the editors on July 13, 2001, and in revised form on August 28, 2001.

is denoted by $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ (see [**12**]). This class is obviously closed under taking epimorphic images and contains all torsion cotorsion groups, i.e., all bounded groups. In [**12**] satisfactory characterizations of $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ were obtained for countable torsion-free abelian groups and for completely decomposable groups. In fact, it was proved in [**12**] that, for every countable torsion-free abelian group G, there exists a completely decomposable group G such that $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(C)$. It was later shown in [**10**] by the second author that, for every finite rank torsion-free abelian group G, there even exists a rational group $R \subseteq \mathbf{Q}$ such that $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(R)$. Thus, knowing the class $\mathcal{TC}(C)$ for completely decomposable groups C, it was reasonable to search for groups G of uncountable cardinality such that $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ equals $\mathcal{TC}(C)$ for some completely decomposable group C. Although a criterion was found in [**12**, Theorem 3.6] for characterizing those classes of torsion abelian groups which may appear as $\mathcal{TC}(C)$ for completely decomposable group C, it remained open if for instance in Gödel's universe every torsion-free abelian group is of this kind. It shall be shown in this paper that this is not the case, but it holds if we replace completely decomposable by $\aleph_1$-free of cardinality $\aleph_1$.

Assuming CH we give in Section 2 a construction of $\aleph_1$-free abelian groups G of size $\aleph_1$ having a strange class $\mathcal{TC}(G)$. It shall be proved that, for every ideal I in the set of primes (more general in the set of all powers of primes) containing all finite subsets of the set of primes, there exists an $\aleph_1$-free abelian group G of size $\aleph_1$ such that $\bigoplus_{p\in P} \mathbf{Z}(p) \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ if and only if $P \in I$. It follows in Section 3 that in Gödel's constructible universe ($V = L$) every torsion-free abelian group G satisfies $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(H)$ for some $\aleph_1$-free group H of size $\aleph_1$. Thus, we obtain a characterization of the class $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ for all torsion-free abelian groups G in Gödel's universe and prove that the structure of the group G is not very much affected by the class $\mathcal{TC}(G)$. This solves Baer's problem in $V = L$ and contrasts a result from [**7**] in which it was shown that the cotorsion theory singly cogenerated by G determines the group G up to isomorphism in many cases.

All groups under consideration are abelian. The notations are standard and, for unexplained notions in abelian group theory and set theory, we refer to [**6**] and [**5**].

2. The construction. In this section we construct some $\aleph_1$-free abelian groups having special properties. Let us first recall a definition from [**12**]. For a torsion-free group G we denote by $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ the class of all torsion groups T satisfying $\operatorname{Ext}(G,T) = 0$. Obviously, the class $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ is closed under taking epimorphic images and contains all torsion cotorsion groups, i.e., all bounded groups. Recall that a torsion-free group G is called $\aleph_1$-*free* if all its countable subgroups are free. Let Π be the set of natural primes. By $\overline{\Pi}$ we denote the set of all powers of natural primes, i.e., $\overline{\Pi} = \{p^n : p \in \Pi, n < \omega\}$. Moreover, for an infinite subset $P \subseteq \overline{\Pi}$ we define $T_P = \bigoplus_{p\in P} \mathbf{Z}(p)$, where $\mathbf{Z}(p)$ denotes the cyclic group of order p. The reader should keep in mind that here p is not necessarily a prime but could be a prime power. We begin with a compactness result for countable torsion-free groups (see [**10**, Lemma 3.1]).

Lemma 2.1 ([**10**]). *Let G be a countable torsion-free group and T a torsion group. Then $T \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ if and only if $T \in \mathcal{TC}(H)$ for all finite rank pure subgroups H of G.*

Proof. The proof can be found in [**10**, Lemma 3.1] and is based on the fact that, for countable G and any pure subgroup $H \subseteq G$ of finite rank, $T \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ implies $T \in \mathcal{TC}(G/H)$ (T a torsion group). □

Recall that, for a torsion-free group G of size κ, a κ-*filtration* of G is a continuous ascending chain of pure subgroups of cardinality less than κ such that its union equals G.

Proposition 2.2 (CH). *Let G be a torsion-free group of cardinality $\aleph_1$ and P an infinite subset of $\overline{\Pi}$. If $\langle G_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\rangle$ is an ω_1-filtration of G, then $T_P \notin \mathcal{TC}(G)$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds*:

(i) *$T_P \notin \mathcal{TC}(H)$ for some finite rank pure subgroup H of G or*;

(ii) *$\{\delta < \omega_1 : G/G_\delta$ contains a finite rank pure subgroup $L_\delta \subseteq G/G_\delta$ such that $T_P \notin \mathcal{TC}(L_\delta)\}$ is stationary in ω_1.*

Proof. Let

$$S = \{\delta < \omega_1 : G/G_\delta \textit{ contains a finite rank pure subgroup } L_\delta \subseteq G/G_\delta \textit{ such that } T_P \notin \mathcal{TC}(L_\delta)\}. \tag{2.1}$$

By Lemma 2.1, $S = \{\delta < \omega_1 : T_P \notin \mathcal{TC}(G_\beta/G_\delta)$ for some $\delta < \beta < \omega_1\}$. Now it is easy to see that S stationary implies that the relative Γ-invariant $\Gamma_{T_P}(G) \neq 0$. Since we are assuming CH the weak diamond $\Phi_{\aleph_1}$ holds (see [**3**]). Thus (i) or (ii) imply $T_P \notin \mathcal{TC}(G)$ by [**5**, Proposition XII.1.15]. Conversely, assume that $T_P \notin \mathcal{TC}(G)$ but (i) and (ii) do not hold. Then the relative Γ-invariant $\Gamma_{T_P}(G) = 0$ and hence [**5**, Theorem XII.1.14] shows that $T_P \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ – a contradiction. □

Remark 2.3. If we assume $V = L$, then we could extend Proposition 2.2 to larger cardinalities using techniques as, for instance, developed in [**2**, Theorem 3.1] and using an appropriate filtration, but it is not needed here.

Let S be a stationary subset of ω_1 consisting of limit ordinals, i.e., for all $\alpha \in S$, cf $(a) = \omega$. Recall the following definition.

Definition 2.4. A ladder system $\bar{\eta}$ on S is a family of functions $\bar{\eta} = \langle \eta_\delta : \delta \in S \rangle$ such that $\eta_\delta : \omega \to \delta$ is strictly increasing with $\sup(\mathrm{rg}\,(n_\delta)) = \delta$ where $\mathrm{rg}\,(\eta_\delta)$ denotes the range of η_δ. We call the ladder system *tree-like* if, for all $\delta, \nu \in \bar{\eta}$ and every $\alpha, \beta \in \omega$, $\eta_\delta(\alpha) = \eta_\nu(\beta)$ implies $\alpha = \beta$ and $\eta_\delta(\rho) = \eta_\nu(\rho)$ for all $\rho \leq \alpha$.

Proposition 2.5 (CH). *Let $\langle P_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ be a sequence of infinite subsets of $\overline{\Pi}$. Then there exists an $\aleph_1$-free torsion-free group G of cardinality $\aleph_1$ such that, for any infinite subset P of $\overline{\Pi}$, $T_P \notin \mathcal{TC}(G)$ if and only if $\{\delta < \omega_1 : |P \cap P_\delta| = \aleph_0\}$ is stationary.*

Proof. Since we are assuming CH, the weak diamond $\Phi_{\aleph_1}$ holds. Let S be a stationary subset of ω_1 such that $\Phi_{\aleph_1}(S)$ holds. Since $\lim(\omega_1)$ is a cub in ω_1, we may assume without loss of generality that $S = \lim(\omega_1)$, i.e., S consists of all limit ordinals of ω_1. Choose a tree-like ladder system $\bar{\eta} = \langle \eta_\delta : \delta \in S \rangle$ such that $\eta_\delta(\alpha)$ is a successor ordinal for all $\alpha < \omega$ and $\delta \in S$ (see [**5**, p. 386, Exercise 17]). We enumerate the sets P_α by ω without repetitions, e.g., $P_\alpha = \{p_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$. Now let F be the free group generated by the elements $\{x_\nu : \nu < \omega_1\} \cup \{y_{\delta,n} : \delta \in S, n < \omega\}$. Let $z_{\delta,-1} = y_{\delta,0}/p_{\delta,0}$ and, for $n \geq 0$,

$$z_{\delta,n} = (y_{\delta,0} - w_{\delta,n}) \Big/ \Big(\prod_{i=0}^{n+1} p_{\delta,i} \Big),$$

where $\omega_{\delta,n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (\prod_{j=0}^{i} p_{\delta,j}) x_{\eta_\delta(i)}$. Let G be the subgroup of F generated by the elements $\{x_\nu : \nu < \omega_1\} \cup \{z_{\delta,n} : \delta \in S, n < \omega\}$. Then the only relations between the generators of G are

$$p_{\delta,n+1} z_{\delta,n} = z_{\delta,n-1} - x_{\eta_\delta(n)} \tag{2.2}$$

for $\delta \in S$ and $n \geq 0$. Now for $\nu < \omega_1$, let G_ν be the pure closure in G of $G \cap (\{x_\mu : \mu < \nu\} \cup \{z_{\delta,n} : \delta \in S \cap \nu, n < \omega\})$. Then the sequence $\langle G_\nu : \nu < \omega_1 \rangle$ forms an ω_1-filtration of G. Moreover, for $\nu \in S$ we have

$$G_{\nu+1}/G_\nu \cong F_\nu \oplus H_\nu, \tag{2.3}$$

where F_ν is the free group on the generator $x_\nu + G_\nu$ and $H_\nu \cong \langle 1/p_{\nu,n} : n < \omega \rangle =: R_{P_\nu} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}$. Finally G is $\aleph_1$-free by Pontryagin's criterion. Indeed, if J_0 is a finite subset of G, then the pure closure of J_0 is contained in the pure closure of a finite subset J_1 of $\{x_\nu : \nu < \omega_1\} \cup \{y_{\delta,n} : \delta \in S, n < \omega\}$. By enlarging J_1 we may assume that there exists m such that, for all $y_{\delta,0} \in J_1$, $x_{\eta_\delta(n)} \in J_1$ if and only if $n \leq m$. Then the equations (2.2) show that the pure closure of J_1 is free (compare [**5**, Example VIII 1.1]).

Finally, let P be an infinite subset of $\overline{\Pi}$. Since G is $\aleph_1$-free there exists no finite rank pure subgroup H of G such that $T_P \notin \mathcal{TC}(H)$, hence Proposition 2.2 shows that $T_P \notin \mathcal{TC}(G)$ if and only if the set

$$N = \big\{\delta < \omega_1 : G/G_\delta \textit{ contains a finite rank pure subgroup } L_\delta \subseteq G/G_\delta \textit{ such that } T_P \notin \mathcal{TC}(L_\delta)\big\} \tag{2.4}$$

is stationary in ω_1. Since for $\delta \in S$ we have $G_{\delta+1}/G_\delta \cong R_{P_\delta}$ it is now easy to see that N is stationary if and only if $U = \{\delta < \omega_1 : |P \cap P_\delta| = \aleph_0\}$ is stationary. Note that S is a cub in ω_1. $\square$

If G is a torsion-free group, then it is not hard to see that the set

$$\{P \subseteq \overline{\Pi} : T_P \in \mathcal{TC}(G)\} \tag{2.5}$$

forms an ideal of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\Pi})$ containing all finite subsets of $\overline{\Pi}$. In fact, the next theorem shows that every such ideal may appear. To avoid additional notation let us allow an ideal in $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\Pi})$ to contain $\overline{\Pi}$ itself.

Theorem 2.6 (CH). *Let $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\Pi})$ be an ideal containing all finite subsets of $\overline{\Pi}$. Then there exists an $\aleph_1$-free group G of cardinality $\aleph_1$ such that for every $P \subseteq \overline{\Pi}$, $T_P \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ if and only if $P \in I$.*

Proof. Let I be given. If $\overline{\Pi} \in I$, then we choose G to be free of cardinality $\aleph_1$, and we are done. Therefore, assume that $\overline{\Pi} \notin I$. Choose a continuous increasing sequence of boolean subalgebras $\langle B_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\Pi}) : \alpha < \omega_1\rangle$ such that each B_α is countable and contains all finite subsets of $\overline{\Pi}$. Note that this is possible since we are assuming CH. Let $\alpha < \omega_1$ and put

$$I \cap B_\alpha = \{I^-_{\alpha,i} : i < \omega\} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$B_\alpha \setminus I = \{I^+_{\alpha,i} : i < \omega\}, \tag{2.7}$$

where we assume that each $I^+_{\alpha,i}$ is repeated infinitely many times. Choose for $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $i < \omega$

$$p_{\alpha,i} \in I^+_{\alpha,i} \setminus \Big(\bigcup\{I^-_{\alpha,j} : j < i\} \cup \{i_{\alpha,j} : j < i\}\Big). \tag{2.8}$$

Note that this is possible since $I^+_{\alpha,i}$ is infinite and $\bigcup\{I^-_{\alpha,j} : j < i\} \in I$. Let

$$P_\alpha = \{p_{\alpha,i} : i < \omega\} \tag{2.9}$$

and let G be the group from Proposition 2.5 for $\langle P_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\rangle$. Then G is $\aleph_1$-free and of cardinality $\aleph_1$ and, by Proposition 2.5, it suffices to prove that for a subset $P \subseteq \overline{\Pi}$ we have $P \in I$ if and only if there exists $\gamma < \omega_1$ such that, for all $\delta > \gamma$, $P \cap P_\alpha$ is finite. Thus, let $P \subseteq \overline{\Pi}$

and assume that $P \in I$. Then there exists $\gamma < \omega_1$ such that, for all $\delta > \gamma$, $P \in I \cap B_\delta$. Fix $\delta > \gamma$. Then $P = I^-_{\delta,i}$ for some $i < \omega$. Hence, for all $j > i$ we obtain $p_{\delta,j} \notin I^-_{\delta,i}$. Thus $P \cap P_\delta \subseteq \{p_{\delta,j} : j \leq i\}$ which is finite. Conversely, assume that $P \notin I$. Then there exists $\gamma < \omega_1$ such that, for all $\delta \in \gamma$, $P \in B_\delta \setminus I$. Fix $\delta > \gamma$, then $P = I^+_{\delta,j}$ for infinitely many $j < \omega$ by the choice of the $I^+_{\delta,i}$s. But, if $P = I^+_{\delta,j}$, then $p_{\delta,j} \in P_\delta \cap (P \setminus \{p_{\delta,i} : i < j\})$ and hence $P \cap P_\delta$ is infinite. This finishes the proof. $\square$

We are now able to characterize the class $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ for torsion-free groups of cardinality $\aleph_1$ assuming CH.

3. The characterization. In [**12**, Theorem 3.6 (v)] a characterization of all classes $\mathfrak{C}$ of torsion groups was given which could satisfy $\mathfrak{C} = \mathcal{TC}(C)$ for some completely decomposable group C. We shall show next that we can drop condition [**12**, Theorem 3.6 (v)] if we assume CH and replace completely decomposable by $\aleph_1$-free cardinality $\aleph_1$. Recall that condition [**12**, Theorem 3.6 (v)] says the following

(3.1) *If P is an infinite set of primes such that $T_P \notin \mathfrak{C}$, then there exists an infinite subset P' of P such that for all infinite subsets X of P', $T_X \notin \mathfrak{C}$.*

Theorem 3.1 (CH). *Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a class of torsion groups. Then $\mathfrak{C} = \mathcal{TC}(G)$ for some ($\aleph_1$-free) torsion-free group G of cardinality less than or equal to $\aleph_1$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied*:

(i) $\mathfrak{C}$ *is closed under epimorphic images*;

(ii) $\mathfrak{C}$ *contains all torsion cotorsion groups*;

(iii) *If p is a natural prime, then $\bigoplus_{n<\omega} \mathbf{Z}(p^n) \in \mathfrak{C}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{C}$ contains all p-groups*;

(iv) *If P is an infinite subset of* Π, *then $\bigoplus_{p\in P} \mathbf{Z}(p) \in \mathfrak{C}$ if and only if $\bigoplus_{p\in P} H_p \in \mathfrak{C}$ for all p-groups $H_p \in \mathfrak{C}$, $p \in P$.*

Proof. Let us first show that (i)–(iv) hold for $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ for any torsion-free group G of cardinality less than or equal to $\aleph_1$. Clearly (i) and (ii) are true. Moreover, if G is countable, then [**12**, Corollary 3.7] shows that (iii) and (iv) hold for G. Thus assume that G is of cardinality $\aleph_1$, and let $\langle G_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ be an ω_1-filtration of G. Let p be a prime and assume that $\bigoplus_{n<\omega} \mathbf{Z}(p^n) \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$. Moreover, assume that T is a p-group and $T \notin \mathcal{TC}(G)$. By Proposition 2.2 there exists either a finite rank pure subgroup H of G such that $T \notin \mathcal{TC}(H)$ or the set $Q = \{\delta < \omega_1 : G/G_\delta$ *contains a finite rank pure subgroup* $L_\delta \subseteq G/G_\delta$ *such that* $T \notin \mathcal{TC}(L_\delta)\}$ is stationary in ω_1. If H exists, then [**12**, Theorem 3.6] shows that $\bigoplus_{n<\omega} \mathbf{Z}(p^n) \notin \mathcal{TC}(H)$, contradicting the fact that $\bigoplus_{n<\omega} \mathbf{Z}(p^n) \in \mathcal{TC}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{TC}(H)$. Thus assume that Q is stationary in ω_1. Again by [**12**, Theorem 3.6], it follows that for $\delta \in Q$ also $\bigoplus_{n<\omega} \mathbf{Z}(p^n) \notin \mathcal{TC}(L_\delta)$ since all G_α's are countable. Thus,

$$Q = \Big\{\delta < \omega_1 : G/G_\delta \textit{ contains a finite rank pure subgroup } L_\delta \subseteq G/G_\delta \textit{ such that } \bigoplus_{n<\omega} \mathbf{Z}(p^n) \notin \mathcal{TC}(L_\delta)\Big\} \tag{3.2}$$

and Proposition 2.2 shows that $\bigoplus_{n<\omega} \mathbf{Z}(p^n) \notin \mathcal{TC}(G)$ – a contradiction. Thus (iii) holds since the converse implication is trivial.

It is straightforward to see that also (iv) holds for $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ using similar arguments as above.

Finally, assume that $\mathfrak{C}$ satisfies (i) to (iv). We identify ω with $\overline{\Pi}$ by a bijection $i : \omega \to \overline{\Pi}$. Let $I = \{X \subseteq \omega : \bigoplus_{p \in i(X)} \mathbf{Z}(p) \in \mathfrak{C}\}$. Then it is easy to see that I is an ideal on ω containing all finite subsets of ω. Thus by Theorem 2.6 there exists an $\aleph_1$-free group G of cardinality $\aleph_1$ such that, for every subset $P \subseteq \overline{\Pi}$, $\bigoplus_{p\in P} \mathbf{Z}(p) \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$ if and only if $i^{-1}(P) \in I$. Since we have already shown that $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ satisfies (i) to (iv), it is now obvious that $\mathfrak{C} = \mathcal{TC}(G)$. □

Since it was shown in [**11**, Theorem 2.7] and [**12**, Corollary 3.9] that in Gödel's universe for every torsion-free group G, Theorem 3.1 (i)–(iv) are satisfied for $\mathfrak{C} = \mathcal{TC}(G)$, we immediately get the following result.

Corollary 3.2 ($V = L$). *For every torsion-free group G, there exists an $\aleph_1$-free group H of cardinality $\aleph_1$ such that $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(H)$.*

Moreover, we obtain the existence of some ugly torsion-free groups showing that the $\mathcal{TC}$-conjecture from [**11**, $\mathcal{TC}$-Conjecture 2.12] does not hold. In [**11**] it was conjectured that in $V = L$ for every torsion-free group G, there exists a completely decomposable group C such that $\mathcal{TC}(G) = \mathcal{TC}(C)$, hence condition (3.1) would be satisfied for all torsion-free groups G. This is not the case.

Corollary 3.3 (CH). *For every infinite set of primes P, there exists an $\aleph_1$-free torsion-free group G of cardinality $\aleph_1$ satisfying $T_P \notin \mathcal{TC}(G)$ such that for every infinite subset $Q \subseteq P$, there exists an infinite subset $Q_1 \subseteq Q$ such that $T_{Q_1} \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$. Thus $\mathcal{TC}(G) \neq \mathcal{TC}(C)$ for every completely decomposable group C.*

Proof. Let P be the given infinite set of primes. It was shown by Eda in [**4**, Theorem 5, Proof] that there exists a strictly decreasing chain of subsets $P_\alpha \subseteq P$, $\alpha < \omega_1$, such that

(i) P_α is infinite;

(ii) $\alpha < \beta$ implies P_β is almost contained in P_α;

(iii) $\alpha < \beta$ implies $|P_\alpha \setminus P_\beta|$ is infinite;

(iv) $\bigcap_{\alpha<\omega_1} P_\alpha$ is infinite.

Let U be the ultrafilter generated by $\overline{P} = \{P_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ and let G be the group from Proposition 2.5 for $\overline{P}$. If Q is an infinite subset of P, then divide Q into two disjoint infinite subsets, e.g., $Q = Q_1 \cup Q_2$. Since U is an ultrafilter, it follows that without loss of generality $Q_1 \notin U$. Hence, there exists $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that $|P_\alpha \cap Q_1|$ is finite. Thus, for every $\alpha \leq \beta$, we obtain $|P_\beta \cap Q_1|$ is finite. Therefore the set $\{\delta < \omega_1 : |P \cap P_\delta| = \aleph_0\}$ is not stationary in ω_1 and Proposition 2.5 implies that $T_{Q_1} \in \mathcal{TC}(G)$.

Finally $\mathcal{TC}(G) \neq \mathcal{TC}(C)$ for any completely decomposable group C since $\mathcal{TC}(G)$ violates [**12**, Theorem 3.2 (v)] which is our condition (3.1). □

REFERENCES

1. R. Baer, *The subgroup of the elements of finite order of an abelian group*, Ann. of Math. **37** (1936), 766–781.

2. T. Becker, L. Fuchs and S. Shelah, *Whitehead modules over domains*, Forum Math. **1** (1989), 53–68.

3. K.J. Devlin and S. Shelah, *A weak version of* $\diamondsuit$ *which follows from* $2^{\aleph_0} < 2^{\aleph_1}$, Israel J. Math. **29** (1978), 239–247.

4. K. Eda, *A characterization of* $\aleph_1$*-free abelian groups and its application to the Chase radical*, Math. Ann. **261** (1982), 359–385.

5. P.C. Eklof and A.H. Mekler, *Almost free modules—Set-theoretic methods*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.

6. L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups*, Vol. I and II, Academic Press, New York, 1970 and 1973.

7. R. Göbel, S. Shelah and S.L. Wallutis, *On the lattice of cotorsion theories*, J. Algebra **238** (2001), 292–313.

8. P. Griffith, *A solution to the splitting mixed group problem of Baer*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (1969), 261–269.

9. L. Salce, *Cotorsion theories for abelian groups*, Sympos. Math., vol. 23, 1979, Acad. Press, London, pp. 11–32.

10. L. Strüngmann, *Torsion groups in cotorsion theories*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **107** (2002).

11. ———, *On problems by Baer and Kulikov using* $V = L$, submitted.

12. L. Strüngmann and S.L. Wallutis, *On the torsion groups in cotorsion classes*, AGRAM 2000 Conference (Perth, West. Australia), Contemp. Math., vol. 273, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001, pp. 269–283.

The Hebrew University, Givat Ram, Jerusalem 91904, Israel and Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, U.S.A.
E-mail address: Shelah@math.huji.ac.il

Fachbereich 6 – Mathematik, University of Essen, 45117 Essen, Germany
Current address: The Hebrew University, Givat Ram, Jerusalem 91904, Israel
E-mail address: lutz@math.huji.ac.il